

# Exhibit 6

# Exhibit 6

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 LATIA ALEXANDER, )  
 individually as heir of )  
 4 ISAAH T. WILLIAMS and in )  
 her capacity as Special ) Case No.  
 5 Administrator of the ) 2:24-cv-00074-  
 Estate of ISAAH T. ) APG-NJK  
 6 WILLIAMS, )

7 Plaintiff, )

8 vs. )

9 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN )  
 POLICE DEPARTMENT, a )  
 10 political subdivision of )  
 the State of Nevada; KERRY )  
 11 KUBLA, in his individual )  
 capacity; BRICE CLEMENTS, )  
 12 in his individual )  
 capacity; ALEX GONZALES, )  
 13 in his individual )  
 capacity; RUSSELL BACKMAN, )  
 14 in his individual )  
 capacity; JAMES )  
 15 ROTHENBURG, in his )  
 individual capacity; JAMES )  
 16 BERTUCCINI, in his )  
 individual capacity; )  
 17 MELANIE O'DANIEL, in her )  
 individual capacity; DOES )  
 18 I-XX, inclusive, )

19 Defendants. )

20

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MELANIE O'DANIEL

21

Taken on Tuesday, December 17, 2024

22 By a Certified Court Reporter and Legal Videographer

At 10:26 a.m.

23 At 400 South Seventh Street, Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

24

Reported by: Sarah Safier, CCR No. 808

25 Job No. 58707, Firm No. 116F

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiff:

3 CORRINE P. MURPHY, ESQ.  
4 Murphy's Law, PC  
5 2620 Regatta Drive  
Suite 102  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

6 For the Defendants:

7 CRAIG R. ANDERSON, ESQ.  
8 Marquis Aurbach  
10001 Park Run Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

9 Also Present:

10 GIANA CAMACHO, Videographer  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 3

1

## I N D E X

2

WITNESS

PAGE

3

MELANIE O'DANIEL

4

Examination by Ms. Murphy

5

5

6

7

8

## E X H I B I T S

9

NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

PAGE

10

Plaintiff's

11

1 - Notice of Deposition

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 4

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Today is December 17,  
3 2024. The time is approximately 10:26 a.m.

4 Your court reporter is Sarah Safier and I am  
5 your videographer, Giana Camacho. We are here on  
6 behalf of Lexitas.

7 The witness today is Melanie O'Daniel and we  
8 are here in the case of Latia Alexander, et al.,  
9 versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et  
10 al.

11 Will counsel state your appearances and the  
12 court reporter will administer the oath.

13 MS. MURPHY: Corrine Murphy, Bar No. 10410  
14 on behalf of Plaintiff.

15 MR. ANDERSON: Craig Anderson on behalf of  
16 the Defendants.

17 MELANIE O'DANIEL

18 having been first duly sworn, was  
19 examined and testified as follows:

20 MS. MURPHY: Let the record reflect this is  
21 the time and place of the deposition of Melanie  
22 O'Daniel in the matter of Latia Alexander, et al.,  
23 versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police, et al., Case  
24 No. 2:24-cv-00074.

25 ///

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 5

1 EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. MURPHY:

3 Q Ms. O'Daniel, my name is Corrine Murphy and  
4 I'm an attorney. I represent the plaintiff, Latia  
5 Alexander, in this case.

6 Could you please state and spell your full  
7 name for the record.

8 A Melanie O'Daniel. M-E-L-A-N-I-E, O,  
9 apostrophe, D-A-N-I-E-L.

10 Q And would you prefer that I call you  
11 Melanie, Ms. O'Daniel, or Sergeant O'Daniel -- it's  
12 sergeant, correct?

13 A Lieutenant.

14 Q Lieutenant, sorry, sorry, sorry.

15 A Melanie is fine.

16 Q Okay. And Melanie, you understand that  
17 you've been noticed to be here today, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And you had an opportunity to review that  
20 notice and that you understand that you are here  
21 today to discuss the shooting of Isaiah Williams?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay.

24 MS. O'DANIEL: And Madam Court Reporter, I  
25 don't have it with me, but I will e-mail it to you

1 later. I would like to attach the notice of  
2 deposition as Exhibit 1.

3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 was deemed  
4 marked for identification.)

5 BY MS. MURPHY:

6 Q Have you ever given a deposition before?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Which other cases have you given a  
9 deposition in?

10 MR. ANDERSON: Jasmine King.

11 THE WITNESS: Jasmine King.

12 MR. ANDERSON: Is that the only one?

13 THE WITNESS: Yes.

14 MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

15 BY MS. MURPHY:

16 Q And I noticed in your answers to  
17 interrogatories you had identified two other cases  
18 that you were involved in.

19 MR. ANDERSON: They're the same case.

20 BY MS. MURPHY:

21 Q Okay. Well, one was -- one was, I think,  
22 settled and one was dismissed, correct?

23 MR. ANDERSON: What were they?

24 MS. MURPHY: Hold on. Let me look.

25 MR. ANDERSON: One was King.

1 BY MS. MURPHY:

2 Q One was Cottle versus Gillespie, and you  
3 said you got a summary judgment in your favor; and  
4 the other one was King versus Ubbens.

5 A Yeah, I did not give a deposition in that.

6 Q Okay. Can you please tell me what the --  
7 well, the -- so the King versus Ubbens, I'm assuming  
8 that's Jasmine King versus Ubbens, and that one was  
9 settled, correct?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Okay. Can you just kind of walk me through  
12 just the basic facts of the King versus Ubbens case?

13 A We served a warrant for -- and, again, I've  
14 been retired for a couple of years, so it's not top  
15 of the mind -- but essentially her boyfriend at the  
16 time, I believe -- I could be wrong -- but we were  
17 serving a warrant for a kidnapping, sexual assault,  
18 you know, holding her for several days, and -- at  
19 that residence where we believed him to be.

20 We served the warrant and we did an  
21 explosive breach and she, tragically, was at the door  
22 looking through the peephole when the breach went, so  
23 she was injured and that was what the settlement was  
24 for, for her injuries.

25 Q Okay. And I understand having read your



1 interview that there was a change in policy following  
2 that case as well; is that correct?

3 A Not necessarily a change that was written  
4 down; it was more of a verbal to not use explosive  
5 breaches on search warrants more so. But nothing  
6 physically documented and changed in our SOPs or  
7 policy manual.

8 Q Okay. Given -- how long ago was it that you  
9 gave your deposition?

10 A I've been retired for two years. So three,  
11 four years ago.

12 Q Okay. So I'm just going to run through some  
13 basics. Because that was a little while ago, I'm  
14 going to just run through some basic deposition  
15 instructions.

16 The biggest thing being the oath that you  
17 gave at the beginning of the deposition that the  
18 court reporter administered, that's the same oath  
19 that you would give if you were in a court of law.  
20 It requires you to answer all questions that I ask  
21 today truthfully under the penalty of perjury.

22 In other words, was I able to demonstrate  
23 that you either lied or misled me on any material  
24 fact, it would subject you to the crime of perjury.

25 Do you understand that?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. And I want to outline in your prior  
3 answer what you did correctly and what I'm going to  
4 ask you to do for the rest of the day. Sometimes I  
5 may ask you a question that you don't have a complete  
6 memory of, but what you need to do is give me your  
7 best answer.

8 So, for example, when I asked you about the  
9 Jasmine King case, you said, hey, that was a few  
10 years ago, I don't remember everything, but let me  
11 tell you what I do remember. So that was the  
12 correct, truthful way to answer my question.

13 Is there any reason that you won't be able  
14 to continue to do that as we go through the  
15 deposition here today?

16 A No.

17 Q Okay. Did you -- a couple other ground  
18 rules.

19 The court reporter is taking down everything  
20 we say. For that reason -- and you're already doing  
21 a very good job of it -- please let me finish  
22 answering [sic] my question and then I will let you,  
23 to the best of my ability, answer your question.

24 If, for any reason, I'm accidentally  
25 interrupted or you had more to say, please stop me

1 and say, I'm not finished answering my question,  
2 okay?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Your attorney may raise some objections  
5 during the deposition. Unless your attorney  
6 specifically instructs you not to answer, please let  
7 Craig state his objection for the record and then  
8 answer the question.

9 A (Witness nods head.)

10 Q Are you under any medications that would  
11 prevent you from giving your best and most accurate  
12 testimony here today?

13 A No.

14 Q And I will tell you because I had this  
15 happen in a case before: Have you had any personal  
16 issues that have arisen in the last few days that  
17 would prevent you from giving your best and most  
18 accurate testimony today?

19 A No.

20 Q I ask that because I had another case where  
21 two weeks later he said I got served with divorce  
22 papers that morning, you can't rely on any of my  
23 testimony.

24 Okay. And I'm going to ask you some  
25 questions about what you did to prepare for today's

1 deposition and I'm entitled to facts such as whether  
2 or not you met with Craig, how long you met with  
3 Craig, what documents you reviewed; but I'm not  
4 entitled to know about what you and Craig discussed.  
5 That falls under the auspices of attorney-client  
6 privilege; and when I ask any questions about any  
7 preparation you did, I don't want you to tell me  
8 about what you and Craig talked about specifically.

9 A Okay.

10 Q Do you understand the distinction between --  
11 I'm going to ask you, hey, did you look at any  
12 documents and that's a fact and you can tell me what  
13 documents you looked at, but I don't want you to tell  
14 me, and then Craig told me X, Y, and Z.

15 Do you understand the distinction?

16 A I do.

17 Q Excellent. So I'm going to ask you, then,  
18 can you please tell me what you did to prepare for  
19 today's deposition?

20 A I reviewed documents -- I met with Craig  
21 twice -- in the initial meeting that a deposition was  
22 going to transpire. And then as we got closer, we  
23 met yesterday again, too.

24 So I received documentation on -- I was  
25 given my CERT interview transcriptions, two of them,

1 when I was a witness employee and then the subject  
2 employee. I was given the CERT report, which I  
3 believe is like 225 pages and I was given the IAP,  
4 the four versions of the IAP.

5 Q Okay. Did you review -- you had answered  
6 some written questions like answers to  
7 interrogatories and requests for production.

8 Did you go over those at all?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And how long did you meet with Craig for  
11 yesterday?

12 A 40 minutes.

13 Q Okay. Have you reviewed any of the other  
14 deposition transcripts of any of the other parties  
15 that have been taken in this case?

16 A No.

17 Q Okay. Have you reviewed the witness  
18 statements or interviews done by any of the other  
19 officers involved in this case?

20 A No.

21 Q Okay. Did you bring any documents that you  
22 reviewed with you here today?

23 A No.

24 Q Okay. Have you discussed your deposition  
25 with any of the codefendants?

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 13

1 A No.

2 Q Okay. And -- okay. Melanie, I'm going to  
3 go over just some basic background questions. I  
4 mean, I understand quite a bit from having reviewed  
5 the other interviews in your written discovery, but  
6 we're just going to go ahead on the record and make  
7 the record here today as well, okay?

8 A Okay.

9 Q Are you currently working for Metro?

10 A No, I'm retired.

11 Q When did you retire?

12 A December 2022.

13 Q So approximately within -- at the end of the  
14 year involved in this incident, correct?

15 A Yeah. December, like, 30th, I want to say.  
16 I don't have the exact date.

17 Q And I'm going to represent to you that the  
18 date of this incident was January 10, 2022. Is that  
19 consistent with your memory?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. And what position did you retire at?

22 A Lieutenant of SWAT.

23 Q Okay. And how long had you held that  
24 position for?

25 A As the primary SWAT tactical commander,

1 three and a half years. And then I was the backup  
2 SWAT commander for about 19 months.

3 Q What is the difference -- what are the  
4 differences in those two positions?

5 A Well, you're still taught the job, you go  
6 through SWAT school, you still get your credentials,  
7 but it's -- I fill in that position when the primary  
8 goes on vacation or if he has, you know, hey, I can't  
9 make it today, can you cover for me for today for a  
10 dentist appointment.

11 Q And just so I understand, did the backup  
12 position come first or after?

13 A It was first.

14 Q Okay.

15 A So you're essentially learning the position  
16 and then you -- I became primary.

17 Q And I'm sorry, how long did you have that  
18 backup position for?

19 A 19 months.

20 Q 19 months, okay. And so that -- okay. So  
21 that's roughly about four or five years.

22 What position did you hold prior to that?

23 A During that time as a backup, since that  
24 wasn't my primary job, I was the Homeland Security  
25 Saturation Team lieutenant.

1 Q What does that mean?

2 A We handled protests, major events. Say if  
3 there's a UFC match and there might be a threat,  
4 we'll send my team down there to handle that.

5 Laughlin River Run, anything that the  
6 sheriff deems that we need extra resources. Mainly  
7 it's protests or any -- say you have the -- we do  
8 dignitary protection, so if you have the president  
9 come in town, vice president, any dignitaries, we  
10 also handle that role, so multifunctional.

11 Q And if I understood some of your interview  
12 correctly, there was also a policy change while you  
13 were holding that position, correct, about -- was  
14 it -- you're nodding your head, so I think you know  
15 what I'm talking about.

16 A I remember reading about it. I can't  
17 remember exactly what the policy change was and then  
18 not being -- being retired and not having access to  
19 that and then, again, it's two years, I can't  
20 remember what that was. And I don't think I said  
21 what it was in my interview.

22 Q I'll refresh your recollection that my  
23 understanding of having read your interview, that it  
24 had something to do with doing -- with shooting  
25 something into a crowd.



1           A       Oh, yes, for the protest. So during the  
2 protest, they were shooting Ferret Rounds, gas, into  
3 the crowd and instead of just indiscriminately  
4 firing, you had to have someone who actually had a  
5 rock or some missile projectile in their hand and you  
6 had to identify that to fire that Ferret Round or  
7 throw the gas.

8           Q       Okay. And you've walked through some pretty  
9 specialized positions that you held. Did you have to  
10 do specialized training or certification to hold  
11 those positions? And if the answer is yes, can you  
12 walk me through what was for each?

13          A       For SWAT, I had to go through the SWAT  
14 school, and I had to essentially go on numerous  
15 trainings as I was becoming the backup. I had to  
16 shadow the primary SWAT commander, or SWAT tactical  
17 commander, at the time, go on all these events and  
18 then eventually, you know, you're kind of shadowed as  
19 you take primary over the incident.

20                I went to the National Tactical Officers  
21 Association, you know, SWAT leadership. I don't  
22 remember the exact name, but it was a SWAT leadership  
23 school for that.

24          Q       How -- sorry, I'm going to interrupt you  
25 real fast. How long was that SWAT leadership school

1 for?

2 A A week.

3 Q Sorry, keep going.

4 A So about 40 hours. And then I have attended  
5 some other SWAT leadership, kind of to do with the  
6 day-to-day, how to do documentation, how to write  
7 your SWAT manual. I received that training a week  
8 long as well.

9 And just through those four or five --  
10 several, you attend the breacher school. You know,  
11 you don't become breacher certified, but you're  
12 witnessing, you're viewing, you're participating and  
13 -- all the various techniques of SWAT.

14 Q And what -- so, sorry, you did or didn't  
15 attend breacher school?

16 A I did not get a certification for breacher  
17 school, but I did -- you know, you attend, you watch,  
18 you observe. It wasn't 100 percent, 99 percent  
19 attendance. It was, you know, coming and going, but  
20 I have, you know, attended it.

21 Q Okay. Can you tell me what breacher school  
22 is?

23 A It's a long process where they learn how to,  
24 you know, use forcible entry and use explosive  
25 breachings, and the parameters of when you would use

1 it, when you would not. It's very technical.  
2 There's some math involved in it, how to identify  
3 doors and which type of different breaches, how much,  
4 you know, explosives you're going to put on it. So  
5 it's a very technical school.

6 Q And was that school primarily focused on the  
7 actual physical aspects of how to do the breach or  
8 did you guys go over any of -- any constitutional  
9 rules like knock and announce, Fourth Amendment? Did  
10 you guys review any of that stuff?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Okay. So can you tell me what your  
13 understanding of knock and announce is?

14 A Knock and announce is essentially you're  
15 there for a legal and lawful warrant and you're  
16 announcing your presence, that we're police officers,  
17 we have a warrant, you know, we have a right to be  
18 here. And you give the occupants, you know, that  
19 time, depending on the situation, every one's --  
20 every situation is different, and either come to the  
21 door or we make entry into the residence.

22 Q Okay. And what is the -- to your  
23 understanding, what is the purpose of that rule?

24 A The purpose of a knock and -- the main  
25 purpose is to let them know that this is police, that

1 we are police, we are here for a legal and lawful  
2 search warrant.

3 Q Why do you have to let them know that?

4 A We don't want them to think that -- we want  
5 them to know, "Hey, we're here, we have a legal right  
6 to -- the law states that we are allowed to be here.  
7 You can't deny us," so to speak, and say, "Yeah, no,  
8 I'm just going to hide and not answer the door" is  
9 the main reason.

10 Q Does it have anything to do with an  
11 individual's Fourth Amendment rights?

12 A Yes, every citizen has the right to an --  
13 from unreasonable searches. And we need a search  
14 warrant or there's some exigent circumstances, you  
15 know, search incident to arrest, plain view, and  
16 there's some others. I don't have it in front of me,  
17 but they -- we need to have a legal and lawful  
18 warrant that describes the person or place to be  
19 searched and the items to be seized.

20 Q Okay. You understand this case concerns  
21 allegations of the Fourth Amendment, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q We will get into the very specifics of the  
24 case and all the underlying different issues  
25 surrounding what happened here, but as we sit here

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 20

1 today, is it your opinion -- sorry, your position  
2 that the way that this search warrant was  
3 administered was in compliance with Mr. Williams'  
4 Fourth Amendment rights?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. You believe that the way that the  
7 knock and announce was made was in compliance with  
8 the Fourth Amendment?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You believe that the way the window was  
11 broken open with the distract device was in  
12 compliance with Mr. Williams' Fourth Amendment  
13 rights?

14 A Yes.

15 Q As we sit here today, just to -- you believe  
16 that the number and the way that the announcements  
17 were made was in compliance with Mr. Williams' Fourth  
18 Amendment rights?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. And you believe that the way that the  
21 door was breached was in compliance with  
22 Mr. Williams' Fourth Amendment rights?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. Can you walk me through -- what's the  
25 difference between a knock-and-announce and a

1 no-knock warrant?

2 A A no-knock warrant is done stealth. There's  
3 no announcement, they're just going in the door, not  
4 announcing, "Hey, it's the police, we have a search  
5 warrant." It's quiet and -- up until they do the  
6 breach and they just go in and get hands on the  
7 subjects.

8 Q And why would one be used over the other?

9 A Based on the crime, the violence of the  
10 crime. I know with our agency, we -- we don't do  
11 knock -- we have done them in the past. It's highly  
12 discouraged not to do them and I know there was a  
13 change later on that we had to go up to get a judge  
14 to declare that we could do a no-knock.

15 Q Okay. And so just -- I wanted to clarify,  
16 when you're saying that they're highly discouraged,  
17 you're talking about the no-knock warrants, correct?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Okay. As we sit here today -- oh, sorry,  
20 and what is a -- and I keep saying it wrong, I've  
21 seen CET, and that's the entirety of it, right?  
22 That's controlled entry tactic?

23 A Yes.

24 Q But I think there was a little bit of change  
25 in nomenclature right at the end, right?

1 A Not while I was there.

2 Q Okay. So can you please tell me what -- and  
3 just for ease of reference, we'll be saying CET for  
4 the remainder of the deposition -- what is a CET?

5 A So a CET is -- there's numerous factors that  
6 go into deciding whether to do a CET. So the threat  
7 behind the door, a suspect that may be armed, the  
8 suspects inside have violent tendencies, a violent  
9 history.

10 We have to have the ability to dominate,  
11 which essentially means that we can get in there  
12 pretty quick, if it's a one-bedroom apartment, a  
13 loft, a two-bedroom apartment. We couldn't do it on  
14 a 3,000 square feet home. We wouldn't have the  
15 ability to dominate and get in there quickly.

16 So those factors are what would authorize a  
17 CET.

18 Q Okay. Can you tell me -- can you explain to  
19 me what a CET is?

20 A So a CET is essentially the officers are  
21 going to have the legal and lawful search warrant.  
22 They're going to make announcements that it's the  
23 police, search warrant, the address and that.  
24 They're going to -- depending on the situation,  
25 they're going to have distracts and they're going to

1 do a breach. It could be an explosive breach, it  
2 could be a forcible breach or the citizen could come  
3 to the door, open the door and surrender.

4 And if that is not the case, then we go  
5 inside and we take people in the custody, clear the  
6 residence, make it safe for the detectives to come  
7 in.

8 Q Is there any rule about when distracts can  
9 be used in terms of -- in terms of it overlapping or  
10 interrupting the announcement of a police being  
11 present?

12 A No.

13 Q Why not?

14 A A lot of times if we have armed and  
15 dangerous subjects who want to take up arms, a  
16 distract could kind of pull their attention away and  
17 it's more disorienting. So a lot of times they will  
18 just -- they'll surrender. They'll give up. That  
19 loud noise, it startles them or scares them and they  
20 will just give up. They're -- and we can take them  
21 into custody.

22 Q If somebody is disoriented or is startled,  
23 how are they able to understand that the police are  
24 telling them that they're there under a lawful  
25 presence -- of the lawful premise of having a search



1 warrant?

2 A Well, again, we reiterate multiple times,  
3 you know, police, search warrant, we have a -- you  
4 know, make those announcements over and over and  
5 over, even when they're entering.

6 So 99 percent of the time, they just  
7 surrender, they just freeze what they're doing and we  
8 take them into custody. Or they open the door, come  
9 out, have their hands up and it's a peaceful taking  
10 into custody.

11 Q Okay. My question was a little bit  
12 different. And so you're saying 99 percent of the  
13 time. Is it your position as we sit here today that  
14 disorienting somebody doesn't violate their Fourth  
15 Amendment rights if it's made in the middle of an  
16 announcement?

17 A No.

18 Q But the purpose of the distracts is to  
19 disorient, correct?

20 A Yes, to prevent them from taking up arms, to  
21 not -- if they're sleeping in bed and they have that  
22 gun on that dresser, not to grab it, take up arms,  
23 and to surrender.

24 Q And as we sit here today, obviously that's  
25 not what happened in this case, correct?

1           A       Correct.

2           Q       Why don't you think that it was effective in  
3       this case?

4                   MR. ANDERSON:  Objection.  Form.

5                   Go ahead and answer.

6                   THE WITNESS:  Why it wasn't effective?  I  
7       think he was lying in wait there on the couch with  
8       the gun in hand and he waited until Kubla entered and  
9       his intent was to shoot the officers, to kill the  
10      officers.  Even after he saw that the police were  
11      there, he continued to fire until he was finally  
12      deceased and stopped.

13      BY MS. MURPHY:

14           Q       So it's your position that he was lying in  
15      wait and that he intended to kill police officers?

16           A       Yes.

17           Q       Okay.  If he is disoriented or confused, how  
18      could he formulate that kind of plan that quickly?

19                   MR. ANDERSON:  Objection to form.

20                   Go ahead.

21                   THE WITNESS:  We don't know what he was  
22      thinking.  All we know is he was in the corner with  
23      the gun.  A plausible explanation, if someone's  
24      scared or startled right away, before police even  
25      enter, you're going to have rounds being fired.

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 26

1 You're scared, you're just firing. Those rounds go.

2 He waited for the, you know, 17, 18 seconds  
3 before we got in, till he saw Kubla, and then fired  
4 those rounds, even when we were still saying,  
5 "Police, search warrant, officers are coming in."

6 So his intent -- and he did not stop until  
7 he was injured where he can no longer return fire. I  
8 think he fired 18 rounds.

9 BY MS. MURPHY:

10 Q And so just to confirm, though, I mean,  
11 you've made a couple conflicting statements, because  
12 you're saying, hey, I don't know what he was  
13 thinking, but this was what I was thinking. You  
14 understand you just made those conflicting --

15 A Yes, yes.

16 Q And so part of you saying that, hey, this is  
17 what I think he was thinking is because you want to  
18 justify the actions that were taken in this case,  
19 correct?

20 A No.

21 Q Then why are you making that conflicting  
22 statement?

23 A We took six rounds before we returned fire.  
24 They made announcements over and over, even the  
25 neighbors and the neighborhood heard those

1 announcements with the distract going.

2           So when you're saying "Police, we have a  
3 search warrant," and you fire rounds and you see  
4 officers coming in still saying, "Search warrant,"  
5 and you continue to fire those rounds, it's a logical  
6 conclusion.

7           Q     Have you ever been under fire?

8           A     No. Well, yes, I have never been in an OIS.  
9 I have been under fire many times.

10          Q     Okay. Tell me how -- when in the -- tell  
11 me -- if you don't mind, if you could walk me through  
12 the instances where you were under fire.

13          A     We were doing a knock and talk with a  
14 domestic violence victim -- and this was years ago --  
15 and a subject was in there, he was acting nervous.  
16 We asked him to leave so we could talk to her to get  
17 her story. He refused to leave. He started reaching  
18 for a gun, and my partner was in a struggle with him.  
19 The rounds were going off and he was able to get the  
20 gun out of his hand and take him into custody.

21          Q     Okay.

22          A     And I've been on numerous barricades where  
23 they're firing rounds, you're hiding behind cover.  
24 And search warrants with weapons involved. You've  
25 had people with the gun, that you, you know, give

1     them commands, "Hey, drop the gun," they've dropped  
2     the gun.

3             So numerous ones and I'm sure I haven't even  
4     touched, you know, 50 percent of those calls.

5             Q     Okay. Have you ever been either in your  
6     home or someone else's home on a couch and had  
7     somebody come in and start shooting?

8             A     Have I?

9             Q     Yeah.

10            A     No.

11            Q     Okay. So that would be when you're -- when  
12     you are -- sorry, when you are suggesting what that  
13     person might be thinking, that's a hypothetical for  
14     you, correct, because you've never been in that  
15     situation?

16            A     No.

17            Q     Okay. And to confirm, you were not present  
18     at -- you weren't present for this, correct?

19            A     No.

20            Q     Okay. Did you watch the body-worn camera  
21     footage later?

22            A     I did see some body-worn cameras. Not every  
23     single individual, but what CERT revealed to me  
24     during -- before my interviews.

25            Q     Okay. And as we sit here today, do you

1 remember which officers they showed you?

2 A I don't remember which officer it was  
3 exactly.

4 Q Let me ask it a little bit differently.  
5 This might be easier for you to remember.

6 Do you remember, like, what positions they  
7 showed you?

8 A Yes, during the Tactical Review Board, I  
9 mean, they pulled multiple body-worn cameras together  
10 so you can see, you know, Kubla going in, Kubla going  
11 down, Brice Clements going in, and then the return of  
12 fire. And I don't know which camera was which  
13 person.

14 Q That's fair. I guess I asked about the  
15 position because that might be a little easier to  
16 remember. So having reviewed all of that -- and  
17 we'll look at it again today, I'm not asking you to  
18 remember something from four years ago -- but you  
19 seem to be pretty confident about the times, because  
20 you've -- as we sit here today, can you walk me  
21 through what your positions are like on the times?

22 A On the times, as far as?

23 Q In terms of when they started knocking, when  
24 they entered.

25 A I don't have that off the top of my head.

1 Q Okay. Well, didn't you just offer  
2 16 seconds?

3 A From the time that they made entry, yeah;  
4 but I don't know, you know, the distract went off at  
5 this time and, you know, the -- when they were  
6 breaching at what second it was that the breach went  
7 in. I just know -- and I believe it's rough, 15 to  
8 18 seconds before they made entry.

9 Q And so I just want to -- and we're going to  
10 go through everything. I just kind of want to  
11 understand what your thought process is. That's why  
12 I'm asking this question.

13 When you say 15 to 18 seconds, are you  
14 talking about from when they started -- what's -- is  
15 it your understanding that's from when they started  
16 the announcement to when they breached the door or  
17 are you saying that that's when they ended the  
18 announcement and then they breached the door?

19 A The start of the announcement until they  
20 breached -- the breach was successful and they went  
21 inside.

22 Q Okay. And so let me back up a little bit.  
23 And like I said, we are going to go over the videos,  
24 but as we -- if you can kind of walk me through, as  
25 we sit here right now, what your understanding is of

1    how this unfolded, because we've kind of -- you've  
2    pulled from a couple different things, so I think  
3    what would be more fair for me is just to say to you  
4    walk me through what your understanding is.

5               MR. ANDERSON:  Objection to form,  
6    foundation.

7               Go ahead.

8               THE WITNESS:  As far as the service of the  
9    search warrant or how we got there in the first  
10   place?

11   BY MS. MURPHY:

12           Q     We're going to go over everything, but as we  
13   sit here right now, what I'm asking for is, like, the  
14   actual service of the search warrant.

15           A     So they have surveillance done, you know, an  
16   hour, two hours beforehand.  They have a briefing.  
17   Text us, we're there, if anything's changed.  They go  
18   over the plan, everyone understands the plan.  They  
19   reiterate information during that briefing.

20                   They make sure everyone knows the plan,  
21   everyone knows what's going to happen, and then once  
22   everyone is good with that, then they'll move to the  
23   apartment.

24                   They'll make their approach and they'll set  
25   up at the door.  The supervisor will make the



1 announcement, "SWAT, search warrant," say the  
2 address. And they will do a distract and then they  
3 will conduct the breach and breach the door.

4 Once the door is breached, then they will  
5 proceed inside.

6 Q Okay. And so I'm actually asking you to  
7 walk through what happened in this situation, to  
8 your -- as we sit here today.

9 A That's from my understanding, that's what  
10 transpired. They had the briefing. They made the  
11 announcements. They did the distract. They did the  
12 breach. And they went inside and then the shooting  
13 occurred.

14 Q Okay. As we sit here today -- and I know  
15 that you're retired now, but to the best of your  
16 memory -- actually, sorry, let me strike that. Let  
17 me ask it differently.

18 Did you ever receive any training while you  
19 were with SWAT about elements of knock and announce  
20 and the CET entries in terms of the Fourth Amendment?

21 A There is a classroom portion during SWAT  
22 school that we receive, during the breachers, through  
23 all the training, there is, and it always covers  
24 Fourth Amendment.

25 Q And what was that training? What did the

1 training tell you?

2 A I can't remember verbatim.

3 Q And that's okay if you can't remember  
4 verbatim. If you just want to walk me through what  
5 you do remember.

6 A As far as --

7 Q The Fourth Amendment training that you  
8 received.

9 A Fourth Amendment, like I said, people are  
10 protected from unreasonable searches. You can't --  
11 you need the exception and then you need a search  
12 warrant, a legal and lawful search warrant,  
13 describing the place to be searched and items to be  
14 seized.

15 Q Okay. And so that has more to do with the  
16 underlying -- and I'll fine-tune my question, then,  
17 because what you've answered, I think, is about the  
18 actual, like, mechanics of the warrant itself and  
19 what needs to be in there.

20 Were you ever provided any training, to your  
21 memory? And if so, what was it, in terms of, hey,  
22 this is how long you have to wait, or this is the  
23 kind of announcement that you need to make in order  
24 to provide the person or persons on the other side of  
25 the door appropriate notice under the Fourth

1 Amendment?

2 A During that -- we're aware of the U.S. v  
3 Banks, you know, and it's based on the totality of  
4 the circumstances. There's even another case -- I  
5 don't know if it's U.S. -- it's McCracken. I don't  
6 know the other -- if it's U.S. or someone else versus  
7 that, but essentially they said 10 seconds.

8 But it's also based on the totality of the  
9 circumstances. It could be five seconds based on the  
10 crime, what they're seeing, what the guys are  
11 observing, weapons involved, that violent history,  
12 the surrounding areas.

13 So it's based on the circumstances out  
14 there.

15 Q Okay. And the 10 seconds or five seconds  
16 that vary in time, is that from the end of the  
17 announcement or is that from the beginning of the  
18 announcement?

19 A I don't think we've covered that  
20 specifically.

21 Q Okay. And in covering that, did you guys  
22 ever discuss using distracts during the announcement  
23 and how that might implicate somebody's fair warning?

24 A No, the guys are shouting loud enough,  
25 sometimes the distract is done on the rear side --

1 which I think they did it on the rear side. I wasn't  
2 out there on the scene.

3 But we've served in -- you know, we have  
4 documentation that we've served like 1,487 warrants;  
5 90 percent of those warrants or 57 percent of those  
6 warrants were CETs. So we've had success in this,  
7 this is how we're trained, they've modified -- we  
8 never had a, hey, they didn't hear because of the  
9 distract.

10 And 99.9 percent of those, the individuals  
11 surrender, peacefully taken into custody. We've had  
12 no officer-involved shootings or no mistakes on  
13 those, so to speak.

14 Q There was a lot of -- well, you tell me if  
15 I'm right or wrong, because you're listing off, hey,  
16 we did all these other ones. My understanding of  
17 having reviewed this is there were a lot of things  
18 that were a little bit different along the way in  
19 this incident. And I'll walk you through what some  
20 of them were.

21 You were out on COVID, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. And then was it Findley, he was also  
24 out on vacation, correct?

25 A Yeah, the night of the warrant, he was

1 there.

2 Q Okay. But during the buildup and the  
3 authorization and all this other stuff, he was on  
4 vacation, correct?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So you were out sick, he was on vacation.  
7 And then Sergeant Backman, this was his first time  
8 out running a SWAT team on a CET, correct?

9 A No.

10 Q No?

11 A He -- during December, we had been on 22  
12 barricades with him --

13 Q Mm-hm.

14 A -- two hostage rescue incidents with him.

15 Q Okay.

16 A He was in major violator, so they serve  
17 warrants all the time. They do surrounded calls all  
18 the time. So I believe this -- we had several  
19 warrants, I can't -- if you know the number, please  
20 share.

21 Q No, I'm not trying to trip you up on the  
22 number.

23 A So he wasn't new by any sense. He wasn't in  
24 charge. He was in the steps -- he's taken the  
25 overall inside, but Garth Findley was in charge. He

1 was aware. That's why we do the briefing as well.

2 You could have -- say I was on vacation. I  
3 come in that day. I get everything I need to know at  
4 that briefing and I review materials.

5 So you don't have to have been there when  
6 the plan was being formed. And the plan could have  
7 changed at briefing. Something changed, some other  
8 new information could stop, halt, either we're not  
9 serving the warrant, we could take a different  
10 approach, we could do something else.

11 So him being fully briefed at that and he  
12 knew ahead of time was right in line with -- I had no  
13 problems with that.

14 Q Okay. My question was a little bit  
15 different, though.

16 MR. ANDERSON: Slow down a little bit for  
17 the court reporter.

18 THE WITNESS: Okay.

19 BY MS. MURPHY:

20 Q My question was a little bit different. So  
21 Sergeant Backman was the one that kind of created the  
22 plan, did the IAP, and all that stuff, correct?

23 A The assistant team leaders, the ATLs, create  
24 the plan.

25 Q Okay.

1           A       And they approve it and go over it and then  
2       I give the final authority on it.

3           Q       Okay. This was Sergeant Backman's first CET  
4       as part of SWAT, correct?

5           A       I don't have that for sure. I don't. I  
6       can't recall.

7           Q       Okay. So you had listed off some barricades  
8       and surrounds and callouts. Those are different,  
9       correct?

10          A       Not necessarily, no.

11          Q       Why aren't they different?

12          A       Well, we had two hostage rescues, which  
13       essentially your patrol is out there. We have, you  
14       know, someone in danger of their life being lost and  
15       we breach the door, we go in, and we take people into  
16       custody.

17          Q       Well, those are essentially no-knock, right?

18          A       No, the police are out there, bull-horning,  
19       they've been talking to them on the phone, they've  
20       had full notice, "Hey, I'm not coming out," and  
21       they've had communication. And the police had been  
22       surrounding it until we get there.

23          Q       Okay. Here there was no communication  
24       beforehand, correct?

25          A       No, they'd made the announcement, "Metro

1 Police, search warrant."

2 Q I guess -- and so let me refine my question.

3 In the hostage situation, there was no doubt  
4 that the person on the other side of the door knew  
5 that it was the police coming through, correct?

6 A Hopefully.

7 Q Yeah. And that there was some kind of --  
8 there was communication beyond a 10-second  
9 announcement, correct?

10 A Well, I would have to have the information  
11 on those hostage rescues. Not all the time as  
12 police -- there are sometimes we're called ahead of  
13 time that we're told, "Hey, I've got information on  
14 this tip line that this lady is being there," and we  
15 will do it just -- and there will be no  
16 announcements, and we'll breach the door, make the  
17 announcements once we're inside, "Police sergeant,"  
18 and go in and save the life.

19 So it depends. I don't recall what those  
20 two hostage rescue situations were.

21 Q Okay. So when you're offering that these  
22 would be sufficient training for Sergeant Backman in  
23 order to do this CET, that's actually speculation,  
24 now, correct? Because you don't know what the  
25 underlying factors were in the hostage situation, so



1 you can't make a direct line and say, hey, this  
2 properly prepped him for this, correct?

3 A The training we give them as well?

4 Q No, I'm talking about those -- the  
5 instances --

6 A I believe he went on another CET. I think  
7 it's in one of my documents that he did go on one or  
8 two more CETs that he had been on.

9 Q Okay.

10 A It's in my transcripts.

11 Q Did he go to SWAT school?

12 A No.

13 Q Okay. And so you're out on COVID, Findley  
14 is on vacation, and Sergeant Backman had never been  
15 to SWAT school?

16 A SWAT school was not a parameter to go on any  
17 barricades; the training was, and he did receive that  
18 training that I denoted in my transcripts.

19 Q And now they have to do more training,  
20 correct? That was another policy change after that,  
21 correct?

22 A I don't know. I wasn't there.

23 Q Okay. So there was definitely some things  
24 that were different about this than would normally  
25 happen, correct?

1 A No.

2 Q No? So that's normal for Findley to be on  
3 vacation, you to be out on COVID, and for the person  
4 running it not to have ever been to SWAT school?  
5 Those are normal parameters?

6 A Yes, because SWAT school is only given once  
7 a year. And, like I said, maybe it's in March, and  
8 maybe he gets there in May, so what we do is  
9 extensive one-on-one tutoring and training, which is  
10 even better, Listed in my transcripts is -- it's more  
11 one-on-one.

12 Q That's your opinion, correct?

13 A It's absolutely. When I get one-on-one  
14 training, it's absolutely better because he is the  
15 focus of everything. He also had those 22 barricades  
16 where he shadowed a little bit and then he was given  
17 authorization to go in as he improved.

18 He is in next step. So we're reviewing him  
19 and as I stated he was far and beyond. It was a busy  
20 December.

21 When I took over as SWAT commander, I only  
22 had 13 barricades under my belt; he had 22 in one  
23 month. Not to mention he was in major violators,  
24 which everything they -- they have arrest warrants  
25 where they're going after a suspect. So he was well

1 above-and-beyond trained.

2 So being on vacation doesn't -- and not  
3 being part of the plan, he is still reviewing the  
4 plan. You can change things in that moment that can  
5 stop and negate it.

6 I spoke to Russ on the phone, and I knew  
7 from talking to other individuals that the plan was  
8 going to be a CET. I wanted to hear because he was  
9 new that he fully understood what a CET was. Just  
10 say, "Hey, it's a training moment, tell me why we're  
11 doing a CET. Explain to me why we can't do a  
12 surround and callout."

13 So we spent time on that phone and he  
14 articulated everything, didn't need help, he fully  
15 understood. And once he understood, I said, "Okay,  
16 put that in a text message," and he sent it to me and  
17 the approval was given.

18 Garth, when he shows up on scene, he has to  
19 read the search warrant, he has to get familiarized  
20 with everything going on, looks at the plan, and he  
21 okays it or not okays it. He can say yep, nope,  
22 we're not doing that. Or he can continue and he  
23 continued.

24 No one there had a problem with doing the  
25 CET and it was the best tactic to use at the time.

1 Q Why do you say "at the time"?

2 A Well, I haven't been there, I've been  
3 retired for two years, so I don't know what they're  
4 doing now, if things have changed, if tactics have  
5 changed. But in that moment, that was the best  
6 tactic to use at the time.

7 Q Do you have any understanding that they  
8 have, in fact, changed it? If they were to serve a  
9 similar warrant today, it would be a surround and  
10 callout?

11 A No, like I said, I'm retired. I don't keep  
12 in touch with the gentlemen still on the team. I  
13 know a lot of them have promoted and retired.

14 Q Okay. And so is it your position that the  
15 CET was optimal or the only way that this could have  
16 been served?

17 Do you understand the difference?

18 A The CET was the only way you could serve  
19 that warrant. And there had been, in the history of  
20 serving warrants at that apartment complex, 3050  
21 South Nellis, has always been a CET.

22 Q Okay. And so if I told you that as we sit  
23 here today, that the Metro policy changed and today  
24 that would be -- I'll stop saying surround and call,  
25 they call it an SACO, if that's easier.

1           A       SACO.

2           Q       SACO. If I represented to you that as we  
3 sit here today, that this would be served as a SACO  
4 and not a CET, would that change your opinion at all  
5 whether it could only be served via CET?

6                   MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

7                   Go ahead.

8                   THE WITNESS: SACO is not the safer way to  
9 do that. And based on the parameters of -- that they  
10 could not land BearCats, there was that metal  
11 fencing, the ARCO gas station there and a  
12 non-friendly apartment complex, numerous people  
13 going.

14                   So it is -- today the CET should be the  
15 optimal method to use. I know -- just because they  
16 change policy doesn't take away that that's still the  
17 better way to serve that warrant.

18           BY MS. MURPHY:

19           Q       Okay. I guess my question is -- and so I'm  
20 going to refine my question -- is it your position as  
21 we sit here today that a SACO could not have been  
22 done on that?

23           A       No. If we were going to do a SACO, I would  
24 have turned it into a barricade and we would have  
25 handled it as a barricade. So we could take that

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 45

1 fence down, evacuate people, cause damage inside the  
2 apartment, get the neighboring residents out and take  
3 them out. And it would have been more of a package.  
4 It would have been handled as a barricade.

5 Q Mr. Williams is dead and a police officer  
6 was shot. Do you think this was a safe way to serve  
7 this warrant?

8 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.  
9 Go ahead.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes. As I stated, the CET is  
11 the safer method. The surround and callout is not  
12 feasible. We would have had to do it as a barricade,  
13 so if you're serving a warrant, the CET is the best  
14 method. Yes, it's tragic that the individual died,  
15 it's tragic that my officer was shot --

16 BY MS. MURPHY:

17 Q His name is Isaiah Williams.

18 A My apologies. That Mr. Williams is deceased  
19 and that Officer Kubla has, you know -- his injuries,  
20 and not to mention the toll, the mental toll and the  
21 psychological toll on the families and the officers.

22 But CET is the safer method to serve that  
23 warrant.

24 Q But it wasn't in this case, correct?

25 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

1 THE WITNESS: Mr. Williams decided to fire  
2 at officers coming in the door. So he made that  
3 decision and continued to fire until he was no longer  
4 able to.

5 BY MS. MURPHY:

6 Q Well, just to confirm your earlier  
7 testimony, Mr. Williams obviously decided to fire,  
8 but whether or not he knew that those were police  
9 officers is speculation, correct?

10 A Yes, we -- he is deceased. He was not able  
11 to give a statement.

12 Q Okay. All right. And so as we sit here  
13 today, do you have any understanding of how -- of any  
14 of the policy changes that were implemented following  
15 this incident?

16 A No.

17 Q Okay. Do you have any understanding of the  
18 recommendations that CERT made to change policy?

19 A I think CERT, and this is from reading  
20 the --

21 Q I'm sorry, CERT is C-E-R-T.

22 A CERT made some recommendations that the CET  
23 would only be done for no-knocks. And I know at the  
24 time they were saying SWAT should be used as the last  
25 resort; and when I left, I think SWAT was serving

1 everything again.

2 And those are the ones that I'm familiar  
3 with.

4 Q Do you have any understanding about their  
5 recommendations about changing the use of distracts?

6 A No.

7 Q Okay. Do you have any understanding about  
8 their criticisms of any of the work that you did on  
9 this case?

10 A Not directly.

11 Q What is your understanding, then, directly  
12 or indirectly?

13 A I know when we went to the Tactical Review  
14 Board and they had the -- they said we should not  
15 have done a stun stick and that we shouldn't have  
16 done a CET, I went through the Tactical Review Board,  
17 defended that position and I was not sustained on any  
18 of that. I did not receive any discipline or --  
19 essentially, they agreed with the conclusion that  
20 after the explanation, CET was good at the time and  
21 the stun stick was good at the time.

22 And I believe one of the officers said that  
23 saved his life, too, the distraction, because Isaiah  
24 started shooting at -- I believe it was Rothenburg  
25 and Bertuccini at the window.



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 48

1 Q Yeah, where the window was broken and what  
2 sounded a lot like gunshots came through, correct?

3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: I wasn't there. I don't know  
5 that.

6 BY MS. MURPHY:

7 Q All right. Have you heard these stun sticks  
8 go off before?

9 A Yes.

10 Q What does it sound like to you?

11 A It's a noise, loud noise, that -- I don't  
12 know how many decibels it is, but it's a loud noise  
13 that goes off like every half a second. I believe  
14 that was nine loud noises that go off.

15 Q Okay. And I'm sorry, I'm going to loop back  
16 to something you just said. So you defended all  
17 the positions. You defended the various positions  
18 during the tactical review and you understood that  
19 none of your -- that your positions weren't  
20 sustained, correct?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q Okay. But as we sit here today, that  
23 doesn't -- you're still defending everything and that  
24 doesn't change your position on anything, correct?

25 A No, that was the training that I received at

1 the time, the information that we had at the time,  
2 and it basically stops right there. I was retired  
3 afterwards. The TRB was, like, my last day at work  
4 and then I was retired.

5 Q Sorry. What was --

6 A The Tactical Review Board. When they  
7 finished their report and then they present their  
8 findings and then we're able to go in.

9 Q And I know what most of the acronyms mean,  
10 but I'm just trying to keep it a clean record.  
11 Sorry.

12 A Sorry.

13 Q That's okay. All right.

14 Can you kind of walk me through what was  
15 your understanding of the purpose of the warrant?

16 A So the search warrant was to further an  
17 investigation of a homicide. The detectives had a  
18 homicide that occurred, Mr. Thomas. He was at a bus  
19 stop and two subjects went up there and shot him. He  
20 died of his gunshot wounds.

21 The homicide investigators did a Crime  
22 Stoppers, a tip came out, and the stepmom asked to  
23 speak with homicide and revealed that she believes  
24 her stepson, Wattsel Rembert, and Corvell Fischer  
25 were involved.

1           So they did a lengthy interview with that  
2   and why she thinks it was him. And she said she had  
3   a phone call with him and fronted him out and while  
4   she was talking to Wattsel, he said, "They captured  
5   us on film," which, to her, alluded to the fact that  
6   he didn't say, "Hey, it wasn't me, I was in Texas."  
7   He said, "Hey, they captured us on film," while she  
8   was on the phone. And the other family members also  
9   said yes, that's Wattsel. They recognized his walk  
10   and then Corvell Fischer.

11           So she said he is living at 3050 South  
12   Nellis, Apartment 1125. I believe she showed a photo  
13   of that apartment complex.

14           Shortly after that homicide, there was a  
15   shooting, a 415A, which is assault with a gun; and it  
16   was at that same complex. Approximately 20 rounds  
17   were fired and they found Wattsel's BMW with an MP5.

18           They did photo lineups with the two victims  
19   there, a female and a male, and apparently this was  
20   over a traffic accident that happened a few days  
21   prior at the complex. They both were shown the photo  
22   lineup at the same time, and they both identified  
23   Wattsel as the suspect, so they had probable cause  
24   for Wattsel, in addition to also being a person of  
25   interest in the homicide of Thomas.

1 Corvell Fischer was also seen in the  
2 complex. There was a report of a guy with an assault  
3 rifle on his back and that lined up with the house  
4 arrest information. They actually can show you a  
5 photo and he had an ankle bracelet on that really  
6 shows he was really in Apartment 1125.

7 So that corroborated Wattsel being there.  
8 They said it was a flophouse, that numerous subjects  
9 were coming and going, and they were using drugs.  
10 And this is per stepmom and family. And that they  
11 were armed, and that they had weapons. And, again,  
12 we saw Corvell Fischer with the assault rifle and it  
13 all lined up.

14 So they had the search warrant. They had  
15 probable cause for Wattsel for that shooting and they  
16 had the search warrant for that residence. They did  
17 surveillance and they saw numerous people coming and  
18 going.

19 Q But none of them were Corvell or Wattsel,  
20 the actual suspects, correct?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q Sorry, go ahead.

23 A And so they had the probable cause based on  
24 the mom, based on the -- I was able to corroborate  
25 that. And I do believe when they took Rembert into

1 custody a few days later, he did admit to being at  
2 3050 South Nellis as well.

3 Q Obviously he wasn't there when they executed  
4 the warrant, correct?

5 A So they the PC for that, but they were  
6 looking for the -- the evidence was a gun and  
7 clothing.

8 Q And what is the difference between a warrant  
9 for property and an arrest warrant?

10 A Arrest warrant is for that individual. They  
11 are -- they have the -- take him into custody.

12 For property, they're going to look for, you  
13 know, items listed for that property.

14 Q Does how the warrant is executed change  
15 based on whether it's an arrest warrant or a warrant  
16 for property?

17 A No. I've spoken extensively on this. And  
18 the warrant being served is based on the threat  
19 behind the door, armed subjects, having guns -- it's  
20 corroborated -- unfriendly police presence.

21 The suspects or other individuals involved,  
22 their violent tendencies, their violent history,  
23 having a gun involved in the commission of a crime  
24 and that they're still outstanding.

25 So that's what we're looking for before we

1     serve the warrant.

2             Now, I've explained thoroughly, I'm sure  
3     you've read it, that it is for property, but it is  
4     not strictly for property. It's what else is going  
5     on inside that apartment. And let me give you an  
6     example.

7             If we were just doing for a pedophile and we  
8     just wanted his laptop for evidence and the pedophile  
9     was not home -- or a drug dealer, an armed and  
10    dangerous drug dealer, who is walking into 7-Eleven  
11    and we take him into custody, we interview him and  
12    he's like, "My grandma is inside," we still need to  
13    get the narcotics or whatever else, but we do not  
14    believe she's a threat. We just want to go in there  
15    and get the property, whether it's dope, laptop, a  
16    gun. We're not going to do a CET for that because  
17    she is not a threat.

18            Now, if the subject is in there and he has a  
19    violent history, violent tendencies, they -- Rembert  
20    told his stepmom that "They'll never get me,"  
21    something along those lines and that he had -- he  
22    knew that we were after him as well, to willfully  
23    surrender, and he was still a threat to the public.  
24    And him having a traffic accident a few days ahead of  
25    time showing that he lives there, him having a

1 shooting there with other residents, he is a threat  
2 to that public.

3 So it's not just for the property solo,  
4 absent anyone inside; it's who else is inside that  
5 door, inside that residence.

6 Q But when they went to go serve the warrant,  
7 they didn't have any reliable information on who was  
8 there; isn't that correct?

9 A We don't need to have 100 percent. It's not  
10 in case law, 100 percent reliability.

11 Q They didn't even have 50 percent reliable.

12 A We had him committing crimes, told by his  
13 family that he is staying there, that it's a  
14 flophouse, and he had a shooting there. So it  
15 corroborates it. We need probable cause. We don't  
16 need beyond a reasonable doubt.

17 You're asking for beyond a reasonable doubt.  
18 We don't need that, we need probable cause.

19 Q And one of the individuals did have an ankle  
20 monitor, correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And it was pinged that he wasn't there at  
23 the time that the warrant was executed, correct?

24 A Correct. But we were still told it was a  
25 flophouse and numerous subjects armed and dangerous

1 and Wattsel was still outstanding, meaning not in  
2 custody.

3 Q What was your understanding of how much  
4 recon they did on this beforehand?

5 A I can't give you a time frame. I know we  
6 recon every place they go and they get an eye and  
7 they try and get as much information as they could.

8 I know that the criminal intelligence  
9 section, surveillance team, did some surveillance on  
10 there and they felt uncomfortable. I think they  
11 believe they were made, that they were discovered,  
12 and they stopped doing the surveillance.

13 Q Okay. And so -- sorry, this is kind of --  
14 why don't we just take a quick break.

15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at  
16 11:19 a.m.

17 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)

18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record.  
19 The time is 11:28 a.m.

20 BY MS. MURPHY:

21 Q All right. We took a brief break and having  
22 taken a brief break, I'm pretty sure I know the  
23 answer to this, but I'll ask anyway.

24 Is there any of the testimony that you gave  
25 before our break that now you've had a chance to take



1 a break or think about it that you want to change or  
2 amend at all?

3 A No.

4 Q Okay. I'm going to try to pull up some of  
5 the videos because I wanted to ask you some questions  
6 about those.

7 And if you'll just bear with me, I also had  
8 brought these on the zip drive, but something is  
9 wrong with my Zip drive reader on my laptop. I'm  
10 going to try to cue it up to the best of my  
11 abilities, but if it pauses or skips, we'll just redo  
12 it.

13 A Okay.

14 Q And I don't want to watch the whole video,  
15 there's just really only about a minute and a half I  
16 would like to go over.

17 And I'm just going to make sure it runs  
18 through cued up and then I'll turn it around and  
19 you'll watch it.

20 A Okay.

21 Q And so Melanie, if it's okay, I'm going to  
22 move this over right next to you.

23 Is it okay if I stand next to you?

24 A Yes.

25 Q I'm going to hit pause. I just want to make

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 57

1 sure you're not uncomfortable.

2 MR. ANDERSON: She's armed.

3 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not, actually. I'm  
4 retired. But I was leery about not having anything.

5 BY MS. MURPHY:

6 Q And I'm just going to play it through just  
7 to kind of walk you through it. I'm just going to  
8 play it through this spot, this minute. And this is  
9 the approach as they come up and then the shooting.

10 MR. ANDERSON: Whose camera is this?

11 MS. MURPHY: This is Rothenburg. I'm going  
12 to look at two different. This one is -- actually,  
13 I'm sorry, I think this is --

14 THE WITNESS: It's Rothenburg.

15 MS. MURPHY: Yeah, it's Rothenburg.

16 (Video played/video stopped.)

17 BY MS. MURPHY:

18 Q So now I'm going to go through and stop it  
19 at a couple critical points, because that kind of  
20 gets up to the entry and the breach.

21 Okay. So hold on. Okay. And so we're  
22 going to play up through another couple seconds and  
23 then when we first hear the announcement, I'm going  
24 to pause it and then we're going to look at the  
25 timestamp, okay.

1 (Video played/video paused.)

2 BY MS. MURPHY:

3 Q So my understanding -- and I'm trying to get  
4 it as close as I can to this -- but what does that  
5 timestamp say up there?

6 A 13:00.09.

7 Q Okay. And so that, would you agree with me,  
8 that's when you can kind of first hear?

9 A Yeah, maybe a little bit before.

10 Q Yeah, either 08, or 09, right?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Okay.

13 (Video played/video paused.)

14 BY MS. MURPHY:

15 Q And so then we have the glass breaking and  
16 what's the timestamp say up there?

17 A 13 and then 16.

18 Q So it was probably like 15 or 16, correct?

19 A (Witness nods head.)

20 Q Okay. So either taking it from 09 to 15 or  
21 08 to 16, that's six to seven seconds, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. It's not -- if you want a pen and a  
24 piece of paper to do the math, that's okay.

25 And so do you have any understanding as we

1 sit here today where that window was relative to  
2 where Mr. Williams was?

3 A No.

4 Q Okay. Would it surprise you to learn that  
5 that window was essentially right next to the sofa  
6 that he was on?

7 A No.

8 Q Okay. As we sit here today, do you think  
9 that entry at six or seven seconds after the  
10 announcement first starts being made, do you think  
11 that complies with Mr. Williams' Fourth Amendment  
12 rights?

13 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

14 THE WITNESS: Depends on the totality of the  
15 circumstances. So Bertuccini could explain why he  
16 did it at that time based on what he's seen. I was  
17 not there, so I could not give an opinion on that.

18 BY MS. MURPHY:

19 Q Sorry, just for clarity, this was  
20 Rothenburg.

21 A But Bertuccini was the one that had the stun  
22 stick.

23 Q Okay. So as we sit here today, I just want  
24 to make sure I understand, because my only next time  
25 to ever talk to you again is going to be at trial.

1           When you go to trial, you're going to say "I  
2   have no position on whether entering the unit, the  
3   apartment at six to seven seconds from the beginning  
4   of the announcement violates Mr. Williams' Fourth  
5   Amendment rights"?

6           A     No, I would have to know what Bertuccini was  
7   thinking, what they saw, what made that decision. So  
8   it would have to come from there. I'm not there, I'm  
9   home with COVID. So if I was on the scene, I would  
10   have, you know, the mics cued up, information. I do  
11   not know. So I cannot make an informed decision.

12          Q     Okay. All right.

13                (Video played/video paused.)

14   BY MS. MURPHY:

15          Q     And so you hear him say, "Hit it, hit it,  
16   pull," correct?

17          A     Uh-huh.

18          Q     Okay. And so that's either at -- I'm sorry,  
19   can you read the timestamp up there for me?

20          A     13 and 20.

21          Q     Okay. So it's -- I'm not perfect at  
22   stopping.

23          A     Yeah, I understand.

24          Q     Okay. And so, "Hit it, hit it, pull, pull,"  
25   that is not a police presence announcement, correct?

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 61

1           A       No, the announcements are coming from the  
2       sergeant.

3           Q       Right. But you understand, as we look --  
4       and I'm just asking you, I know that you're saying,  
5       hey, I wasn't there, but what we're viewing on this  
6       body-worn camera is the window being broken and then  
7       the announcement of, "Pull, pull, hit it, hit it,"  
8       being made next to the broken window, correct?

9           A       Yes.

10          Q       Okay. And I'm going to ask you the same  
11       question and if you answer me the same every single  
12       time, that's fine. As we sit here -- and so I'm  
13       going to -- that's approximately 10 to 11 seconds  
14       after the first -- after the announcement is starting  
15       to be made, okay. Would you agree with that math?

16          A       Yeah. And announcements should be done  
17       throughout, as they enter, search warrant.

18          Q       And so as we sit here today, do you believe  
19       that 10 seconds from the beginning of an announcement  
20       to breaking open a window and making nonpolice  
21       announcements, do you think that that complies with  
22       Mr. Williams' Fourth Amendment right to be given an  
23       opportunity and awareness that police are trying to  
24       enter the unit?

25                   MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

1 THE WITNESS: You can hear the announcements  
2 being made. So the announcements were being made  
3 by -- I believe it's Sergeant Backman. So, again, I  
4 cannot comment. I wasn't there. I don't know what  
5 they were thinking, what they saw, or the decisions  
6 that were made.

7 BY MS. MURPHY:

8 Q Okay. Okay. And can you read that  
9 timestamp up there for me?

10 A 23. So 13 and 23.

11 Q Okay. So it's 22, 23, okay.

12 So looking at that, then we know whether  
13 it's, you know, eight or nine when the announcement  
14 starts being made. We then have within 23 seconds  
15 and the announcement's still being made. And then  
16 the flashbang goes off, correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Okay. And your earlier testimony was the  
19 purpose of the flashbang is to disorient and  
20 surprise, correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. Do you think that a flashbang going  
23 off while an announcement of police presence is still  
24 going off complies with my client's Fourth Amendment  
25 right to be given -- to first notice that police are

1 present and the opportunity to answer the door?

2 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

3 Go ahead.

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 BY MS. MURPHY:

6 Q Okay. All right. Now, we're going to look  
7 at Officer Kubla.

8 Thanks for bearing with me on my slow  
9 computer.

10 A It's all good.

11 Q Craig will tell you, I've tried to do this  
12 eight different ways and each way has been slow.

13 A You could get an AP or an Apple.

14 Q Oh, yeah.

15 And I'm going to cue this up. I'm going to  
16 put it just --

17 (Video played/video paused.)

18 BY MS. MURPHY:

19 Q Sorry, I know you can see it down here, but  
20 just for the record, I think I said it, but I want to  
21 make it clear, we're looking at Kerry Kubla's  
22 body-worn camera.

23 A Correct.

24 (Video played/video paused.)

25 ///



1 BY MS. MURPHY:

2 Q Similar to last time, I'm going to let this  
3 minute run through and then we'll go back and stop,  
4 okay?

5 A Okay.

6 (Video played/video paused.)

7 BY MS. MURPHY:

8 Q Okay. So I'm going to scroll back. We're  
9 going to look at the announcement. Sorry.

10 Okay.

11 (Video played/video paused.)

12 BY MS. MURPHY:

13 Q All right. What does that timestamp say  
14 over there?

15 A 12:59 and 57.

16 Q And I'm going to say -- I think it starts at  
17 around 56 or 57.

18 A Correct.

19 Q And so we agree that that's the time of the  
20 announcement, based on this --

21 A Yeah, and it could be within a second margin  
22 or millisecond.

23 Q And I'll represent to you that I've watched  
24 all of these a few times and I like to go by the  
25 timestamp on each individual because I think a couple

1 of them are off by a couple of seconds.

2 A Okay.

3 Q Based on this one, though, we agree that  
4 Kerry Kubla is at 56 or 57?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Okay. And that's when the announcement  
7 starts being made, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Okay.

10 (Video played/video paused.)

11 BY MS. MURPHY:

12 Q Can you -- did you hear the glass break  
13 around the corner? Did you want me to replay that?

14 A No, I believe I heard it.

15 Q Okay. And that's -- what time is that at?

16 A 13 and 04.

17 Q Okay. And if you want me to replay it, it's  
18 fine.

19 A No.

20 Q Well, I'm going to ask you the next  
21 question. You may say, hey, hey, I want to re-watch  
22 it again.

23 Had they made two full announcements when  
24 the glass broke?

25 A I believe so.

1 Q All right. Let's watch it one more time.

2 And let me ask you this foundational question: What  
3 does an announcement have to have in it?

4 A That we're the police and that we have a  
5 search warrant.

6 Q Do you have to list the address?

7 A We do do that and they have, but it's not  
8 the requirement. We want them to know, hey, it's  
9 police, we have a search warrant.

10 Q Okay. So if you -- and how many times do  
11 you -- is there a requirement of how many times?

12 A I believe they say it all throughout, even  
13 when we're, you know, on other incidents. They just  
14 continue to say, "Police, police, Metro Police.

15 Q Okay. All right.

16 (Video played/video paused.)

17 BY MS. MURPHY:

18 Q Sorry, I did it a little slow again, but we  
19 agree, right, that he starts hitting the door?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Okay. And can you tell me what that  
22 timestamp is up there?

23 A 13 and 08.

24 Q Okay.

25 (Video played/video paused.)

1 BY MS. MURPHY:

2 Q Do you know what that noise was?

3 A No.

4 Q Okay.

5 (Video played/video paused.)

6 BY MS. MURPHY:

7 Q And, sorry, so then the door -- I did that a  
8 little slow. I'll go back from when the door comes  
9 open. Sorry about that.

10 (Video played/video paused.)

11 BY MS. MURPHY:

12 Q Do you know what that was?

13 A No.

14 Q Okay. But it seems to be a flash from  
15 inside the unit, correct -- inside the apartment,  
16 correct?

17 A Yeah.

18 (Video played/video paused.)

19 BY MS. MURPHY:

20 Q Okay. Can you read me the timestamp up  
21 there?

22 A 13 and 12.

23 Q Okay. And that seems to be -- in that one  
24 or two seconds, that's when the door comes open,  
25 correct?

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 68

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. So as we sit here today, based on the  
3 totality of circumstances, we've got the announcement  
4 being made; we've got the window being broken within  
5 six to seven seconds; we've got an announcement of,  
6 "Pull, pull, pull, get it in," within 10 seconds; and  
7 then based on this video, we have the entry at about  
8 15 seconds there at the very beginning of the  
9 announcement.

10 That totality of circumstances, do you  
11 believe that that complied with my client's --  
12 Mr. Williams' Fourth Amendment rights?

13 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 BY MS. MURPHY:

16 Q Okay. At any point here, do you think my  
17 client had an opportunity to submit to the police?

18 A I wasn't out there, so I can't make an  
19 informed decision on that or in his mindset and he  
20 wasn't interviewed.

21 Q Okay. So I just want to make sure, when we  
22 go to trial, that's going to be your same position,  
23 correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. Fine. Thank you for bearing with me

1 on that.

2 A No problem.

3 Q Give me one second to get reorganized over  
4 here.

5 And we've gone over -- we've kind of brushed  
6 on it, but I want to really kind of dig into it. In  
7 terms of -- we know that you weren't out there. Can  
8 you please explain to me your position and what that  
9 entailed relative to this incident? What were you in  
10 charge of, what were you doing? If you could kind of  
11 walk me through that.

12 A I'm in charge of approving the tactics,  
13 obviously reading the warrant and approving the  
14 tactics.

15 Q Okay. And so I kind of asked it to you  
16 before in a kind of a compound way, but I'll ask it  
17 for you again.

18 I will represent to you that one of the  
19 legal elements of knock and announce is after notice  
20 of his authority and purpose, an officer is refused  
21 admittance.

22 Having reviewed those videos -- we can watch  
23 them all over again if you want and the other ones as  
24 well if you feel like you need more information --  
25 but based on watching those videos, what did

1 Mr. Williams do to refuse admittance while the door  
2 was closed?

3 A Not answering the door or saying, "Hey, I  
4 give up, I surrender," something along those lines.

5 Q And you think that he could have done that  
6 in under 10 seconds?

7 A Absolutely.

8 Q Okay. How could he have done that in under  
9 10 seconds?

10 A I had UPS at the door the other day. I'm  
11 sitting there right by the couch, boom, he knocks, I  
12 get up, it's half a second, one second, 1.5 seconds,  
13 I answer the door.

14 Q Was he at your door at 5 a.m.?

15 A No. But he also wasn't in the back bedroom,  
16 he was right there by the door as well, on the couch  
17 by the door in the front room.

18 Q No, he was by the window.

19 A Yeah, but he is still -- he is not upstairs  
20 in the bedroom or on the bathroom down the way.

21 Q Why are these warrants served at 5 a.m.?

22 A Because it's much safer for us. If you have  
23 a vehicle pursuit, it's much safer to have a vehicle  
24 pursuit at 3 a.m. where there's no road traffic,  
25 people aren't out, citizens are usually in bed

1 sleeping. So it's safer for us as opposed to doing  
2 it during rush hour. You don't want a vehicle  
3 pursuit during rush hour when people are out,  
4 vehicles are out, it's just too many --

5 Q But this isn't a vehicle pursuit case.

6 A Correct, this is just an analogy.

7 Q Okay. So why are these warrants served at 5  
8 a.m.?

9 A This particular warrant was served at 5 a.m.  
10 because we believed Wattsel committed the crime at  
11 like 3 a.m. or somewhere in the early morning hours,  
12 the other day, too, but it's also safer. This was a  
13 very active complex that had several people coming  
14 and going.

15 So that's not safe to have people out and  
16 about. And that was the time that they believed that  
17 he would possibly be there, so to lessen the risk to  
18 the community, having people safely inside the  
19 residence and not out walking and about.

20 It's also Nellis is a major road, cars  
21 coming and going. There's less likely to have that,  
22 and that ARCO is not going to be as occupied as it is  
23 going to be at noon, in the middle of the day.

24 Q Is part of the purpose also to catch people  
25 asleep?



1           A     Not necessarily asleep, home. But it's  
2     really the major factor is the threat to the  
3     community.

4           Q     Okay. I'm going to -- you referenced U.S. v  
5     Banks a little bit earlier and I'm going to read you  
6     a passage from that.

7                     And it says, "After 15 to 20 seconds without  
8     a response, officers could have fairly have suspected  
9     that Banks would flush away the cocaine if they  
10    remained reticent."

11                    Here there was no 15 to 20 seconds between  
12    the announcements and the entry, correct?

13          A     There was -- say that one more time.

14          Q     Sure.

15          A     Just the last part.

16          Q     Sure. Here there was no 15 to 20 seconds  
17    between the end of the announcements and the entry,  
18    correct?

19          A     I think they made entry at 15 seconds is  
20    what we said.

21          Q     I think so, yeah.

22          A     So, yeah, they made the entry at 15 seconds.

23          Q     From the beginning of the announcement,  
24    correct?

25          A     Yes.

1 Q Okay. So there was no pause during any --  
2 there was no pause. There was no time frame from  
3 which they stopped making the announcements to where  
4 they waited, correct?

5 A No. I mean, they're moving through, so it's  
6 not -- it's fluid.

7 Q Right. And here, I understand -- you know,  
8 one of the -- you know, one of the issues with U.S. v  
9 Banks -- you said you were familiar with the case and  
10 I'll represent to you --

11 A I don't know it 100 percent, like I said.  
12 It's been a while.

13 Q If you don't know any part of it, you can  
14 just tell me. And if I'm lying, Craig will call me  
15 out on it, too.

16 So in U.S. v Banks, one of the issues --  
17 I'll represent to you one of the issues they were  
18 concerned about was the destruction of evidence  
19 because they were going after cocaine.

20 Here there was no -- well, you tell me, do  
21 you think there was any concern about potential  
22 destruction of evidence when they were serving this  
23 warrant? Given that they were going after a gun, a  
24 gun can't be flushed down a toilet?

25 A No. No.

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 74

1 Q Okay. And so is it fair for me to -- is it  
2 fair to say that destruction of evidence wasn't a  
3 concern serving this warrant?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Okay. Now, I'm going to go over some stuff.  
6 Hold on. Some of the CERT stuff.

7 And as we sit here today, do you understand  
8 that CERT had -- we'll go over a number of their  
9 criticisms, but do you understand that one of the  
10 criticisms that CERT had was the limited amount of  
11 recon that was done before this search warrant was  
12 served?

13 A That their concern was, yes.

14 Q Yes. And do you understand what elements  
15 that CERT felt that they had not kind of ruled out  
16 before serving this warrant?

17 A No. Police --

18 Q Okay. So according to CERT, that for the  
19 3050 South Nellis Boulevard warrants --

20 A Yeah.

21 Q -- and I'm quoting directly from the report,  
22 it's at Page LVMPD 4461, "Additionally, in the 3050  
23 South Nellis Boulevard warrant, the suspects were  
24 never physically seen or confirmed in the apartment."

25 Do you understand?

1           A       Yeah, I understand.

2           Q       "Further, there was never a determination of  
3       the possibility of kids, elderly, pets, or vulnerable  
4       persons present in the apartment. While it was  
5       determined there were enough factors from the  
6       homicide investigation to place the suspects and/or  
7       the evidence of the homicide, there were enough  
8       unknown factors to cause Captain Cole and Lieutenant  
9       O'Daniel to recognize the most prudent tactics to  
10      serve this warrant should have been a SACO."

11                   Do you have a position on CERT's conclusion  
12      on that?

13          A       Yeah, they're wrong. And one of the issues  
14      we've always had is CERT's making these  
15      recommendations and they have no idea what a -- I  
16      have to go into the meeting and explain what a SACO  
17      is or explain what a CET is.

18                   So the surveillance, so they tried to do  
19      surveillance. It's not a requirement. We don't  
20      have, you must -- we've tried in the past, hey, you  
21      must do eight hours of surveillance or you must --  
22      it's not an arrest warrant where it has something  
23      that says beyond a reasonable doubt, he will be in  
24      there. We don't have that in our policy or SOP.

25                   So what's a reasonable amount of time? And

1 it was tried. We were unable to continue the  
2 surveillance because the officers felt they were at  
3 risk. So not having kids or elderly, we were  
4 correct, there was no kids, there was no elderly  
5 based on the information we had.

6 So there's no set time and I don't know of  
7 any case law that says you must do this amount of  
8 surveillance or you must know this. We had probable  
9 cause. We had a mom coming in unprovoked, the crime  
10 of the assault with the gun shooting, him being  
11 there. So we had enough probable cause that the  
12 subject was there.

13 We also had the crimes continuing to be  
14 happening, the threat to the community. We have a  
15 responsibility to, you know, serve the search  
16 warrant, get him into custody, or prove or disprove  
17 that he is actually the one involved with Mr. Thomas'  
18 death.

19 Q But to be clear, you didn't arrest  
20 either Wattsel -- you didn't arrest either one of the  
21 suspects, correct?

22 A No, they weren't there at the time.

23 Q And there was also -- none of the evidence  
24 related to the homicide was there either, correct?

25 A No, but we still have a duty to check that,

1 to further the investigation.

2 Q And so you essentially ruled out that any of  
3 the evidence was there or that the suspects were  
4 there?

5 A I don't know exactly what they found or  
6 anything. Obviously, you know, SWAT is there to  
7 serve the warrant. What the detectives found or what  
8 they determined or their conclusion -- and, again, I  
9 did retire, so I didn't stay on top of that.

10 But for CERT to say that they didn't feel we  
11 had enough and based on, what, did we violate a  
12 policy? Did they say you must have that subject in  
13 that apartment before you can serve it?

14 We believed we had probable cause that he  
15 was in that apartment.

16 Q Okay. And so I will say that according to  
17 CERT, they did find that there was a violation and  
18 I'll read this to you. And this is 3.6 from the  
19 report Bates LVMPD 4469.

20 "CERT concluded while the SWAT section  
21 manual contained verbiage allowing for SWAT operators  
22 to conduct a CET for property when there is a threat  
23 of an armed and dangerous subject, it was not  
24 appropriate given the amount of unknowns associated  
25 with Apartment 1125.

1 "There were numerous amounts of unknown  
2 factors to include who was actually staying in the  
3 apartment and if there were children, elderly, or  
4 vulnerable individuals present inside the apartment.

5 "SWAT's decision to serve the 3050 South  
6 Nellis Boulevard search warrant as a CET was a policy  
7 training failure and not within standardized LVMPD  
8 tactics, training, and policy."

9 And then they cite LVMPD SWAT section manual  
10 1.0, 3.14, and 9.01, Pages 183 to 186.

11 It was your decision and your authorization  
12 to do the CET, correct?

13 A Correct.

14 Q So when CERT is saying that it was a  
15 violation -- a policy violation training, they're  
16 talking about your decision, correct?

17 A Correct. And that was the same information  
18 I had to go into my Tactical Review Board and I was  
19 able to explain why we did it to the board -- there's  
20 two civilian citizens on there -- and I was not found  
21 sustained on those.

22 Q Sorry, what does "not found sustained" mean?

23 A Basically I proved to them that we were  
24 authorized CET. It was within our policy and  
25 procedures. It did meet it. And that's why that

1 tactic was used. They didn't overrule it, they  
2 didn't say nope.

3 If they did anything, they could change  
4 policy later, but that wasn't saying it was a policy  
5 violation at the time. That was their conclusion  
6 that I was able to disprove at the Tactical Review  
7 Board.

8 Q You were the one that wrote the policy,  
9 right?

10 A No, this policy came from years on down the  
11 line. The thing I added was "absent a threat,"  
12 because there was confusion.

13 Q Okay. Sorry. Let me state that better,  
14 then.

15 You were the one who rewrote the policy,  
16 correct?

17 A I didn't rewrite it. I just wrote that  
18 "absent a threat" inside that policy because they  
19 weren't understanding.

20 Again, we have people interviewing us who  
21 have no idea about SWAT tactics and that came up in a  
22 previous incident, so we had to clarify it. So it  
23 was clarified, not changed.

24 Q Okay. And so just for the sake of the  
25 record, I mean, I know the facts, but I want to make



1     sure the record is clear.

2             You were involved in modifying or  
3     amending -- I'm not trying to parse words or get you  
4     to say a certain way, but you had added -- sorry, you  
5     had added or changed some verbiage and I think it was  
6     in September of 2021, correct?

7             A     Possibly, yeah. I don't have the exact  
8     date, but I'll --

9             Q     I'm not trying to trip you up.

10            A     I was ordered and as part of my duty as a  
11     lieutenant and tactical commander to clarify that  
12     policy. Not change it, but clarify it.

13            Q     Why did it need to be clarified?

14            A     Because people were thinking, like, we serve  
15     narcotics, they were just thinking, oh, dope is  
16     property and you can't do a CET for dope. And we  
17     were saying yeah, you can, because everyone in SWAT  
18     understood that you can serve that warrant for dope  
19     and do it as a CET because there was a threat, the  
20     guy was armed and dangerous. We had to have some  
21     other factors, it wasn't clarified.

22                     So we knew what was going on, but these  
23     outside entities, again, who have no SWAT training  
24     are reading and they're like "Oh, wait, you can't do  
25     it for property." Yeah, we can, because there's a

1 threat.

2 And I explained that to them, they  
3 understood it, and it was not sustained.

4 Q Okay. And you were the one that kind of  
5 amended that in 2021, related to a different  
6 incident. What was the other incident?

7 A I think it was -- I believe it was the  
8 Jasmine King incident.

9 Q Okay. Okay. And as we sit here -- I know  
10 I've asked you this a couple of times, but in this  
11 line of questioning I just want to make it clear, as  
12 we sit here today, you're unaware if they have or  
13 have not changed or further amended --

14 A I don't know what's going on there.

15 Q And so it's fair for me to assume if there  
16 was, that you weren't involved?

17 A Yeah, I wasn't involved in that.

18 Q Okay. But you do understand as we sit here  
19 today that CERT said, one, it wasn't within LVMPD  
20 training and policy; and two, that they recommended  
21 changing some of the policies, correct?

22 A Yes, and I was able to disprove that.

23 Q You were able to disprove that how?

24 A That it was within policy and it was within  
25 the SOP.

1 Q Okay. But you can't disprove that they  
2 modified the policy after?

3 A Yeah, they can make any changes they want  
4 later on, unbeknownst to me.

5 Q Right.

6 A And just because they change it doesn't mean  
7 it was wrong. They -- like we used to have the LVNR,  
8 they took it away. Doesn't mean it was the wrong  
9 tactic. It was just used in the wrong way and  
10 tragically, someone died.

11 Q And so then we had gone over the timing and  
12 I will read to you some of CERT's conclusions about  
13 the timing in terms of inserting the stun stick,  
14 breaching the door, that kind of thing. And this is  
15 3.8 and it's LVMPD 4470.

16 "The question was whether waiting six  
17 seconds before inserting the stun stick and then  
18 waiting another 10 seconds before making a forced  
19 entry satisfied the requirement that officers wait a  
20 reasonable amount of time to provide occupants an  
21 opportunity to peaceably submit to a search."

22 And they say, "See United States v Banks and  
23 the Betty v State." I think that's the Nevada case.

24 A Yeah, I don't know that one.

25 Q CERT found that, "Under the conditions

1 present here, six seconds was insufficient to allow  
2 occupants time to answer the door, let alone to  
3 submit to a search."

4 And my understanding of your prior  
5 testimony -- you tell me if I'm right or wrong -- is  
6 that you actually think that was sufficient time, but  
7 you are not willing to provide an opinion on this  
8 specific set of events because you weren't there.

9 A Correct.

10 Q Okay. So it's a distinction where you're  
11 saying, hey, I think in a vacuum, you know, based on  
12 circumstances it could be enough, but I'm not going  
13 to give an opinion on this specific issue because I  
14 wasn't there?

15 A Yeah. And I don't know what's going on in  
16 the officers' minds. You'd have to ask those  
17 officers why they did it in that moment.

18 Q Okay. They also said, "Additionally,  
19 deployment of the CET contradicted the  
20 knock-and-announce principles."

21 Do you understand what they mean by that?

22 A Do they further elaborate?

23 Q Yes.

24 A Okay.

25 Q "The CET is intended, in part, to surprise

1 and overwhelm occupants inside the residence. Yet  
2 the intent of a knock and announce is to provide an  
3 opportunity to comply before a forced entry is made,  
4 thus is a policy and training failure and not within  
5 LVMPD standards."

6 A So the surprise and overwhelm is where they  
7 surrender. They're not going take up arms, they're  
8 not going to take hostages, they're not going to  
9 flee. They're just going to surrender, so...

10 Q Well, it's also to confuse and distract  
11 them, correct?

12 A To, one, so they're, "You got me, I'm not  
13 going to do anything, I surrender," as opposed to  
14 saying -- you know, without that distract, there's a  
15 potential for the suspects to go, "I'm going to go  
16 down with a gun, I'm going to take them out."

17 So the distract causes that confusion that  
18 they'll just surrender. And that has happened  
19 99.9 percent of the time.

20 Q I'm going to read to you part of your CERT  
21 interview when you described the purpose of the  
22 distraction. And this is from -- because there's  
23 two -- sorry, give me one second.

24 This is from your first interview and this  
25 is Page 12 of the document Bates LVMPD 718.

1           You said, "And that's to give that  
2    distraction that, for your senses, that deprivation,  
3    that not knowing what's going on, where they just  
4    kind of freeze. It's almost like spotlighting an  
5    animal where they just freeze. They don't know  
6    what's going on and -- and that was authorized based  
7    on the crime at hand."

8           Do you think it's appropriate to liken a  
9    suspect to an animal?

10          A     No.

11          Q     Okay. But based on this statement in here,  
12    the intention is to freeze them, right, to surprise  
13    them, to overwhelm them?

14          A     Yes. And then, again, based on our training  
15    and experience, based on the, you know, over 1,400  
16    warrants we served, they just surrender. Like, "I'm  
17    just going to give up, I'm not going to fight you,  
18    I'm not going to run, I'm not going to shoot at you,  
19    I'm going to surrender."

20          Q     Okay. And so I'm just going to loop back to  
21    my original question, though. Do you understand --  
22    first, I want to make sure that you understand the  
23    CERT's conclusion and then I want to ask you if you  
24    agree or disagree with it.

25                So what CERT is saying, based on my

1 understanding -- and I've read it to you -- is that  
2 the distractions, because they can confuse, that it  
3 contradicts the concept of knock and announce,  
4 because the purpose of knock and announce is to give  
5 somebody fair notice.

6 Are you with me?

7 A Yes.

8 Q So if you distract and confuse them, that  
9 contradicts giving somebody fair notice?

10 A It's not meant to -- the distract and  
11 confuse doesn't make them so oblivious that they're  
12 not able to make a decision.

13 And what we see is when we throw the  
14 distract, when we use the distract, they hear it and  
15 they, instead of running, instead of fleeing, instead  
16 of shooting, they JUST give up. They see we're the  
17 police and they understand.

18 So it's not to oblivion of a distract, but  
19 it's just to stop them from thinking to take all --  
20 something where we need to use less lethal, something  
21 where they're going fight us, they just give up.

22 Q But that didn't work here, right?

23 A No, he decided to shoot at Officer Kubla.

24 Q As you sit here today, you don't know if he  
25 was aware that it was police officers coming through

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 87

1 the door?

2 A Nor do you guys know.

3 Q What we do know, and you'll agree with me,  
4 right, is he was on a couch that was next to a window  
5 that was broken open within six seconds of an  
6 announcement made outside of a locked door?

7 A Correct.

8 Q And that within a few seconds of that, what  
9 he would have heard closest to him is not, "Hey, this  
10 is the police," it would have been, "Pull, pull,  
11 pull, go." Correct?

12 A We don't know that.

13 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

14 THE WITNESS: We do not -- because they were  
15 making announcements beforehand. For that six  
16 seconds he did hear those announcements.

17 BY MS. MURPHY:

18 Q But we agree the window was broken and that  
19 that's what the officers were saying right next to  
20 the window?

21 A Yeah, but we don't know what he heard. You  
22 don't know and we don't know.

23 Q Is "Pull, pull, pull, go," part of a police  
24 announcement?

25 A They were shouting and you can hear them --



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 88

1 Q That wasn't --

2 A -- during a search warrant. That was  
3 communication between the two operators right there.

4 Q Correct. Being yelled outside a broken  
5 window, correct?

6 A Yes, between Bertuccini and Rothenburg.

7 Q Okay. Is that part of a police  
8 announcement?

9 A No. The announcements were being made by  
10 the people who were entering.

11 Q Is there any way, as we sit here today,  
12 based on your years of experience -- I understand you  
13 have lots of SWAT experience, you've done hundreds of  
14 these search warrants -- is there any way that -- in  
15 your vast experience, is there any way that, "Pull,  
16 pull, pull, go," could be interpreted by anybody to  
17 be informative that the police were trying to come  
18 through the door?

19 A We've had much success as I've stated.  
20 We've never had that incident. So what he was  
21 thinking, you don't know and I don't know; but what  
22 we do know is once the police entered the door,  
23 shouting "Police, search warrant," that he fired six  
24 rounds before we even returned fire.

25 Q So my question was a little bit different.

1 My question was: Based on your years of experience,  
2 is "Pull, pull, pull, go," would that give anyone  
3 reasonable notice that police were on the other side  
4 of a broken window?

5 A "Police, search warrant," would let them  
6 know that.

7 Q I didn't ask about that. I asked about --

8 A I don't know.

9 Q -- "Pull, pull, pull, go."

10 A I don't know. I know that the announcements  
11 were being made, so I can't make that determination.

12 Q You can't tell me whether or not --

13 A I don't know if he heard that on the inside  
14 or not. I know these two operators are communicating  
15 and they're able to communicate. That's authorized.  
16 And that they were saying it's a search warrant,  
17 Metro Police at the front.

18 So they're allowed to communicate. They're  
19 allowed to have words and to talk. So we don't know  
20 what Isaiah heard or what he was thinking.

21 Q And I'm not asking you to qualify what  
22 Isaiah heard or not. What I'm asking you is based on  
23 your years of experience -- and you have served all  
24 kinds of warrants like this -- is the term "Pull,  
25 pull, pull, go," based on your years of experience,

1 would that indicate to somebody -- if they did hear  
2 it -- that it was police officers?

3 A It's conversation between those two guys.

4 Q That's not what I asked.

5 A Well, I can't answer to that. It's a  
6 nonsensical question to me.

7 Q Okay.

8 A These guys are having a conversation. We  
9 don't know what he heard or he didn't hear. But I  
10 know they were making announcements as that was going  
11 on.

12 Q So your position is that --

13 A So they're not allowed to just be numb and  
14 don't say anything. They have to communicate. So  
15 the announcements were being made. That wasn't the  
16 only thing going on at that time.

17 So I can't answer. They're allowed to  
18 communicate. That's within policy, common sense, to  
19 communicate.

20 We don't know if he heard that. We don't  
21 know. So how can I make a solid decision on that?  
22 We don't know.

23 Q So as we sit here today, the question I've  
24 asked four times now is whether or not "Pull, pull,  
25 pull, go" would give, based on your experience, a

1 citizen fair notice that it was police on the other  
2 side and you're saying "I can't take a position on  
3 that"?

4 A I'm saying to let them know that the police  
5 are on the other side, they're hearing, "Police,  
6 search warrant."

7 Q I'm asking you about "Pull, pull, pull, go."

8 A I'm saying that's a conversation. You're  
9 saying he wouldn't know that. I'm saying that's a  
10 private conversation. We don't know if he heard it  
11 or not. They're allowed to have private  
12 conversation.

13 Q Melanie, let me correct you here. I'm not  
14 asking you to take a position on what Isaiah did or  
15 didn't hear. What I'm asking you is, based on your  
16 years of experience and all these things serving, if  
17 someone did hear it, if someone heard "Pull, pull,  
18 pull, go," based on your years of experience --

19 A I would say yeah, several warrants we've had  
20 we say, "Hey, watch out for that brick" while they're  
21 still making announcements. There's a multitude of  
22 angles you could go with that.

23 So you saying that Isaiah was right there at  
24 the window and he heard, "Pull, pull, go, go," and  
25 that's not police language or a "Police, search

1 warrant" is wrong. They were making announcements.  
2 These guys are allowed to have conversation.

3 And suspects, a lot of them have had  
4 experience and they know what's going on. They know  
5 when they hear certain things, they hear us walking  
6 on the rocks, oh, police are coming.

7 We don't know that, but I do know  
8 announcements were being made and that several  
9 subjects around the complex heard, "Police, search  
10 warrant. Metro Police, search warrant."

11 Q And so it's your position that "Pull, pull,  
12 pull, go," is part of the police announcement?

13 A It's a conversation, a private conversation  
14 between those guys.

15 Q Okay. All right.

16 A And that's my position. It's a conversation  
17 between them at the same time "Police, search  
18 warrant" was being made.

19 Q Okay. All right.

20 You understand that there was -- if I  
21 told -- if I represented to you that one of CERT's --  
22 well, were you aware that one of CERT's  
23 recommendations was that CET only be utilized when a  
24 no-knock search warrant was approved?

25 A After?

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 93

1 Q Yeah.

2 A No, I wasn't aware of that.

3 Q Okay. We didn't watch the video for this  
4 specific issue, but do you understand that -- and if  
5 you want to re-watch it, you can tell me -- do you  
6 understand there was an issue with them breaching the  
7 door because of the brass wrap?

8 A Yes.

9 Q What's your understanding of that?

10 A I wasn't out there, so I am not going -- all  
11 I know is during some officer testimony they said  
12 they weren't aware that there was a brass wrap.

13 Q We watched the video and I'm happy to play  
14 it for you again.

15 A That's okay.

16 Q You see them hitting the door multiple  
17 times, right?

18 A Yes.

19 Q If you had been present that day, would you  
20 have called a tactical and withdrawn?

21 A No.

22 Q Why not?

23 A It's not my decision. I would have been in  
24 the command post. It's something they would do in  
25 that moment, that the officers there would do at that

1 moment. So there's nothing, no line in the sand  
2 absolutely you have to call a tactical on this. It's  
3 a judgment call.

4 Q And so, Melanie let me ask you, have  
5 you been at --

6 A No.

7 Q You've never been at the front --

8 A I'm in the command post, in the RV, if you  
9 will, at a distance.

10 Q Okay.

11 A And I don't have access to the body-worn  
12 camera. I'm on the outskirts with a camera up above  
13 and sometimes I have drone or robot footage, but I  
14 don't have that. So, therefore, it's a decisions  
15 that those officers make in that moment and it's up  
16 to them.

17 Q And so that I actually didn't understand.  
18 So I just kind of want to make sure. That part I  
19 didn't understand.

20 I had assumed that you were -- I want to --  
21 like, have you ever been, like, part of that group  
22 that serves it?

23 A No.

24 Q Okay.

25 A I'm stepped back at the command post.

1 Q Okay.

2 A Most of the time it's two blocks away down  
3 the street.

4 Q Okay. So then that's a very fair question.  
5 So when I'm saying, hey, would you have called a  
6 tactical, that's a -- you say, "Hey, I've never been  
7 in that position, so I don't want to give an opinion  
8 on that"?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Okay. All right. Even -- and so let me ask  
11 you, as part of your training for the position that  
12 you took, had you ever, like, gone with the group to  
13 serve these warrants?

14 A No. That's not my role. I would -- you  
15 should never be -- you should have that distance. I  
16 am a lieutenant, I'm the incident commander or the  
17 tactical commander. I'm always stepped aside so you  
18 have that overall perspective.

19 I'm not wearing a vest, I don't have a gun,  
20 I'm never issued -- besides my police duty weapon,  
21 but I am not a SWAT operator; I'm the incident  
22 commander.

23 Q Okay. You guys know the ins and outs of  
24 this and I don't know.

25 A Okay.



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 96

1 Q So now I understand a little bit better the  
2 distinction between operations and then you're  
3 tactical?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Okay. All right. Sorry, I'm just going  
6 over my notes.

7 A It's okay. Gives me a chance to drink  
8 water.

9 Q Do you want to -- can we just take a --

10 A No. Or do you need --

11 MR. ANDERSON: I'm good. Don't worry about  
12 me.

13 BY MS. MURPHY:

14 Q All right. And so we talked about it a  
15 little bit before, but I will represent to you  
16 another one of the -- another one of the  
17 recommendations that CERT made -- and I'll read it to  
18 you. I'm just going to read part of it. If you want  
19 me to read the whole paragraph, I can.

20 But it says, "Any involvement of a new  
21 sergeant in FTEP during a live mission will only be  
22 in the capacity of a supervisory role and under the  
23 guidance of an on-scene sergeant."

24 My understanding is that they had criticisms  
25 about Russ Backman -- if I say running it, but do you

1 disagree with me if I say running it?

2 A He wasn't running it.

3 Q Okay.

4 A Garth Findley was running it and he is like  
5 me, on the outside, getting the overall picture and  
6 then we send Russ in to kind of control the inside.

7 Q But do you understand that they're saying  
8 that essentially he didn't have enough training or  
9 that they wouldn't have done it that way again?

10 A They could have changed it after the fact,  
11 but, again, I was able to provide the evidence and  
12 prove -- and there was never a policy violation that  
13 said he will absolutely go through SWAT -- SWAT  
14 school is a big to-do task to get individuals  
15 through. Especially they have to be new.

16 Q Okay. Do you understand that one of the  
17 recommendations was that LVMPD created an official  
18 approval form for the service of a SWAT search  
19 warrant service?

20 A Approval form for like -- no, I'm not aware  
21 of that, so I can't speak to it. I would have to  
22 ask, like, okay, who's approving because we already  
23 had Captain Cole approve the serve warrant service.

24 Q And I'll represent to you I think part of it  
25 was the unstructured way that it went back and forth

1 between, like, text messages and e-mails. And there  
2 was stuff -- you understood --

3 A Yeah. So we have official documentation,  
4 yeah, but that wasn't there when I was doing it.

5 Q I understand. And you understand that there  
6 was an issue with the IAP, kind of being, for lack of  
7 a better term, like Frankensteined together?

8 A Yes, that was Captain Cole. I don't approve  
9 the IAP. That's up to the SWAT commander.

10 Q Anything regarding the IAP, you're like,  
11 hey, that's --

12 A Yeah, at that time, they said the captain  
13 will do it and does approval, as you know, you've  
14 read it, speaks through the chain, has the  
15 conversation, does all that.

16 Q Okay. One of the things that you talked  
17 about in one of your CERT interviews -- and I wanted  
18 to ask you about this -- is that you refer to a  
19 YouTube video by, what is it, the National  
20 Tactical -- is it NTOA?

21 A Yeah, National Tactical Officers  
22 Association.

23 Q Yeah, I tried to find it myself and  
24 couldn't. So I was wondering if maybe you could give  
25 me some cues, because I really -- because I know that

1 you played the video during this and you were saying,  
2 "Hey, look, they did this, this, and this like this,  
3 and this is why I think we're in line with it."

4 So I wanted to ask your help today to see if  
5 I could figure out which video you were looking at.

6 A I just Googled it, "NTOA" and "methods to  
7 serve a search warrant."

8 Q That's not the search thing I put in. So  
9 let me -- if you'll just bear with me, I wanted to  
10 try that.

11 A But I'm not going to remember -- you know,  
12 you could have 15 things that come up. I'm not going  
13 to remember verbatim what that video was.

14 Q And I'll say to you mostly what came up was  
15 a bunch of plaintiffs' lawyers stuff, so I'm pretty  
16 sure we can -- that you weren't relying on there.

17 A No, no. It was just an example because, you  
18 know, NTOA does things differently than we do. Like  
19 I said, they actually throw blind -- at that time, I  
20 don't know what they're doing today, tactics  
21 change -- but at that time, they call it a bang,  
22 which is a distract, and they would actually throw it  
23 inside the residence, which is something we don't do.  
24 Our distracts are -- you know, we throw it on the  
25 ground or we use the stun stick. But we're not just

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 100

1 in the back bedroom throwing a flashbang in there.

2 Q And so sorry, if you could -- what search  
3 term did you put, it was "NTOA" --

4 A "Service of a search warrant." And, you  
5 know, I don't know the specifics at the time, but I  
6 know -- like I said, I had to in the interview to  
7 show them, like, here, here is how they were doing it  
8 to give them an example.

9 Q Right.

10 A And searches from -- and I don't know if I  
11 named like, hey, when that video was. So you might  
12 get something for today, which isn't applicable to  
13 what we were doing in 2023.

14 Q I was just -- yeah, no, no, no, and I get  
15 that, but you had talked about the YouTube video  
16 quite a bit and I had gone directly to YouTube and  
17 put in some search stuff. All that came up was,  
18 like, plaintiffs' lawyers stuff and I was positive  
19 that's not what you were citing in your standards.

20 A Yeah.

21 Q Sorry.

22 A CERT would have all that. I mean, you know,  
23 you do the interview and you show them stuff, so they  
24 should -- yeah.

25 Q I was just wondering if you could help me,

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 101

1 if we can find it, but I can look for it afterwards,  
2 too, on YouTube.

3 A And that would be the main thing that you  
4 would see, is, you know, they make the announcements,  
5 do the distract, breach, go in, and then you'll see  
6 those bangs. So if you find that video.

7 Q Yeah. Give me one second. And I know this  
8 was years ago and all the algorithms change.

9 A And I don't even know if I did it on  
10 YouTube. You know, you can Google and it shows you  
11 YouTube and stuff like that.

12 Q You said YouTube in here several times. I  
13 get it.

14 A The video comes out on YouTube, but you know  
15 when you're doing the search engine.

16 Q Yeah.

17 A I could have been using that search engine  
18 of Google, and then Google can provide you -- say,  
19 hey, here is actually a YouTube video.

20 But I wasn't searching on YouTube for that  
21 video, if that helps.

22 Q Okay. That does. Yeah, I don't see  
23 anything that seems to be -- I was hoping something  
24 would pop up.

25 A Yeah, I know I did extensive research in

1 preparation for my interview, so I can't give you the  
2 100 percent.

3 Q If you brought the video with you, do you  
4 think it's maybe -- do you have it saved anywhere?  
5 Did you e-mail it to anyone?

6 A No, it would have been just pulled up on a  
7 department laptop, "Hey, here it is," and that's it.  
8 Nothing of my own.

9 Q Yeah, I mean, because based on the  
10 interview -- and, I mean, I can find out if CERT  
11 still has the video, too -- but based on what you  
12 said during the interview, my understanding was that  
13 you were kind of like, "Hey, watch this video and how  
14 the guys did it was in line with that." So I was  
15 wanting to see the video.

16 A Yeah, and we're not 100 percent in line with  
17 it. We're actually more restrictive. Like I said,  
18 we don't throw those flashbangs into the room.

19 Q And one of the other things, one of the  
20 criticisms was that it was a covered window.

21 Did you understand the criticisms on that?

22 A Yes.

23 Q What was your understanding of that  
24 criticism?

25 A Again, CERT doesn't have the training in

1 tactics. We do the stun stick on a distract, put it  
2 up to the -- you know, 10 feet, 11 feet so it's away  
3 from individuals. The guys can rake out those blinds  
4 and it's up to the operators there to clear that  
5 area, too, you know, as they see it.

6 The authorization of a stun stick, like I  
7 said in my interview, it can stop -- if you went up  
8 there and something changed, if they were doing that  
9 surveillance ahead of time and, "Hey, the subject  
10 left," there's other parameters in place. But the  
11 officer has to make that decision. He has  
12 authorization, but something could change that he  
13 could say, "Yeah, I'm not going to it, it doesn't  
14 meet the -- I don't feel comfortable, we tipped a guy  
15 off," or they gained some additional intelligence  
16 right before the service.

17 Q Okay. And one of the things -- sorry, I'm  
18 just kind of jumping around because I'm looking at my  
19 notes here.

20 We talked about the Jasmine King case, and  
21 one of the things is that you said in your CERT  
22 interview, one of the reasons that you amended or  
23 modified the policy in 2021 is because you said  
24 internal investigation was doing an investigation on  
25 tactics.



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 104

1 A Exactly.

2 Q What was your understanding of why IA was  
3 doing an investigation on tactics?

4 A It came from someone higher up. They're not  
5 supposed to do tactics. That was one thing that was  
6 wrong from the beginning. That's not their job, and  
7 it may have even -- I know I did in the post  
8 conversations with the administration, like why is IA  
9 doing this? This is clearly wrong. CERT should be  
10 doing it and did not agree with that. None of us  
11 did, because it's not their job.

12 Q And if I -- you know, I've read a lot, so,  
13 and if this is incorrect, this is just pulled from my  
14 memory. I do remember you saying in here somewhere,  
15 where they kind of also asked you, "Hey, why is IA  
16 doing this?" You were like, "I can't comment on an  
17 active investigation."

18 As we sit here today, I mean, I doubt  
19 there's still an active investigation, but you're  
20 saying -- which is kind of what I thought, although  
21 I'm not educated like you are -- what was your  
22 understanding of why IA was looking at this?

23 A They were ordered to --

24 Q Do you know --

25 A -- look at it.

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 105

1 Q I'm sorry. I shouldn't have interrupted  
2 you. I'm sorry.

3 A They were ordered to do the  
4 investigation from -- CERT is fully aware of what  
5 happened beforehand. They didn't feel the need to  
6 review it or take on that investigation. Some of the  
7 upper administration was not happy with that, so --  
8 and they were also in charge of internal affairs, so  
9 they ordered internal affairs to do it.

10 Q Okay. And as we sit here today, because  
11 this didn't kind of come through clearly for me, what  
12 was IA looking at?

13 A If there was -- obviously, if there was  
14 something we did that was contrary to policy, that  
15 contradicted policy, that was a violation of --  
16 because basically that's all they can look at. They  
17 look at our SOPs, our manual, and see if we violated  
18 it.

19 Again, we weren't sustained on any of that.  
20 I think there was -- I can't remember -- something  
21 minor that was sustained on, like having a beard or  
22 something, you know, frivolous, not something, you  
23 know, you violated this SOP.

24 Q Okay.

25 A And then again, they, at that time, they

1 were like, "Well, you clearly can't serve this for  
2 property." I'm like, "Yes, we can, and this is why."  
3 And they're like, "Well, it doesn't say that."

4 So that's why when the investigation was  
5 over, I said, "I need to fix that so you guys  
6 understand when you read it." And they said  
7 "Absolutely," and once the -- everything was  
8 finished, we changed -- I was ordered to change that  
9 so it was more clear for those outsiders who don't  
10 understand SWAT tactics.

11 Q Okay. One of the things that you talked  
12 about, and I'll read you your exact sentence -- and  
13 this is from your second interview, Page 21, Bates  
14 LVMPD 690, "And the majority of the recommendations  
15 that have been changed since I've been there have  
16 come from CERT."

17 And so, and I kind of wanted to ask you a  
18 little bit about that more. So you have been -- and  
19 I don't want to get into the actual background of  
20 other policy changes that are in no way related to  
21 this case -- but part of my understanding is when you  
22 were talking about your role, part of your role is  
23 that you would at times rewrite or modify policies,  
24 correct?

25 A I would be ordered to rewrite it based on

1 conclusions of executive staff or CERT findings or  
2 even IOCP. I know we had some assistant sheriffs  
3 that went to those conferences and they make  
4 recommendations, so they would review our manual and  
5 say change that, and I would change it.

6 Q And just for the record, what is IOCP?

7 A It's international -- it's a chiefs  
8 conference. I don't know all of that. I haven't  
9 been to any of them, but it's basically training and  
10 learning, these conferences, at the chief level, the  
11 higher-up level.

12 Q Okay. But based on this, this testimony you  
13 just gave, that "the majority of the recommendations  
14 that have been changed" -- that's what you said --  
15 "since I've been here," have come from CERT?

16 A Correct.

17 Q Okay. And that didn't -- I mean, this was  
18 within so close -- I mean, I think this second  
19 interview was -- was December -- or, sorry,  
20 October 12th, so it was literally 45 days before you  
21 retired?

22 A Yeah.

23 Q Okay. And so if even 45 days before you  
24 retired, most of the time when there's, like, a  
25 tweaking or amendment to a policy, it comes from

1 CERT?

2 A Yeah, and that's their role. They see if  
3 there's something that needs clarification, taken  
4 out, and we abide.

5 Q Okay. And one of the policy changes that  
6 you talked about as well is, I think that there --  
7 you tell me if I'm right or wrong -- you had a long  
8 paragraph of dialogue, I'm trying to understand it.

9 You talked about a policy change from FNUs  
10 and LNUs?

11 A First name unknown, last name unknown.

12 Q Okay. And you kind of -- do you remember  
13 talking about that?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Okay. Can you kind of walk me through -- I  
16 didn't completely -- I didn't completely understand  
17 what you were talking about. Could you kind of  
18 explain that to me a little bit?

19 A In the past, we had -- mainly for  
20 narcotics -- that we would serve a -- they would want  
21 a search warrant for a particular place, but they  
22 didn't know who was inside. And they would do a --  
23 they call it LNU/FNU, last name unknown, first name  
24 unknown. And we changed from that and said, no, we  
25 need to know, you know, who's the occupants here.

1 Give us as much as you can.

2 Now, it didn't totally exclude serving the  
3 search warrant, it's depending on the crime.

4 Narcotics, yeah, we're going to ask more from you.  
5 Pedophilia, we may not have all that. Maybe they're  
6 just going after the computer hard drive. So we  
7 would still serve that warrant.

8 So it just would depend on what we were  
9 going after, but we would not just take that as a --  
10 you show us your due diligence, show us that you did  
11 X, Y, and Z, and you absolutely -- all they know him  
12 as is Scooby-Doo. Hey, what's Scooby-Doo's name? We  
13 don't know, but we know Scooby-Doo.

14 So we would put more -- okay, we're going to  
15 need to have this, this, this, and this. And they  
16 can go through all that and they still may not know.  
17 We still may serve it, we may not serve it. But that  
18 wasn't just a catchall, boom, and we wouldn't ask any  
19 further questions.

20 Q Okay. All right. Have you come to learn  
21 anything about Mr. Williams since this incident?

22 A Since I've been retired on this?

23 Q Well, since the officer-involved shooting.  
24 Since the officer-involved shooting until today.

25 A I think the mother -- there was video, North

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 110

1 Las Vegas came forward and said, hey, we were looking  
2 for him for some robberies, I believe. And there was  
3 some interview from the mom on body-worn camera that  
4 she was talking not in a good light, saying, you  
5 know, he's a problem, he's kicked out, I only have a  
6 few items.

7 So that came forward after the fact, once  
8 North Las Vegas Police Department found out that we  
9 had this officer-involved shooting with Isaiah  
10 Williams.

11 Q And did North Las Vegas give that to Metro?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Okay. When did that happen?

14 A Oh, I can't tell you. I know it was  
15 afterwards. All I know it was after the OIS.

16 Q Sorry, let me ask the question more  
17 artfully.

18 Were you still working there?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Okay. And do you remember how it was  
21 transmitted to you guys?

22 A I can't recall specifically.

23 Q Okay. All right. Have you come to learn  
24 anything else about Mr. Williams?

25 A No.

1 Q Do you think, as we sit here today, is there  
2 anything that you would have changed about how -- is  
3 there anything that you would have changed regarding  
4 your role in this? Not that you wouldn't be involved  
5 at all, that's not what I mean.

6 Do you understand the nature of my question?

7 A Yeah. Make no mistake, it's a tragedy.  
8 Someone lost their life. It's the last thing we want  
9 to do. And we have officers that hold that mental  
10 trauma, PTSD, from that event. And we have one who's  
11 debilitated from -- but based on the information we  
12 had at the time, it was a homicide, the search  
13 warrant needed to be served, and that was the best  
14 tactic at the time.

15 So based on everything we know, yeah, it's  
16 tragic. We needed to go forward, they needed to  
17 further their investigation. They were a threat to  
18 the community, there was an assault with a weapon,  
19 him shooting out in public, 20 rounds.

20 So we needed to further that investigation,  
21 whether it exonerates him or gives him the evidence  
22 they need for justice of Mr. Thomas.

23 So based on everything we knew at the time,  
24 I would still approve the CET, I would still approve  
25 the stun stick. Yes, it's a tragedy. It's



1     unfortunate. It wasn't a mistake-of-fact shooting,  
2     though. He fired at us six times and ultimately  
3     fired 18 rounds at us.

4           Q     Okay. We went over the video. Does it look  
5     like he is aiming at anyone? We can re-watch it.

6                     Does it look like he is aiming or does it  
7     look like he's covering himself?

8           A     It looks like he is aiming at -- he is not  
9     like, hey, there's Kerry Kubla, let me shoot Kerry  
10    Kubla. So he is firing at the officer and he hit  
11    Kubla. Kubla went down. Kubla can't get a round  
12    off. He hit the police officers.

13          Q     Do you see him covering his own face?

14          A     Probably from when they returned fire,  
15    trying not to get the rounds at him, but he continued  
16    to fire and fire 18 rounds from that weapon. He  
17    fired at the officers.

18          Q     Let me ask you the other question a little  
19    bit differently.

20                     Based on everything that you know today --  
21    and I'm asking you to do the unfair thing of looking  
22    back with 20/20 vision with everything that  
23    happened -- do you feel that any of the policies  
24    of -- any of the Metro policies ought to have been  
25    changed following this incident?

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 113

1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

2 Go ahead.

3 THE WITNESS: I would say no. I'd say  
4 sometimes they have a knee-jerk reaction and take  
5 something away from you, a tool away from you. But  
6 all our decisions are calculated decisions. There is  
7 risk, it's not an absolute. There is risk with that.  
8 We're SWAT, we're serving a homicide warrant with a  
9 violent subject, there's a victim there.

10 So based on all the facts that we had known  
11 at the time -- I don't do 20/20 hindsight. There are  
12 a few things, yeah, we can change policies. But  
13 based on CET needed to be served; SACO was not  
14 optimal, having Russ go in, that's part of -- I've  
15 had a sergeant go in number two in the stack.

16 So everything was in line with what we did.  
17 We didn't say, oh, whoopsie, it was remote control,  
18 not a gun. There wasn't any of that whoopsie stuff.

19 Now, those officers, they might have a  
20 different perspective, but based on me, at home,  
21 COVID, approving that warrant, approving those  
22 tactics, that was the best decision with the training  
23 and policies in place that we had at the time.

24 BY MS. MURPHY:

25 Q Let me ask the question a little bit

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 114

1 differently, then. If the answer is the same, the  
2 answer is the same.

3 Based on what happened here, did you change  
4 how you did anything?

5 A No.

6 MS. MURPHY: Okay. All right. I don't have  
7 any more questions.

8 THE WITNESS: Okay.

9 MR. ANDERSON: No questions.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Before we go off the  
11 record, does anyone want the video?

12 MS. MURPHY: No, thank you.

13 MR. ANDERSON: No, thank you.

14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the  
15 deposition of Melanie O'Daniel, consisting of one  
16 disc. The time is 12:38 p.m. and we are off the  
17 record.

18 THE REPORTER: Did you want a copy of the  
19 transcript?

20 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.

21 THE REPORTER: And read?

22 MR. ANDERSON: So you have the opportunity  
23 to read this and make sure everything is transcribed  
24 right, whether it's --

25 THE WITNESS: Maybe before trial.

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 115

1 MR. ANDERSON: So, you know, if there's  
2 anything wrong you can change it now. Like, if they  
3 spell your name wrong or something, but if they said  
4 you said you didn't but you said you did, something  
5 like that -- do you have any interest in reading it  
6 or you're fine going with the transcript?

7 THE WITNESS: I'm fine with going with the  
8 transcript.

9 MR. ANDERSON: We will waive.

10 (Thereupon, the videotaped deposition  
11 was concluded at 12:38 p.m.)

12

13

\* \* \* \* \*

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 116

CERTIFICATE OF WITNESS				
	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19			* * * * *	
20			I, MELANIE O'DANIEL, witness herein, do hereby	
21			certify and declare under penalty of perjury the	
22			within and foregoing transcription to be my	
23			deposition in said action; that I have read,	
24			corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said	
25			deposition.	
			_____	_____
			MELANIE O'DANIEL	DATE

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

Page 117

## 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF NEVADA )  
3 ) SS  
4 COUNTY OF CLARK )

5 I, Sarah Safier, a duly certified court  
6 reporter licensed in and for the State of Nevada, do  
hereby certify:

7 That I reported the taking of the deposition  
8 of the witness, MELANIE O'DANIEL, at the time and  
place aforesaid;

9 That prior to being examined, the witness was  
10 by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole  
truth, and nothing but the truth;

11 That I thereafter transcribed my shorthand  
12 notes into typewriting and that the typewritten  
transcript of said deposition is a complete, true and  
13 accurate record of testimony provided by the witness  
at said time to the best of my ability.

14 I further certify (1) that I am not a  
15 relative, employee or independent contractor of  
counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative,  
16 employee or independent contractor of the parties  
involved in said action; nor a person financially  
17 interested in the action; nor do I have any other  
relationship with any of the parties or with counsel  
18 of any of the parties involved in the action that may  
reasonably cause my impartiality to be questioned;  
19 and (2) that transcript review pursuant to NRCP 30(e)  
was not requested.


20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my  
21 hand in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this  
6th day of January, 2025.

22

23

24

25

  
SARAH SAFIER, CCR 808

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>0</b>	<b>11</b> 61:13 103:2	<b>18</b> 26:2,8 30:8,13 112:3,16	<b>2:24-cv-00074</b> 4:24	<b>5</b>	<b>A</b>
<b>04</b> 65:16	<b>1125</b> 50:12	<b>183</b> 78:10	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b> 70:14,21 71:7,9	<b>a.m.</b> 4:3 55:16,19 70:14,21, 24 71:8, 9,11
<b>08</b> 58:10,21 66:23	51:6 77:25	<b>186</b> 78:10	<b>3</b> 70:24 71:11	<b>50</b> 28:4 54:11	<b>abide</b> 108:4
<b>09</b> 58:10,20	<b>11:19</b> 55:16	<b>19</b> 14:2,19, 20	<b>3,000</b> 22:14	<b>56</b> 64:17 65:4	<b>abilities</b> 56:11
<b>1</b>	<b>11:28</b> 55:19	<b>2</b>	<b>3.14</b> 78:10	<b>57</b> 35:5 64:15,17 65:4	<b>ability</b> 9:23 22:10,15
<b>1</b> 6:2,3	<b>12</b> 67:22 84:25	<b>20</b> 50:16 60:20 72:7,11, 16 111:19	<b>3.6</b> 77:18	<b>6</b>	<b>able</b> 8:22 9:13 23:23 27:19 46:4,10 49:8 51:24 78:19 79:6 81:22,23 86:12 89:15 97:11
<b>1,400</b> 85:15	<b>12:38</b> 114:16 115:11	<b>20/20</b> 112:22 113:11	<b>3.8</b> 82:15	<b>690</b> 106:14	<b>about</b> 9:8 10:25 11:4,6,8 14:2,21 15:13,15, 16 17:4 21:17 23:8 29:14,19 30:14 32:19 33:17 40:4,24 47:4,5,7 56:1,6,15 57:4 67:9 68:7 71:16,19
<b>1,487</b> 35:4	<b>12:59</b> 64:15	<b>2021</b> 80:6 81:5 103:23	<b>3050</b> 43:20 50:11 52:2 74:19,22 78:5	<b>7</b>	
<b>1.0</b> 78:10	<b>12th</b> 107:20	<b>2022</b> 13:12,18	<b>30th</b> 13:15	<b>7-eleven</b> 53:10	
<b>1.5</b> 70:12	<b>13</b> 41:22 58:17 60:20 62:10 65:16 66:23 67:22	<b>2023</b> 100:13	<b>4</b>	<b>718</b> 84:25	
<b>10</b> 13:18 34:7,15 61:13,19 68:6 70:6,9 82:18 103:2	<b>13:00.09</b> 58:6	<b>2024</b> 4:3	<b>40</b> 12:12 17:4	<b>9</b>	
<b>10-second</b> 39:8	<b>15</b> 30:7,13 58:18,20 68:8 72:7,11, 16,19,22 99:12	<b>21</b> 106:13	<b>415A</b> 50:15	<b>9.01</b> 78:10	
<b>100</b> 17:18 54:9,10 73:11 102:2,16	<b>16</b> 30:2 58:17,18, 21	<b>22</b> 36:11 41:15,22 62:11	<b>4461</b> 74:22	<b>90</b> 35:5	
<b>10410</b> 4:13	<b>17</b> 4:2 26:2	<b>225</b> 12:3	<b>4469</b> 77:19	<b>99</b> 17:18 24:6,12	
<b>10:26</b> 4:3		<b>23</b> 62:10,11, 14	<b>4470</b> 82:15	<b>99.9</b> 35:10 84:19	
			<b>45</b> 107:20,23		

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

73:18,21 78:16 79:21 82:12 89:7 91:7 96:11,14, 25 98:17, 18 100:15 103:20 106:12, 18,22 108:6,9, 13,17 109:21 110:24 111:2  <b>above</b> 94:12  <b>above- and- beyond</b> 42:1  <b>absent</b> 54:4 79:11,18  <b>absolute</b> 113:7  <b>absolutel y</b> 41:13,14 70:7 94:2 97:13 106:7 109:11  <b>access</b> 15:18 94:11  <b>accident</b> 50:20 53:24  <b>accidenta lly</b> 9:24  <b>according</b> 74:18	77:16  <b>accurate</b> 10:11,18  <b>acronyms</b> 49:9  <b>acting</b> 27:15  <b>actions</b> 26:18  <b>active</b> 71:13 104:17,19  <b>actual</b> 18:7 31:14 33:18 51:20 106:19  <b>actually</b> 16:4 32:6,16 39:23 51:4 57:3,12 76:17 78:2 83:6 94:17 99:19,22 101:19 102:17  <b>added</b> 79:11 80:4,5  <b>addition</b> 50:24  <b>additiona l</b> 103:15  <b>Additiona lly</b> 74:22 83:18  <b>address</b> 22:23	32:2 66:6  <b>administe r</b> 4:12  <b>administe red</b> 8:18 20:3  <b>administr ation</b> 104:8 105:7  <b>admit</b> 52:1  <b>admittanc e</b> 69:21 70:1  <b>affairs</b> 105:8,9  <b>after</b> 14:12 25:10 40:20 41:25 47:20 50:14 53:22 59:9 61:14 69:19 72:7 73:19,23 82:2 92:25 97:10 109:6,9 110:7,15  <b>afterward s</b> 49:3 101:1 110:15  <b>again</b> 7:13 11:23	15:19 24:2 29:17 47:1 51:11 59:25 62:3 65:22 66:18 69:17,23 77:8 79:20 80:23 85:14 93:14 97:9,11 102:25 105:19,25  <b>agency</b> 21:10  <b>ago</b> 8:8,11,13 9:10 27:14 29:18 101:8  <b>agree</b> 58:7 61:15 64:19 65:3 66:19 85:24 87:3,18 104:10  <b>agreed</b> 47:19  <b>ahead</b> 13:6 25:5,20 31:7 37:12 39:12 44:7 45:9 51:22 53:24 63:3	103:9 113:2  <b>aiming</b> 112:5,6,8  <b>al</b> 4:10  <b>Alexander</b> 4:8,22 5:5  <b>algorithm s</b> 101:8  <b>all</b> 8:20 12:8 16:17 17:13 19:24 25:22 29:16 32:23 35:16 36:3,17 37:22 39:11 44:4 46:12 48:7,16 49:13 51:13 55:21 56:2 60:12 63:6,10 64:13,24 66:1,12, 15 69:23 86:19 89:23 91:16 92:15,19 93:10 95:10 96:5,14 98:15 100:17,22 101:8 105:16	107:8 109:5,11, 16,20 110:15,23 111:5 113:6,10 114:6  <b>allegatio ns</b> 19:21  <b>allow</b> 83:1  <b>allowed</b> 19:6 89:18,19 90:13,17 91:11 92:2  <b>allowing</b> 77:21  <b>alluded</b> 50:5  <b>almost</b> 85:4  <b>along</b> 35:18 53:21 70:4  <b>already</b> 9:20 97:22  <b>also</b> 15:10,12 34:8 35:23 41:15 50:8,24 51:1 56:7 70:15 71:12,20, 24 76:13, 23 83:18 84:10 104:15 105:8
--	--	--	---	--	---



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>although</b> 104:20	25 25:4, 19 31:5 37:16	23 64:9, 20 65:6 66:3	16:11 19:8 25:5 55:23	113:18 114:7 115:5	77:13,15, 25 78:3,4
<b>always</b> 32:23 43:21 75:14 95:17	44:6 45:8,25 48:3 57:2,10 59:13	68:3,5,9 72:23 87:6,24 88:8 92:12	61:11 63:1 70:13 83:2 90:5,17 114:1,2	<b>anybody</b> 88:16  <b>anyone</b> 54:4 89:2 102:5 112:5 114:11	<b>apologies</b> 45:18  <b>apostroph</b> <b>e</b> 5:9
<b>amend</b> 56:2	61:25 63:2 68:13	<b>announcem</b> <b>ent's</b> 62:15	<b>answered</b> 12:5 33:17	<b>anything</b> 15:5 19:10 48:24 57:4 77:6 79:3 84:13 90:14 98:10 101:23 109:21 110:24 111:2,3 114:4 115:2	<b>apparentl</b> <b>y</b> 50:19
<b>amended</b> 81:5,13 103:22	87:13 96:11 113:1	<b>announcem</b> <b>ents</b> 20:16 22:22 24:4 26:24 27:1 32:11 39:16,17 61:1,16, 21 62:1,2 65:23 72:12,17 73:3 87:15,16 88:9 89:10 90:10,15 91:21 92:1,8 101:4	<b>answering</b> 9:22 10:1 70:3  <b>answers</b> 6:16 12:6  <b>any</b> 8:23 9:13,24 10:10,15, 22 11:6, 11 12:13, 14,18,21, 25 15:7,9 18:8,10 23:8 32:18 33:20 36:23 40:16 43:7 46:13,17 47:4,7,8, 17,18 54:7 55:24 58:25 68:16 73:1,13, 21 76:7 77:2 82:3 88:11,14, 15 96:20 105:19 107:9 109:18 112:23,24	<b>anything</b> 15:5 19:10 48:24 57:4 77:6 79:3 84:13 90:14 98:10 101:23 109:21 110:24 111:2,3 114:4 115:2  <b>anything'</b> <b>s</b> 31:17  <b>anyway</b> 55:23  <b>anywhere</b> 102:4  <b>AP</b> 63:13  <b>apartment</b> 22:12,13 31:23 43:20 44:12 45:2 50:12,13 51:6 53:5 60:3 67:15 74:24 75:4	<b>appearanc</b> <b>es</b> 4:11  <b>Apple</b> 63:13  <b>applicabl</b> <b>e</b> 100:12  <b>appointme</b> <b>nt</b> 14:10  <b>approach</b> 31:24 37:10 57:9  <b>appropria</b> <b>te</b> 33:25 77:24 85:8  <b>approval</b> 42:17 97:18,20 98:13  <b>approve</b> 38:1 97:23 98:8 111:24  <b>approved</b> 92:24  <b>approving</b> 69:12,13
<b>amending</b> 80:3	114:9,13, 20,22 115:1,9				
<b>amendment</b> 18:9 19:11,21 20:4,8, 12,18,22 24:15 32:20,24 33:7,9 34:1 59:11 60:5 61:22 62:24 68:12 107:25	<b>angles</b> 91:22  <b>animal</b> 85:5,9  <b>ankle</b> 51:5 54:19  <b>announce</b> 18:9,13, 14 20:7 32:19 69:19 84:2 86:3,4				
<b>amount</b> 74:10 75:25 76:7 77:24 82:20	<b>announcem</b> <b>ent</b> 21:3 23:10 24:16 30:16,18, 19 32:1 33:23 34:17,18, 22 38:25 39:9 57:23 59:10 60:4,25 61:7,14, 19 62:13,	<b>announcin</b> <b>g</b> 18:16 21:4  <b>another</b> 10:20 34:4 40:6,20 57:22 82:18 96:16  <b>answer</b> 8:20 9:3, 7,12,23 10:6,8			
<b>amounts</b> 78:1					
<b>analogy</b> 71:6					
<b>and/or</b> 75:6					
<b>Anderson</b> 4:15 6:10,12, 14,19,23,					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

97:22 113:21 <b>approximately</b> 4:3 13:13 50:16 61:13 <b>ARCO</b> 44:11 71:22 <b>area</b> 103:5 <b>areas</b> 34:12 <b>arisen</b> 10:16 <b>armed</b> 22:7 23:14 51:11 52:19 53:9 54:25 57:2 77:23 80:20 <b>arms</b> 23:15 24:20,22 84:7 <b>around</b> 56:18 64:17 65:13 92:9 103:18 <b>arrest</b> 19:15 41:24 51:4 52:9,10, 15 75:22 76:19,20 <b>artfully</b> 110:17	<b>articulated</b> 42:14 <b>as</b> 4:19 6:2 8:2 9:14 11:1,22 13:7,25 14:23 16:15,18 17:8 19:25 20:15 21:19 24:13,24 28:25 29:20,22 30:24 31:8,12 32:8,14 33:6 37:1 38:4 39:11 40:3 41:17,19, 21 43:22 44:2,3, 20,25 45:4,10, 12 46:12, 24 48:22 50:23 52:2 53:22 55:7 57:9 58:4,25 59:8,23 61:3,12, 17,18 68:2 69:23 70:16 71:1,22 74:7 78:6 80:10,19 81:9,11, 18 84:13 86:24 88:11,19	90:10,23 95:11 98:13 103:5 104:18 105:10 108:6 109:1,9, 12 111:1 <b>aside</b> 95:17 <b>ask</b> 8:20 9:4, 5 10:20, 24 11:6, 11,17 29:4 32:17 55:23 56:5 61:10 65:20 66:2 69:16 83:16 85:23 89:7 94:4 95:10 97:22 98:18 99:4 106:17 109:4,18 110:16 112:18 113:25 <b>asked</b> 9:8 27:16 29:14 49:22 69:15 81:10 89:7 90:4,24 104:15 <b>asking</b> 29:17 30:12	31:13 32:6 54:17 61:4 89:21,22 91:7,14, 15 112:21 <b>asleep</b> 71:25 72:1 <b>aspects</b> 18:7 <b>assault</b> 7:17 50:15 51:2,12 76:10 111:18 <b>assistant</b> 37:23 107:2 <b>associate</b> <b>d</b> 77:24 <b>Associati</b> <b>on</b> 16:21 98:22 <b>assume</b> 81:15 <b>assumed</b> 94:20 <b>assuming</b> 7:7 <b>at</b> 7:15,18, 21 8:17 11:11,13 12:8 13:13,21 16:17 21:25 28:18 29:17 30:4,6	31:25 37:3,7,11 42:20,25 43:1,6,20 44:4 46:2,23 47:20,21, 24,25 48:25 49:1,3,18 50:11,16, 21,22 52:1 54:22 55:15 56:2 57:12,19, 24 59:9, 16,25 60:3,18, 21 62:12 63:7,21 64:9,16 65:4,15 68:7,8,16 70:10,14, 21,24 71:7,9, 10,23 72:19,22 74:22 76:2,22 79:5,6 85:7,18 86:23 89:17 90:16 91:23 92:17 93:25 94:5,7,9, 25 98:12 99:5,19, 21 100:5 103:18 104:22,25 105:12, 16,17,25 106:23 107:10	111:5,12, 14,23 112:2,3, 5,8,10, 15,17 113:11, 20,23 115:11 <b>ATLS</b> 37:23 <b>attach</b> 6:1 <b>attend</b> 17:10,15, 17 <b>attendanc</b> <b>e</b> 17:19 <b>attended</b> 17:4,20 <b>attention</b> 23:16 <b>attorney</b> 5:4 10:4, 5 <b>attorney-</b> <b>client</b> 11:5 <b>auspices</b> 11:5 <b>authority</b> 38:2 69:20 <b>authoriza</b> <b>tion</b> 36:3 41:17 78:11 103:6,12 <b>authorize</b> 22:16
--	---	---	---	--	--

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>authorize</b>	40:14	14,15,25	26:11,17	6:6 10:15	48:13
<b>d</b>	62:3	88:12	28:14	25:24	50:12
78:24	96:25	89:1,22,	29:15,19	26:3,23	51:25
85:6	<b>Backman's</b>	25 90:25	31:1	28:24	53:14
89:15	38:3	91:15,18	33:17	30:8 48:8	55:11
<b>aware</b>	<b>backup</b>	102:9,11	35:8,15	52:25	61:18
34:2 37:1	14:1,11,	106:25	39:24	55:25	62:3
86:25	18,23	107:12	41:6,14	58:9	65:14,25
92:22	16:15	111:11,	42:8	69:16	66:12
93:2,12	<b>bang</b>	15,23	44:15	74:11,16	68:11
97:20	99:21	112:20	47:23	77:13	81:7
105:4	<b>bangs</b>	113:10,	53:16	82:17,18	110:2
<b>awareness</b>	101:6	13,20	56:5	84:3	<b>believed</b>
61:23	<b>Banks</b>	114:3	57:19	88:24	7:19
<b>away</b>	34:3	<b>basic</b>	59:24	96:15	71:10,16
23:16	72:5,9	7:12 8:14	64:25	103:16	77:14
25:24	73:9,16	13:3	70:22	107:20,23	<b>believes</b>
44:16	82:22	<b>basically</b>	71:10	114:10,25	49:23
72:9 82:8	<b>Bar</b>	49:2	73:19	<b>beforehand</b>	<b>belt</b>
95:2	4:13	78:23	76:2	<b>d</b>	41:22
103:2	<b>barricade</b>	105:16	79:12,18	31:16	<b>Bertuccin</b>
113:5	44:24,25	107:9	80:14,17,	38:24	<b>i</b>
<b>B</b>	45:4,12	<b>basics</b>	19,25	55:4	47:25
<b>back</b>	<b>barricade</b>	8:13	82:6	87:15	59:15,21
30:22	<b>s</b>	<b>Bates</b>	83:8,13	105:5	60:6 88:6
48:15	27:22	77:19	84:22	<b>beginning</b>	<b>besides</b>
51:3	36:12	84:25	86:2,4	8:17	95:20
55:18	38:7	106:13	87:14	34:17	<b>best</b>
64:3,8	40:17	<b>bathroom</b>	93:7	60:3	9:7,23
67:8	41:15,22	70:20	97:22	61:19	10:11,17
70:15	<b>based</b>	<b>bear</b>	98:25	68:8	32:15
85:20	21:9	56:7 99:9	99:17	72:23	42:25
94:25	34:3,8,9,	<b>Bearcats</b>	102:9	104:6	43:5
97:25	13 44:9	44:10	103:18,23	<b>behalf</b>	45:13
100:1	51:23,24	<b>beard</b>	104:11	4:6,14,15	56:10
112:22	52:15,18	105:21	105:10,16	<b>behind</b>	111:13
<b>backgroun</b>	59:16	<b>bearing</b>	<b>become</b>	22:7	113:22
<b>d</b>	64:20	63:8	17:11	27:23	<b>better</b>
13:3	65:3	68:25	<b>becoming</b>	52:19	41:10,14
106:19	68:2,7	<b>became</b>	16:15	<b>believe</b>	44:17
<b>Backman</b>	69:25	14:16	<b>bed</b>	7:16 12:3	79:13
36:7	76:5	<b>because</b>	24:21	20:6,10,	96:1 98:7
37:21	77:11	8:13	70:25	15,20	<b>Betty</b>
39:22	83:11	10:14,20	<b>bedroom</b>	30:7	82:23
	85:6,11,		70:15,20	36:18	<b>between</b>
			100:1	40:6	
			<b>before</b>	47:22,24	

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

11:10	49:6	<b>breaching</b>	<b>buildup</b>	66:7,18	36:23
20:25	78:18,19	30:6	36:2	67:14	37:19
52:8	79:7	82:14	<b>bull-</b>	69:6,16,	44:18
72:11,17	<b>body-worn</b>	93:6	<b>horning</b>	25 70:15,	45:16
88:3,6	28:20,22	<b>breaching</b>	38:18	19 71:5,	46:5 48:6
90:3	29:9 61:6	<b>s</b>	<b>bunch</b>	12 72:1	54:12
92:14,17	63:22	17:25	99:15	74:9	55:20
96:2 98:1	94:11	<b>break</b>	<b>bus</b>	76:19,25	57:5,17
<b>beyond</b>	110:3	55:14,21,	49:18	77:10	58:2,14
39:8	<b>boom</b>	22,25	<b>busy</b>	79:4,25	59:18
41:19	70:11	56:1	41:19	80:4,8,	60:14
54:16,17	109:18	65:12	<b>but</b>	12,22	62:3,7
75:23	<b>both</b>	<b>breaking</b>	5:25	81:10,18	63:5,18
<b>big</b>	50:21,22	58:15	7:15,16	82:1	64:1,7,
97:14	<b>Boulevard</b>	61:20	8:5 9:6,	83:6,12	12,24
<b>biggest</b>	74:19,23	<b>Brice</b>	10 11:3,	85:11	65:1,11
8:16	78:6	29:11	13 13:5	86:18,22	66:17
<b>bit</b>	<b>boyfriend</b>	<b>brick</b>	14:7	87:18,21	67:1,6,
13:4	7:15	91:20	16:22	88:21	11,19
21:24	<b>bracelet</b>	<b>brief</b>	17:11,17,	90:9 92:7	68:15
24:11	51:5	55:21,22	19 19:17,	93:4	70:11,16,
29:4	<b>brass</b>	<b>briefed</b>	25 21:24	94:13	17,18
30:22	93:7,12	37:11	24:18	95:21	83:21
35:18	<b>breach</b>	<b>briefing</b>	26:13	96:15,20,	87:17
37:14,16,	7:21,22	31:16,19	28:23	25 97:7,	88:9,16
20 41:16	18:7 21:6	32:10	29:18	11 98:4	96:13
58:9 72:5	23:1,2	37:1,4,7	30:4,24	99:11,21,	98:19
88:25	30:6,20	<b>bring</b>	31:12	25 100:5,	113:24
96:1,15	32:3,12	12:21	32:15	15 101:1,	
100:16	38:15	<b>broke</b>	34:7,8	14,20	<b>C</b>
106:18	39:16	65:24	35:3	102:11	<b>C-E-R-T</b>
108:18	57:20	<b>broken</b>	36:2,25	103:10,12	46:21
112:19	101:5	20:11	43:5	104:19	<b>calculate</b>
113:25	<b>breached</b>	48:1	45:22,24	106:21	<b>d</b>
<b>blind</b>	20:21	61:6,8	46:8	107:9,12	113:6
99:19	30:16,18,	68:4	48:12,22	108:21	<b>call</b>
<b>blinds</b>	20 32:4	87:5,18	49:10	109:9,13,	5:10
103:3	<b>breacher</b>	88:4 89:4	51:19	17 111:11	43:24,25
<b>blocks</b>	17:10,11,	<b>brought</b>	52:5	112:15	50:3
95:2	15,16,21	56:8	53:3,13	113:5,12,	73:14
<b>BMW</b>	<b>breachers</b>	102:3	54:6,24	20 115:3,	94:2,3
50:17	32:22	<b>brushed</b>	55:23	4	99:21
<b>board</b>	<b>breaches</b>	69:5	56:8,11	<b>by</b>	108:23
29:8	8:5 18:3		57:4 58:4	5:2 6:5,	<b>called</b>
47:14,16			59:21	15,20 7:1	39:12
			61:3,5	12:18	93:20
			63:19,20	25:13	
				26:9	
				31:11	

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

95:5	<b>case</b>	81:19	24 80:16,	112:25	<b>clarified</b>
<b>callout</b>	4:8,23	82:25	19 83:19,	<b>changes</b>	79:23
42:12	5:5 6:19	84:20	25 92:23	46:14	80:13,21
43:10	7:12 8:2	85:25	111:24	82:3	<b>clarify</b>
45:11	9:9	96:17	113:13	106:20	21:15
<b>callouts</b>	10:15,20	98:17	<b>CETS</b>	108:5	79:22
38:8	12:15,19	100:22	35:6 40:8	<b>changing</b>	80:11,12
<b>calls</b>	19:20,24	102:10,25	<b>chain</b>	47:5	<b>clarity</b>
28:4	23:4	103:21	98:14	81:21	59:19
36:17	24:25	104:9	<b>chance</b>	<b>charge</b>	<b>classroom</b>
<b>Camacho</b>	25:3	105:4	55:25	36:24,25	32:21
4:5	26:18	106:16	96:7	69:10,12	<b>clean</b>
<b>came</b>	34:4	107:1,15	<b>change</b>	105:8	49:10
48:2	45:24	108:1	8:1,3	<b>check</b>	<b>clear</b>
49:22	47:9	<b>CERT's</b>	15:12,17	76:25	23:5
79:10,21	54:10	75:11,14	21:13,24	<b>chief</b>	63:21
99:14	71:5 73:9	82:12	40:20	107:10	76:19
100:17	76:7	85:23	42:4	<b>chiefs</b>	80:1
104:4	82:23	92:21,22	44:4,16	107:7	81:11
110:1,7	103:20	<b>certain</b>	46:18	<b>children</b>	103:4
<b>camera</b>	106:21	80:4 92:5	48:24	78:3	106:9
28:20	<b>cases</b>	<b>certifica</b>	52:14	<b>circumsta</b>	<b>clearly</b>
29:12	6:8,17	<b>tion</b>	56:1 79:3	<b>nces</b>	104:9
57:10	<b>catch</b>	16:10	80:12	19:14	105:11
61:6	71:24	17:16	82:6	34:4,9,13	106:1
63:22	<b>catchall</b>	<b>certified</b>	99:21	59:15	<b>Clements</b>
94:12	109:18	17:11	101:8	68:3,10	29:11
110:3	<b>cause</b>	<b>CET</b>	103:12	83:12	<b>client</b>
<b>cameras</b>	45:1	21:21	106:8	<b>cite</b>	68:17
28:22	50:23	22:3,4,5,	107:5	78:9	<b>client's</b>
29:9	51:15,23	6,17,19,	108:9	<b>citing</b>	62:24
<b>cannot</b>	54:15,18	20 32:20	113:12	100:19	68:11
60:11	75:8	36:8 38:3	114:3	<b>citizen</b>	<b>close</b>
62:4	76:9,11	39:23	115:2	19:12	58:4
<b>capacity</b>	77:14	40:6	<b>changed</b>	23:2 91:1	107:18
96:22	<b>causes</b>	42:8,9,	8:6 31:17	<b>citizens</b>	<b>closed</b>
<b>captain</b>	84:17	11,25	37:7	70:25	70:2
75:8	<b>CERT</b>	43:15,18,	43:4,5,8,	78:20	<b>closer</b>
97:23	11:25	21 44:4,	23 79:23	<b>civilian</b>	11:22
98:8,12	12:2	5,14	80:5	78:20	<b>closest</b>
<b>captured</b>	28:23	45:10,13,	97:10	<b>clarifica</b>	87:9
50:4,7	46:18,19,	22 46:22	103:8	<b>tion</b>	<b>clothing</b>
<b>cars</b>	21,22	47:16,20	106:8,15	108:3	52:7
71:20	74:6,8,	53:16	107:14		
	10,15,18	75:17	108:24		
	77:10,17,	77:22	111:2,3		
	20 78:14	78:6,12,			

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>cocaine</b> 72:9 73:19	<b>commander</b> 13:25 14:2 16:16,17 41:21 80:11 95:16,17, 22 98:9	<b>completel y</b> 108:16	<b>conclusio n</b> 27:6 47:19 75:11 77:8 79:5 85:23	<b>consisten t</b> 13:19	12 92:2, 13,16 98:15
<b>codefenda nts</b> 12:25	<b>commands</b> 28:1	<b>complex</b> 43:20 44:12 50:13,16, 21 51:2 71:13 92:9	<b>conclusio ns</b> 82:12 107:1	<b>consistin g</b> 114:15	<b>conversat ions</b> 104:8
<b>Cole</b> 75:8 97:23 98:8	<b>comment</b> 62:4 104:16	<b>complianc e</b> 20:3,7, 12,17,21	<b>condition s</b> 82:25	<b>constitut ional</b> 18:8	<b>copy</b> 114:18
<b>come</b> 14:12 15:9 18:20 23:2,6 24:8 28:7 37:3 57:9 60:8 88:17 99:12 105:11 106:16 107:15 109:20 110:23	<b>commissio n</b> 52:23	<b>complied</b> 68:11	<b>conduct</b> 32:3 77:22	<b>contained</b> 77:21	<b>corner</b> 25:22 65:13
<b>comes</b> 67:8,24 101:14 107:25	<b>committed</b> 71:10	<b>complies</b> 59:11 61:21 62:24	<b>conferenc e</b> 107:8	<b>continued</b> 25:11 42:23 46:3 112:15	<b>correct</b> 5:12,17 6:22 7:9 8:2 9:12 13:14 15:13 19:21 21:17 24:19,25 25:1 26:19 28:14,18 35:21,24 36:4,8 37:22 38:4,9,24 39:5,9,24 40:2,20, 21,25 41:12 45:24 46:9 48:2,20, 24 51:20 52:4 54:8,20, 23,24 58:18,21 60:16,25 61:8 62:16,20 63:23 64:18 65:7 67:15,16, 25 68:23 71:6
<b>comfortab le</b> 103:14	<b>committin g</b> 54:12	<b>comply</b> 84:3	<b>conferenc es</b> 107:3,10	<b>continuin g</b> 76:13	
<b>coming</b> 17:19 26:5 27:4 38:20 39:5 46:2 51:9,17 61:1 71:13,21 76:9 86:25 92:6	<b>common</b> 90:18	<b>compound</b> 69:16	<b>confident</b> 29:19	<b>contradic ted</b> 83:19 105:15	
<b>command</b> 93:24 94:8,25	<b>communica te</b> 89:15,18 90:14,18, 19	<b>computer</b> 63:9 109:6	<b>confirm</b> 26:10 28:17 46:6	<b>contradic ts</b> 86:3,9	
	<b>communica ting</b> 89:14	<b>concept</b> 86:3	<b>confirmed</b> 74:24	<b>contrary</b> 105:14	
	<b>communica tion</b> 38:21,23 39:8 88:3	<b>concern</b> 73:21 74:3,13	<b>conflicti ng</b> 26:11,14, 21	<b>control</b> 97:6 113:17	
	<b>community</b> 71:18 72:3 76:14 111:18	<b>concerned</b> 73:18	<b>confuse</b> 84:10 86:2,8,11	<b>controlle d</b> 21:22	
	<b>complete</b> 9:5	<b>concerns</b> 19:20	<b>confused</b> 25:17	<b>conversat ion</b> 90:3,8 91:8,10,	
		<b>concluded</b> 77:20 115:11	<b>confusion</b> 79:12 84:17		
		<b>concludes</b> 114:14			

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

72:12,18, 24 73:4 74:4 76:4,21, 24 78:12, 13,16,17 79:16 80:6 81:21 83:9 84:11 87:7,11 88:4,5 91:13 95:9 106:24 107:16	<b>couple</b> 7:14 9:17 26:11 31:2 57:19,22 64:25 65:1 81:10	<b>crime</b> 8:24 21:9,10 34:10 49:21 52:23 71:10 76:9 85:7 109:3	53:11 55:2 76:16	<b>debilitat ed</b> 111:11	<b>defended</b> 47:17 48:16,17
<b>correctly</b> 9:3 15:12	<b>court</b> 4:4,12 5:24 8:18,19 9:19 37:17	<b>crimes</b> 54:12 76:13	<b>D</b>	<b>deceased</b> 25:12 45:18 46:10	<b>defending</b> 48:23
<b>Corrine</b> 4:13 5:3	<b>cover</b> 14:9 27:23	<b>criminal</b> 55:8	<b>D-A-N-I- E-L</b> 5:9	<b>December</b> 4:2 13:12,15 36:11 41:20 107:19	<b>definitel y</b> 40:23
<b>corroborate</b> 51:24	<b>covered</b> 34:19 102:20	<b>critical</b> 57:19	<b>damage</b> 45:1	<b>decibels</b> 48:12	<b>demonstra te</b> 8:22
<b>corroborate d</b> 51:7 52:20	<b>covering</b> 34:21 112:7,13	<b>criticism</b> 102:24	<b>danger</b> 38:14	<b>decided</b> 46:1,7 86:23	<b>denoted</b> 40:18
<b>corroborate s</b> 54:15	<b>covers</b> 32:23	<b>criticism</b> 47:8 74:9,10 96:24 102:20,21	<b>dangerous</b> 23:15 53:10 54:25 77:23 80:20	<b>deciding</b> 22:6	<b>dentist</b> 14:10
<b>Corvell</b> 49:24 50:10 51:1,12, 19	<b>COVID</b> 35:21 40:13 41:3 60:9 113:21	<b>crowd</b> 15:25 16:3	<b>date</b> 13:16,18 80:8	<b>decision</b> 46:3 60:7,11 68:19 78:5,11, 16 86:12 90:21 93:23 103:11 113:22	<b>departmen t</b> 4:9 102:7 110:8
<b>Cottle</b> 7:2	<b>Craig</b> 4:15 10:7 11:2,3,4, 8,14,20 12:10 63:11 73:14	<b>cue</b> 56:10 63:15	<b>day</b> 9:4 37:3 49:3 70:10 71:12,23 93:19	<b>decisions</b> 62:5 94:14 113:6	<b>depend</b> 109:8
<b>couch</b> 25:7 28:6 70:11,16 87:4	<b>create</b> 37:23	<b>cued</b> 56:18 60:10	<b>day-to- day</b> 17:6	<b>declare</b> 21:14	<b>depending</b> 18:19 22:24 109:3
<b>counsel</b> 4:11	<b>created</b> 37:21 97:17	<b>cues</b> 98:25	<b>days</b> 7:18 10:16 50:20 52:1 53:24 107:20,23	<b>deemed</b> 6:3	<b>depends</b> 39:19 59:14
	<b>credentia ls</b> 14:6	<b>currently</b> 13:9	<b>dealer</b> 53:9,10	<b>deems</b> 15:6	<b>deploymen t</b> 83:19
		<b>custody</b> 23:5,21 24:8,10 27:20 35:11 38:16 52:1,11	<b>death</b> 76:18	<b>Defendant s</b> 4:16	<b>depositio n</b> 4:21 6:2, 6,9 7:5 8:9,14,17 9:15 10:5 11:1,19, 21 12:14, 24 22:4 114:15



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

115:10	<b>differenc</b>	<b>disciplin</b>	<b>distract</b>	<b>doing</b>	52:19
<b>deprivati</b>	<b>es</b>	<b>e</b>	20:11	9:20	54:5 63:1
<b>on</b>	14:4	47:18	23:16	15:24	66:19
85:2	<b>different</b>	<b>discourag</b>	27:1 30:4	24:7	67:7,8,24
<b>described</b>	18:3,20	<b>ed</b>	32:2,11	27:13	70:1,3,
84:21	19:24	21:12,16	34:25	42:11,22,	10,13,14,
<b>describes</b>	24:12	<b>discovere</b>	35:9	24 43:4	16,17
19:18	31:2	<b>d</b>	84:10,14,	53:7	82:14
<b>describin</b>	35:18	55:11	17 86:8,	55:12	83:2
<b>g</b>	37:9,15,	<b>discovery</b>	10,14,18	69:10	87:1,6
33:13	20 38:8,	13:5	99:22	71:1 98:4	88:18,22
<b>destructi</b>	11 40:24	<b>discuss</b>	101:5	99:20	93:7,16
<b>on</b>	57:12	5:21	103:1	100:7,13	<b>doors</b>
73:18,22	63:12	34:22	<b>distracti</b>	101:15	18:3
74:2	81:5	<b>discussed</b>	<b>on</b>	103:8,24	<b>dope</b>
<b>detective</b>	88:25	11:4	47:23	104:3,9,	53:15
<b>s</b>	113:20	12:24	84:22	10,16	80:15,16,
23:6	<b>different</b>	<b>dismissed</b>	85:2	<b>domestic</b>	18
49:17	<b>ly</b>	6:22	<b>distracti</b>	27:14	<b>doubt</b>
77:7	29:4	<b>disorient</b>	<b>ons</b>	<b>dominate</b>	39:3
<b>determina</b>	32:17	24:19	86:2	22:10,15	54:16,17
<b>tion</b>	99:18	62:19	<b>distracts</b>	<b>done</b>	75:23
75:2	112:19	<b>disorient</b>	22:25	12:18	104:18
89:11	114:1	<b>ed</b>	23:8	21:2,11	<b>down</b>
<b>determine</b>	<b>dig</b>	23:22	24:18	31:15	8:4 9:19
<b>d</b>	69:6	25:17	34:22	34:25	15:4
75:5 77:8	<b>dignitari</b>	<b>disorient</b>	47:5	44:22	29:11
<b>device</b>	<b>es</b>	<b>ing</b>	99:24	46:23	37:16
20:11	15:9	23:17	<b>divorce</b>	47:15,16	45:1
<b>dialogue</b>	<b>dignitary</b>	24:14	10:21	61:16	63:19
108:8	<b>diligence</b>	<b>disprove</b>	<b>document</b>	70:5,8	70:20
<b>died</b>	109:10	76:16	84:25	74:11	73:24
45:14	<b>direct</b>	79:6	<b>documenta</b>	88:13	79:10
49:20	40:1	81:22,23	<b>tion</b>	97:9	84:16
82:10	<b>directly</b>	82:1	11:24	<b>door</b>	95:2
<b>differenc</b>	47:10,11	<b>distance</b>	17:6 35:4	7:21	112:11
<b>e</b>	74:21	94:9	98:3	18:21	<b>dresser</b>
14:3	100:16	95:15	<b>documente</b>	19:8	24:22
20:25	<b>disagree</b>	<b>distincti</b>	8:6	20:21	<b>drink</b>
43:17	85:24	<b>on</b>	<b>documents</b>	21:3 22:7	96:7
52:8	97:1	11:10,15	11:3,12,	23:3 24:8	<b>drive</b>
<b>disc</b>	<b>disc</b>	83:10	13,20	30:16,18	56:8,9
114:16	114:16	96:2	12:21	31:25	109:6
			40:7	32:3,4	<b>drone</b>
				33:25	94:13
				38:15	
				39:4,16	
				46:2	



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>drop</b> 28:1	<b>earlier</b> 46:6 62:18 72:5	<b>employee</b> 12:1,2	59:9 68:7 72:12,17, 19,22 82:19 84:3	<b>eventuall y</b> 16:18	<b>exact</b> 13:16 16:22 80:7 106:12
<b>dropped</b> 28:1	<b>early</b> 71:11	<b>end</b> 13:13 21:25 34:16 72:17	<b>Especiall y</b> 97:15	<b>ever</b> 6:6 27:7 28:5 32:18 33:20 34:22 41:4 59:25 94:21 95:12	<b>exactly</b> 15:17 29:3 77:5 104:1
<b>drug</b> 53:9,10	<b>ease</b> 22:3	<b>ended</b> 30:17	<b>essential ly</b> 7:15 14:15 16:14 18:14 22:11,20 34:7 38:13,17 47:19 59:5 77:2 97:8	<b>every</b> 18:19,20 19:12 28:22 48:13 55:6 61:11	<b>examined</b> 4:19
<b>drugs</b> 51:9	<b>easier</b> 29:5,15 43:25	<b>engine</b> 101:15,17	<b>et</b> 4:9	<b>everyone</b> 31:18,20, 21,22 80:17	<b>example</b> 9:8 53:6 99:17 100:8
<b>due</b> 109:10	<b>educated</b> 104:21	<b>enough</b> 34:24 75:5,7 76:11 77:11 83:12 97:8	<b>et al</b> 4:8,22,23	<b>everythin g</b> 9:10,19 30:10 31:12 37:3 41:15,24 42:14,20 47:1 48:23 106:7 111:15,23 112:20,22 113:16 114:23	<b>EXAMINATI ON</b> 5:1
<b>duly</b> 4:18	<b>effective</b> 25:2,6	<b>entailed</b> 69:9	<b>evacuate</b> 45:1	<b>exclude</b> 109:2	<b>executed</b> 52:3,14 54:23
<b>during</b> 10:5 14:23 16:1 28:24 29:8 31:19 32:21,22 34:2,22 36:2,11 48:18 71:2,3 73:1 88:2 93:11 96:21 99:1 102:12	<b>eight</b> 62:13 63:12 75:21	<b>entered</b> 25:8 29:24 88:22	<b>even</b> 24:5 25:10,24 26:4,24 28:3 34:4 41:10 54:11 66:12 88:24 95:10 101:9 104:7 107:2,23	<b>executive</b> 107:1	<b>Exhibit</b> 6:2,3
<b>duty</b> 76:25 80:10 95:20	<b>either</b> 8:23 18:20 28:5 37:8 58:10,20 60:18 76:20,24	<b>entering</b> 24:5 60:2 88:10	<b>evidence</b> 52:6 53:8 73:18,22 74:2 75:7 76:23 77:3 97:11 111:21	<b>exonerate s</b> 111:21	<b>experienc e</b> 85:15 88:12,13, 15 89:1, 23,25 90:25
<b>dut</b> 76:25 80:10 95:20	<b>elaborate</b> 83:22	<b>entirety</b> 21:21	<b>event</b> 111:10		
<b>duty</b> 76:25 80:10 95:20	<b>elderly</b> 75:3 76:3,4 78:3	<b>entities</b> 80:23	<b>events</b> 15:2 16:17 83:8		
<b>E</b>	<b>elements</b> 32:19 69:19 74:14	<b>entitled</b> 11:1,4			
<b>e-mail</b> 5:25 102:5	<b>else</b> 34:6 37:10 53:4,13 54:4 110:24	<b>entries</b> 32:20			
<b>e-mails</b> 98:1	<b>else's</b> 28:6	<b>entry</b> 17:24 18:21 21:22 30:3,8 57:20			
<b>each</b> 16:12 63:12 64:25					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

91:16,18 92:4	<b>factor</b> 72:2	<b>favor</b> 7:3	<b>final</b> 38:2	112:2,3, 17	<b>fluid</b> 73:6
<b>explain</b> 22:18 42:11 59:15 69:8 75:16,17 78:19 108:18	<b>factors</b> 22:5,16 39:25 75:5,8 78:2 80:21	<b>feasible</b> 45:12	<b>finally</b> 25:11	<b>firing</b> 16:4 26:1 27:23 112:10	<b>flush</b> 72:9
<b>explained</b> 53:2 81:2	<b>facts</b> 7:12 11:1 79:25 113:10	<b>feel</b> 69:24 77:10 103:14 105:5 112:23	<b>find</b> 77:17 98:23 101:1,6 102:10	<b>first</b> 4:18 14:12,13 31:9 36:7 38:3 57:23 58:8 59:10 61:14 62:25 84:24 85:22 108:11,23	<b>flushed</b> 73:24
<b>explanati on</b> 25:23 47:20	<b>failure</b> 78:7 84:4	<b>feet</b> 22:14 103:2	<b>findings</b> 49:8 107:1	<b>Fischer</b> 49:24 50:10 51:1,12	<b>FNUS</b> 108:9
<b>explosive</b> 7:21 8:4 17:24 23:1	<b>fair</b> 29:14 31:3 34:23 74:1,2 81:15 86:5,9 91:1 95:4	<b>felt</b> 55:10 74:15 76:2	<b>Findley</b> 35:23 36:25 40:13 41:2 97:4	<b>focus</b> 41:15	<b>focused</b> 18:6
<b>explosive s</b> 18:4	<b>fairly</b> 72:8	<b>female</b> 50:19	<b>fine</b> 5:15 61:12 65:18 68:25 115:6,7	<b>following</b> 8:1 46:14 112:25	<b>follows</b> 4:19
<b>extensive</b> 41:9 101:25	<b>falls</b> 11:5	<b>fence</b> 45:1	<b>fine-tune</b> 33:16	<b>footage</b> 28:21 94:13	<b>for</b> 5:7 6:4 7:13,14, 17,18,24 8:10 9:4, 8,20,24 10:7,25 11:18 12:7,10 13:9,24 14:2,9,18 16:1,12, 13,23 17:1,16 18:15 19:1 22:3 23:6 26:2 27:18 28:13,18 29:5 31:3,13 37:16 38:5 39:22 40:2
<b>extensive ly</b> 52:17	<b>familiar</b> 47:2 73:9	<b>fencing</b> 44:11	<b>finish</b> 9:21	<b>five</b> 14:21 17:9 34:9,15	
<b>extra</b> 15:6	<b>familiari zed</b> 42:19	<b>Ferret</b> 16:2,6	<b>finished</b> 10:1 49:7 106:8	<b>fix</b> 106:5	
<b>eye</b> 55:6	<b>families</b> 45:21	<b>few</b> 9:9 10:16 50:20 52:1 53:24 64:24 87:8 110:6 113:12	<b>fire</b> 16:6 25:11 26:7,23 27:3,5,7, 9,12 29:12 46:1,3,7 88:24 112:14,16	<b>flash</b> 67:14	
<b>F</b>	<b>family</b> 50:8 51:10 54:13	<b>fight</b> 85:17 86:21	<b>fire</b> 16:6 25:11 26:7,23 27:3,5,7, 9,12 29:12 46:1,3,7 88:24 112:14,16	<b>flashbang</b> 62:16,19, 22 100:1	
<b>face</b> 112:13	<b>far</b> 29:22 31:8 33:6 41:19	<b>figure</b> 99:5	<b>fired</b> 25:25 26:3,8 50:17 88:23	<b>flashbang s</b> 102:18	
<b>fact</b> 8:24 11:12 43:8 50:5 97:10 110:7	<b>fast</b> 16:25	<b>fill</b> 14:7		<b>flee</b> 84:9	
		<b>film</b> 50:5,7		<b>fleeing</b> 86:15	
				<b>flophouse</b> 51:8 54:14,25	

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

41:2,3	25:4,19	33:7,9,25	108:9,24	<b>gentlemen</b>	84:23
43:3	31:5 44:6	59:11	109:4	43:12	85:1,17
46:23	45:8,25	60:4	110:3	<b>get</b>	86:4,16,
50:24	48:3	61:22	111:10,11	14:6	21 89:2
51:15,16	59:13	62:24	112:14,16	17:16	90:25
52:5,6,9,	61:25	68:12	113:5	19:23	95:7
10,12,13,	63:2	<b>frame</b>	<b>front</b>	21:6,13	98:24
16,25	68:13	55:5 73:2	19:16	22:11,15	100:8
53:3,4,7,	87:13	<b>Frankenst</b>	70:17	27:16,19	101:7
8,16	97:18,20	<b>eined</b>	89:17	37:3	102:1
54:3,17	113:1	98:7	94:7	38:22	109:1
59:19	<b>formed</b>	<b>freeze</b>	<b>fronted</b>	41:13	110:11
60:19	37:6	24:7	50:3	42:19	<b>given</b>
62:9	<b>formulate</b>	85:4,5,12	<b>FTEP</b>	45:2	6:6,8 8:8
63:8,20	25:18	<b>frivolous</b>	96:21	53:13,15,	11:25
68:25	<b>forth</b>	105:22	<b>full</b>	20 55:6,7	12:2,3
69:17	97:25	<b>from</b>	5:6 38:20	58:3	41:6,16
70:22	<b>forward</b>	10:11,17	65:23	63:13	42:17
71:1	110:1,7	13:4	<b>fully</b>	68:6 69:3	61:22
74:1,18	111:16	19:13	37:11	70:12	62:25
77:10,21,	<b>found</b>	24:20	42:9,14	76:16	73:23
22 79:24	50:17	29:18	105:4	80:3	77:24
80:16,18,	77:5,7	30:3,14,	<b>further</b>	97:14	<b>gives</b>
25 81:15	78:20,22	15 31:2	49:16	100:12,14	96:7
84:15	82:25	32:9	75:2 77:1	101:13	111:21
85:2	110:8	33:10	81:13	106:19	<b>giving</b>
87:15	<b>foundatio</b>	34:16,17	83:22	112:11,15	10:11,17
91:20	<b>n</b>	42:7	109:19	<b>gets</b>	86:9
93:3,14	31:6	46:19	111:17,20	41:8	<b>glass</b>
95:11	<b>foundatio</b>	58:20	<b>G</b>	57:20	58:15
97:18,20	<b>nal</b>	60:3,8	<b>gained</b>	<b>getting</b>	65:12,24
98:6	66:2	61:1,19	103:15	97:5	<b>go</b>
100:12	<b>four</b>	67:8,14	<b>Garth</b>	<b>Giana</b>	9:14 12:8
101:1,20	8:11 12:4	72:6,23	36:25	4:5	13:3,6
102:1	14:21	73:2	42:18	<b>Gillespie</b>	14:5
105:11	17:9	74:21	97:4	7:2	16:13,14,
106:1,9	29:18	75:5	<b>gas</b>	<b>give</b>	17 18:8
107:6	90:24	77:18	16:2,7	7:5 8:19	21:6,13
108:19,21	<b>Fourth</b>	79:10	44:11	9:6 18:18	22:6 23:4
110:2	18:9	84:22,24	<b>gave</b>	23:18,20	25:5,20
111:22	19:11,21	86:19	8:9,17	27:25	26:1
<b>forced</b>	20:4,8,	100:10	55:24	38:2 40:3	30:10,23
82:18	12,17,22	103:3	107:15,25	46:11	31:7,12,
84:3	24:14	104:4,6,	<b>form</b>	53:5 55:5	17 38:1,
<b>forcible</b>	32:20,24	13 105:4		59:17	15 39:18
17:24		106:13,16		69:3 70:4	40:7,11,
23:2		107:15,25		83:13	16 41:17

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

44:7 45:9 48:8,14 49:8 51:22 53:14 54:6 55:6 56:16 57:18 60:1 63:3 64:3,24 67:8 68:22 74:5,8 75:16 78:18 84:15 87:11,23 88:16 89:2,9,25 90:25 91:7,18, 22,24 92:12 97:13 101:5 109:16 111:16 113:2,14, 15 114:10	31:12,21 41:25 42:8,20 44:13,20, 23 48:15 51:9,18 52:12 53:4,16 55:15 56:4,10, 17,21,25 57:6,7, 11,18,22, 23,24 59:25 60:1 61:10,13 62:22,24 63:6,15 64:2,8,9, 16 65:20 68:22 71:14,21, 22,23 72:4,5 73:19,23 74:5 80:22 81:14 83:12,15 84:7,8,9, 13,15,16, 20 85:3, 6,17,18, 19,20 86:21 90:10,16 92:4 93:10 96:5,18 99:11,12 103:13 109:4,6, 9,14 115:6,7	100:16  <b>good</b> 9:21 31:22 47:20,21 63:10 96:11 110:4  <b>Google</b> 101:10,18  <b>Googled</b> 99:6  <b>got</b> 7:3 10:21 11:22 26:3 31:9 39:13 68:3,4,5 84:12  <b>grab</b> 24:22  <b>grandma</b> 53:12  <b>ground</b> 9:17 99:25  <b>group</b> 94:21 95:12  <b>guess</b> 29:14 39:2 44:19  <b>guidance</b> 96:23  <b>gun</b> 24:22 25:8,23 27:18,20, 25 28:1,2 50:15 52:6,23 53:16 73:23,24	76:10 84:16 95:19 113:18  <b>guns</b> 52:19  <b>gunshot</b> 49:20  <b>gunshots</b> 48:2  <b>guy</b> 51:2 80:20 103:14  <b>guys</b> 18:8,10 34:10,21, 24 87:2 90:3,8 92:2,14 95:23 102:14 103:3 106:5 110:21	<b>hands</b> 21:6 24:9  <b>happen</b> 10:15 31:21 40:25 110:13  <b>happened</b> 19:25 24:25 32:7 50:20 84:18 105:5 112:23 114:3  <b>happening</b> 76:14  <b>happy</b> 93:13 105:7  <b>hard</b> 41:22 109:6  <b>having</b> 4:18 7:25 13:4 15:18,23 23:25 29:16 35:17 52:19,23 53:24,25 55:21 57:4 69:22 71:18 76:3 90:8 105:21 113:14  <b>head</b> 10:9 15:14 29:25 58:19	<b>hear</b> 35:8 42:8 57:23 58:8 60:15 62:1 65:12 86:14 87:16,25 90:1,9 91:15,17 92:5  <b>heard</b> 26:25 48:7 65:14 87:9,21 89:13,20, 22 90:9, 20 91:10, 17,24 92:9  <b>hearing</b> 91:5  <b>held</b> 13:23 16:9  <b>help</b> 42:14 99:4 100:25  <b>helps</b> 101:21  <b>here</b> 4:5,8 5:17,20 9:15 10:12 12:22 13:7 18:18 19:1,5,6, 25 20:15 21:19 24:13,24 28:25 29:20
--	---	--	--	---	--

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

30:25	75:20	6:24	51:3	75:15	89:13
31:13	83:11	14:22	<b>how</b>	79:21	90:1,20
32:8,14	87:9	16:10	8:8 11:2	<b>identific</b>	91:10,16,
38:23	91:20	57:21	12:10	<b>ation</b>	17 92:20,
43:23	95:5,6	74:6	13:23	6:4	21 93:4,
44:3,21	98:11	111:9	14:17	<b>identifie</b>	19 94:8
46:12	99:2	<b>holding</b>	16:24,25	<b>d</b>	96:18,25
48:22	100:11	7:18	17:6,23	6:17	97:1
59:1,8,23	101:19	15:13	18:2,3,7	50:22	98:24
61:12,18	102:7,13	<b>home</b>	23:23	<b>identify</b>	99:4,9
63:19	103:9	22:14	25:17	16:6 18:2	100:2,10,
68:2,16	104:15	28:6 53:9	27:10	<b>if</b>	25 101:1,
69:4	109:12	60:9 72:1	31:1,9	8:19 9:24	6,9,21
72:11,16	110:1	113:20	33:22	14:8	102:3,10
73:7,20	112:9	<b>Homeland</b>	34:23	15:2,8,11	103:7,8
74:7	<b>hide</b>	14:24	35:7	16:11	104:12,13
81:9,12,	19:8	<b>homicide</b>	46:13	22:12	105:13,17
18 83:1	<b>hiding</b>	49:17,18,	48:12	23:4,14,	107:23
85:11	27:23	21,23	52:14	22 24:15,	108:2,7
86:22,24	<b>higher</b>	50:14,25	55:3	21 25:17,	114:1
88:11	104:4	75:6,7	66:10,11	23 27:11	115:1,2,3
90:23	<b>higher-up</b>	76:24	70:8	30:24	<b>implement</b>
91:13	107:11	111:12	81:23	31:17	<b>ed</b>
100:7	<b>highly</b>	113:8	90:21	33:3,4,21	46:14
101:12,19	21:11,16	<b>Hopefully</b>	100:7	34:5,6	<b>implicate</b>
102:7	<b>himself</b>	39:6	102:13	35:14	34:23
103:19	112:7	<b>hoping</b>	110:20	36:19	<b>improved</b>
104:14,18	<b>hindsight</b>	101:23	111:2	43:4,8,	41:17
105:10	113:11	<b>hostage</b>	114:4	22,25	<b>in</b>
107:15	<b>history</b>	36:14	<b>hundreds</b>	44:2,23	4:8,22
108:25	22:9	38:12	88:13	45:13	5:5 6:9,
111:1	34:11	39:3,11,	<b>hypotheti</b>	53:7,18	16,18
114:3	43:19	20,25	<b>cal</b>	56:7,11,	7:3,5
<b>hey</b>	52:22	<b>hostages</b>	28:13	21,23	8:1,6,19,
9:9 11:11	53:19	84:8	<b>I</b>	58:23	22 9:2
14:8 19:5	<b>hit</b>	<b>hour</b>	<b>IA</b>	60:9	10:15,16
21:4	56:25	31:16	104:2,8,	61:11	11:21
26:12,16	60:15,24	71:2,3	15,22	65:17	12:15,19
28:1	61:7	<b>hours</b>	105:12	66:10	13:5,14
33:21	112:10,12	17:4	<b>IAP</b>	69:10,23,	14:4,7
35:8,15	<b>hitting</b>	31:16	12:3,4	24 70:22	15:9,21
38:20	66:19	71:11	37:22	72:9	16:5 18:2
39:13	93:16	75:21	98:6,9,10	73:13,14	19:16
40:1	<b>hold</b>	<b>house</b>	<b>idea</b>	78:3 79:3	20:3,7,
42:10				81:12,15	11,17,21
50:6,7				83:5	21:3,6,
61:5				85:23	11,25
65:21				86:8,24	22:11,15
66:8 70:3					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

23:5,7,9	78:2	<b>include</b>	88:17	<b>insuffici</b>	23 50:1
24:15,21,	79:21	78:2	<b>informed</b>	<b>ent</b>	53:11
25 25:2,	80:2,6,17	<b>incorrect</b>	60:11	83:1	84:21,24
7,8,14,22	81:5,10,	104:13	68:19	<b>intellige</b>	100:6,23
26:3,5,18	17 82:9,	<b>indicate</b>	<b>initial</b>	<b>nce</b>	102:1,10,
27:4,8,	13 83:11,	90:1	11:21	55:8	12 103:7,
10,15,18	15,17,25	<b>indirectl</b>	<b>injured</b>	103:15	22 106:13
28:5,7,14	85:11	<b>y</b>	7:23 26:7	<b>intended</b>	107:19
29:10,11,	88:14	47:12	<b>injuries</b>	25:15	110:3
23 30:7	93:23,24	<b>indiscrim</b>	7:24	83:25	<b>interview</b>
31:9	94:1,8,15	<b>inately</b>	45:19	<b>intent</b>	<b>ed</b>
32:7,20	95:7	16:3	<b>ins</b>	25:9 26:6	68:20
33:19,21,	96:21,22	<b>individua</b>	95:23	84:2	<b>interview</b>
23 34:16,	97:6	<b>l</b>	<b>inserting</b>	<b>intention</b>	<b>ing</b>
21 35:3,	98:17	28:23	82:13,17	85:12	79:20
6,18	99:3,8	45:14	<b>inside</b>	<b>interest</b>	<b>interview</b>
36:16,23,	100:1,6,	52:10	22:8 23:5	50:25	<b>s</b>
24,25	13,17,19	64:25	30:21	115:5	12:18
37:3,12	101:5,12,	<b>individua</b>	32:5,12	<b>internal</b>	13:5
38:14,15	25	<b>l's</b>	36:25	103:24	28:24
39:3,18,	102:14,	19:11	39:17	105:8,9	98:17
22,25	16,25	<b>individua</b>	45:1	<b>internati</b>	<b>into</b>
40:7,10,	103:7,10,	<b>ls</b>	53:5,12	<b>onal</b>	15:25
18 41:7,	21,23	35:10	54:4,5	107:7	16:2
8,10,17,	104:7,14	42:7	67:15	<b>interpret</b>	18:21
18,22,23	105:8	52:21	71:18	<b>ed</b>	19:23
42:4,16	106:20	54:19	78:4	88:16	22:6
43:5,8,	108:19	78:4	79:18	<b>interroga</b>	23:21
12,19	110:4	97:14	84:1	<b>tories</b>	24:8,10
45:24	111:4,19	103:3	89:13	6:17 12:7	27:20
46:2 49:8	113:14,	<b>informati</b>	97:6	<b>interrupt</b>	35:11
50:6,24,	15,16,23	<b>on</b>	99:23	16:24	38:15
25 51:1,6	115:5	31:19	108:22	<b>interrupt</b>	44:24
52:23	<b>incident</b>	37:8	<b>instances</b>	<b>ed</b>	51:25
53:14,18	13:14,18	39:10,13	27:12	9:25	52:11
54:10	16:19	49:1 51:4	40:5	105:1	53:10,11
55:1 66:3	19:15	54:7 55:7	<b>instead</b>	<b>interrupt</b>	69:6
67:23	35:19	60:10	16:3	<b>ing</b>	75:16
68:6,19	46:15	69:24	86:15	23:10	76:16
69:6,9,	69:9	76:5	<b>instructi</b>	<b>interview</b>	78:18
12,16	79:22	78:17	<b>ons</b>	8:1 11:25	102:18
70:6,8,	81:6,8	111:11	<b>instructs</b>	15:11,21,	106:19
15,17,20,	88:20	<b>informati</b>	10:6		<b>investiga</b>
25 71:11,	95:16,21	<b>ve</b>			<b>tion</b>
23 73:16	109:21				49:17
74:22,24	112:25				75:6 77:1
75:4,20,	<b>incidents</b>				103:24
23,24	36:14				
76:9	66:13				
77:12,15					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

104:3,17, 19 105:4, 6 106:4 111:17,20 <b>investiga tors</b> 49:21 <b>involved</b> 6:18 12:19 13:14 18:2 27:24 34:11 49:25 52:21,23 76:17 80:2 81:16,17 111:4 <b>involveme nt</b> 96:20 <b>IOCP</b> 107:2,6 <b>Isaiah</b> 5:21 45:17 47:23 89:20,22 91:14,23 110:9 <b>issue</b> 83:13 93:4,6 98:6 <b>issued</b> 95:20 <b>issues</b> 10:16 19:24 73:8,16, 17 75:13 <b>it</b> 5:25 8:4,	8,20,24 9:21 14:9,13 15:14,16, 21,23 16:22 17:18,19, 20 18:1, 2,4 19:10,16 20:1 21:20,21 22:13 23:1,6,9, 19 24:13, 22 25:2,6 29:2,4,17 30:6,15 32:17,23 33:21 34:9 35:1,23 38:1,2,22 39:5,15, 19 41:4, 19 42:5, 16,21,25 43:8,9, 14,25 44:5,14, 20,24,25 45:3,4, 12,24 47:24 48:10,12 49:2,10 50:2,6,15 51:8,12 53:3 54:14,15, 22,24 56:1,10, 11,12,17, 18,19,23 57:6,7,8, 18,24 58:4,18, 20 59:4, 16 60:8, 15,24	61:7 63:16,19, 20,21 64:16,21 65:14,17, 22 66:1, 3,12,18 67:14 68:6 69:6,15, 16 71:2, 22 72:7 73:11,13, 15 74:1 75:4,22 76:1 77:13,23 78:11,14, 19,24,25 79:1,4, 17,22 80:5,12, 13,19,21, 25 81:3, 7,11,19, 24 82:6, 7,8,9 83:12,17 85:24 86:1,2, 14,25 87:10 90:2 91:1,10, 17 93:5, 14 94:22 96:14,17, 18,20,25 97:1,2,4, 9,10,21, 24,25 98:4,13, 14,19,20, 23 99:3, 6,17,21, 22,24 100:3,7 101:1,9, 10,13 102:4,5,	6,7,14, 17,20 103:1,5, 7,13 104:4,7, 10,25 105:6,9, 18 106:3, 6,9,25 107:5,20, 25 108:8, 23 109:2, 8,17 110:14, 15,20 111:12,21 112:1,4, 5,6,8 113:17 115:2,5 <b>it's</b> 5:11 7:14 14:7 15:7,19 17:23 18:1,5 21:4,5,11 22:12,22 23:17 24:9,15 25:14 27:5 30:7 34:3,5,6, 8,13 40:7,10 41:7,10, 13,14 42:10 45:14,15 48:11,12 52:15,19 53:4,15 54:3,4,9, 13 56:21 57:14,15 58:23 60:21 62:3,11, 13 63:10	65:17 66:7,8 70:12,22, 23 71:1, 4,12,20 72:1 73:5,6,12 74:22 75:19,22 81:15 82:15 83:10 84:10 85:4,8 86:10,18, 19 89:16 90:3,5 92:11,13, 16 93:23, 24 94:2, 14,15 95:2 96:7 102:4 103:2,4 104:11 107:7,9 109:3 111:7,8, 15,25 113:7 114:24 <b>items</b> 19:19 33:13 52:13 110:6 <b>itself</b> 33:18 <hr/> <b>J</b> <hr/> <b>January</b> 13:18 <b>Jasmine</b> 6:10,11 7:8 9:9 81:8	103:20 <b>job</b> 9:21 14:5,24 104:6,11 <b>judge</b> 21:13 <b>judgment</b> 7:3 94:3 <b>jumping</b> 103:18 <b>just</b> 7:11,12 8:12,14 13:3,6 14:11 16:3 17:9 19:8 20:15 21:3,6,15 22:3 23:18,20 24:6,7 26:1,10, 14 30:1, 7,9,10 31:3 33:4 39:15 42:9 44:15 46:6 48:16 49:10 53:7,8,14 54:3 55:14 56:7,11, 15,17,25 57:6,7 59:19,23 61:4 63:16,20 66:13 68:21 71:4,6 72:15 73:14
--	--	---	---	--	---



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

79:17,24 80:15 81:11 82:6,9 84:9,18 85:3,5, 16,17,20 86:16,19, 21 90:13 94:18 96:5,9,18 99:6,9, 17,25 100:14,25 102:6 103:18 104:13 107:6,13 109:6,8, 9,18  <b>justice</b> 111:22  <b>justify</b> 26:18  <hr/> <b>K</b> <hr/> <b>keep</b> 17:3 21:20 43:11 49:10  <b>Kerry</b> 63:21 65:4 112:9  <b>kicked</b> 110:5  <b>kidnapping</b> 7:17  <b>kids</b> 75:3 76:3,4  <b>kill</b>	25:9,15  <b>kind</b> 7:11 16:18 17:5 23:16 25:18 30:10,24 31:1 33:23 37:21 39:7 49:14 55:13 57:7,19 58:8 69:5,6, 10,15,16 74:15 81:4 82:14 85:4 94:18 97:6 98:6 102:13 103:18 104:15,20 105:11 106:17 108:12, 15,17  <b>kinds</b> 89:24  <b>King</b> 6:10,11, 25 7:4,7, 8,12 9:9 81:8 103:20  <b>knee-jerk</b> 113:4  <b>knew</b> 37:12 39:4 42:6 46:8 53:22 80:22	111:23  <b>knock</b> 18:9,13, 14,24 20:7 21:11 27:13 32:19 69:19 84:2 86:3,4  <b>knock- and- announce</b> 20:25 83:20  <b>knocking</b> 29:23  <b>knocks</b> 70:11  <b>know</b> 7:18 11:4 14:8 15:14 16:18,21 17:10,17, 19,20,24 18:4,17, 18,25 19:3,5,15 21:10,12 24:3,4 25:21,22 26:2,12 27:25 28:4 29:10,12 30:4,5,7 31:15 32:14 34:3,5,6 35:3 36:19 37:3 38:14 39:24 40:22	43:3,13 44:15 45:19 46:23 47:13 48:4,12 49:9 52:13 55:5,8,22 60:6,10, 11 61:4 62:4,12, 13 63:19 66:8,13 67:2,12 69:7 73:7,8, 11,13 76:6,8,15 77:5,6 79:25 81:9,14 82:24 83:11,15 84:14 85:5,15 86:24 87:2,3, 12,21,22 88:21,22 89:6,8, 10,13,14, 19 90:9, 10,20,21, 22 91:4, 9,10 92:4,7 93:11 95:23,24 98:13,25 99:11,18, 20,24 100:5,6, 10,22 101:4,7, 9,10,14, 25 103:2, 5 104:7, 12,24 105:22,23	107:2,8 108:22,25 109:11, 13,16 110:5,14, 15 111:15 112:20 115:1  <b>knowing</b> 85:3  <b>known</b> 113:10  <b>knows</b> 31:20,21  <b>Kubla</b> 25:8 26:3 29:10 45:19 63:7 65:4 86:23 112:9,10, 11  <b>Kubla's</b> 63:21  <hr/> <b>L</b> <hr/>  <b>lack</b> 98:6  <b>lady</b> 39:14  <b>land</b> 44:10  <b>language</b> 91:25  <b>laptop</b> 53:8,15 56:9 102:7  <b>Las</b> 4:9,23 110:1,8, 11	<b>last</b> 10:16 46:24 49:3 64:2 72:15 108:11,23 111:8  <b>later</b> 6:1 10:21 21:13 28:21 52:1 79:4 82:4  <b>Latia</b> 4:8,22 5:4  <b>Laughlin</b> 15:5  <b>law</b> 8:19 19:6 54:10 76:7  <b>lawful</b> 18:15 19:1,17 22:21 23:24,25 33:12  <b>lawyers</b> 99:15 100:18  <b>leaders</b> 37:23  <b>leadership</b> 16:21,22, 25 17:5  <b>learn</b> 17:23 59:4 109:20 110:23  <b>learning</b> 14:15 107:10
---	---	--	---	--	--



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>leave</b> 27:16,17	<b>level</b> 107:10,11	22 98:1, 7,10 99:2,18 100:6,7, 11,18 101:11 102:13,17 103:6 104:8,16, 21 105:21 106:1,2,3 107:24 112:5,6, 7,8,9 115:2,5	<b>listing</b> 35:15	17:8,23 33:22 108:7	<b>lots</b> 88:13
<b>leery</b> 57:4	<b>Lexitas</b> 4:6		<b>literally</b> 107:20	<b>longer</b> 26:7 46:3	<b>loud</b> 23:19 34:24 48:11,12, 14
<b>left</b> 46:25 103:10	<b>lied</b> 8:23		<b>little</b> 8:13 21:24 24:11 29:4,15 30:22 35:18 37:14,16, 20 41:16 58:9 66:18 67:8 72:5 88:25 96:1,15 106:18 108:18 112:18 113:25	<b>look</b> 6:24 11:11 29:17 52:12 57:12,24 61:3 63:6 64:9 99:2 101:1 104:25 105:16,17 112:4,6,7	<b>LVMPD</b> 74:22 77:19 78:7,9 81:19 82:15 84:5,25 97:17 106:14
<b>legal</b> 18:15 19:1,5,17 22:21 33:12 69:19	<b>lieutenan t</b> 5:13,14 13:22 14:25 75:8 80:11 95:16	<b>likely</b> 71:21			<b>LVNR</b> 82:7
<b>lengthy</b> 50:1	<b>life</b> 38:14 39:18 47:23 111:8	<b>likened</b> 85:8		<b>looked</b> 11:13	<b>lying</b> 25:7,14 73:14
<b>less</b> 71:21 86:20	<b>light</b> 110:4	<b>limited</b> 74:10		<b>looking</b> 7:22 52:6,25 62:12 63:21 99:5 103:18 104:22 105:12 110:1 112:21	<hr/> <b>M</b> <hr/>
<b>lessen</b> 71:17		<b>line</b> 37:12 39:14 40:1 79:11 81:11 94:1 99:3 102:14,16 113:16	<b>live</b> 96:21		<b>M-E-L-A-</b>
<b>let</b> 4:20 6:24 9:10,21, 22 10:6 18:25 19:3 29:4 30:22 32:16 39:2 53:5 64:2 66:2 79:13 89:5 91:4,13 94:4 95:10 99:9 110:16 112:9,18 113:25	<b>like</b> 6:1 12:3, 6 13:15 18:9 29:6,21 30:23 31:13 33:9,18 35:4 41:7 43:11 48:2,10, 13 49:3 53:12 56:16 58:18 64:24 69:24 71:11 73:11 80:14,24 82:7 85:4,16 89:24 94:21 95:12 97:4,20,	<b>lined</b> 51:3,13	<b>lives</b> 53:25		<b>N-I-E</b> 5:8
		<b>lines</b> 53:21 70:4	<b>living</b> 50:11		<b>Madam</b> 5:24
		<b>lineup</b> 50:22	<b>LNU/FNU</b> 108:23	<b>looks</b> 42:20 112:8	<b>made</b> 20:7,17 24:15 26:11,14, 24 30:3,8 32:10 38:25 46:2,18, 22 55:11 59:10 60:7 61:8,15 62:2,6, 14,15 65:7,23 68:4 72:19,22
		<b>lineups</b> 50:18	<b>LNUS</b> 108:10	<b>loop</b> 48:15 85:20	
<b>let alone</b> 83:2		<b>list</b> 66:6	<b>locked</b> 87:6	<b>lost</b> 38:14 111:8	
<b>Let's</b> 66:1		<b>listed</b> 38:7 41:10 52:13	<b>loft</b> 22:13	<b>lot</b> 23:14,17 35:14,17 43:13 48:2 92:3 104:12	
<b>lethal</b> 86:20			<b>logical</b> 27:5		
			<b>long</b> 8:8 11:2 12:10 13:23 14:17 16:25		

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

84:3 87:6	111:7	<b>maybe</b>	82:4 83:5	78:25	38:25
88:9	114:23	41:7,8	84:12,23	103:14	43:23
89:11	<b>making</b>	58:9	86:6 87:3	<b>meeting</b>	66:14
90:15	26:21	98:24	89:12	11:21	89:17
92:8,18	61:20	102:4	90:6	75:16	92:10
96:17	73:3	109:5	91:13	<b>Melanie</b>	110:11
<b>main</b>	75:14	114:25	93:5 94:4	4:7,17,21	112:24
18:24	82:18	<b>Mccracken</b>	95:10	5:8,11,	<b>Metropoli</b>
19:9	87:15	34:5	96:7,12,	15,16	<b>tan</b>
101:3	90:10	<b>me</b>	19 97:1,5	13:2	4:9,23
<b>mainly</b>	91:21	5:25 6:24	98:25	56:21	<b>mics</b>
15:6	92:1	7:6,11	99:9	91:13	60:10
108:19	<b>male</b>	8:23 9:6,	100:25	94:4	<b>middle</b>
<b>major</b>	50:19	10,21,25	101:7	114:15	24:15
15:2	<b>manual</b>	11:7,12,	105:11	<b>members</b>	71:23
36:16	8:7 17:7	14,18	108:7,15,	50:8	<b>milliseco</b>
41:23	77:21	14:9	18 110:16	<b>memory</b>	<b>nd</b>
71:20	78:9	16:12	112:9,18	9:6 13:19	64:22
72:2	105:17	17:21	113:20,25	32:16	<b>mind</b>
<b>majority</b>	107:4	18:12	<b>mean</b>	33:21	7:15
106:14	<b>many</b>	19:16	13:4 15:1	104:14	27:11
107:13	27:9	20:24	26:10	<b>mental</b>	<b>minds</b>
<b>make</b>	48:12	22:2,18,	29:9 49:9	45:20	83:16
13:6 14:9	66:10,11	19 27:10,	73:5	111:9	<b>mindset</b>
18:21	71:4	11 28:23	78:22	<b>mention</b>	68:19
22:22	<b>March</b>	29:4,20	79:25	41:23	<b>minor</b>
23:6 24:4	41:7	30:22,24	82:6,8	45:20	105:21
31:20,24,	<b>margin</b>	31:3,4	83:21	<b>message</b>	<b>minute</b>
25 33:23	64:21	32:16,17	100:22	42:16	56:15
39:16	<b>marked</b>	33:4	102:9,10	<b>messages</b>	57:8 64:3
40:1	6:4	35:14	104:18	98:1	<b>minutes</b>
56:17,25	<b>match</b>	39:2	107:17,18	<b>met</b>	12:12
59:24	15:3	42:10,11,	111:5	11:2,20,	<b>misled</b>
60:11	<b>material</b>	16 49:14	<b>meaning</b>	23	8:23
63:21	8:23	50:6	55:1	<b>metal</b>	<b>missile</b>
68:18,21	<b>materials</b>	53:5,20	<b>means</b>	44:10	16:5
79:25	37:4	56:7 58:7	22:11	<b>method</b>	<b>mission</b>
81:11	<b>math</b>	60:19	<b>meant</b>	44:15	96:21
82:3	18:2	61:11	86:10	45:11,14,	<b>mistake</b>
85:22	58:24	62:9 63:8	<b>mechanics</b>	22	111:7
86:11,12	61:15	65:13,17	33:18	<b>methods</b>	<b>mistake-</b>
89:11	<b>matter</b>	66:2,21	<b>medicatio</b>	99:6	<b>of-fact</b>
90:21	4:22	67:20	<b>ns</b>	<b>Metro</b>	
94:15,18		68:25	10:10	13:9	
101:4		69:3,8,11	<b>meet</b>		
103:11		73:14,20	12:10		
107:3		74:1			
		79:13			
		81:15			

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

112:1	114:7	110:24	<b>multitude</b>	18 41:10,	98:19,21
<b>mistakes</b>	<b>morning</b>	111:22	91:21	22 44:19,	<b>nature</b>
35:12	10:22	113:1	<b>Murphy</b>	20 45:15,	111:6
<b>Mm-hm</b>	71:11	114:9,13,	4:13,20	18 49:3	<b>necessari</b>
36:13	<b>most</b>	20,22	5:2,3	53:12	<b>ly</b>
<b>modified</b>	10:11,17	115:1,9	6:5,15,	56:9,10	8:3 38:10
35:7 82:2	49:9 75:9	<b>Ms</b>	20,24 7:1	58:3	72:1
103:23	95:2	4:13,20	25:13	59:24	<b>need</b>
<b>modify</b>	107:24	5:2,3,11,	26:9	62:24	9:6 15:6
106:23	<b>mostly</b>	24 6:5,	31:11	63:8	19:13,17
<b>modifying</b>	99:14	15,20,24	37:19	68:11,16	33:11,23
80:2	<b>mother</b>	7:1 25:13	44:18	78:18	37:3
<b>mom</b>	109:25	26:9	45:16	80:10	42:14
51:24	<b>move</b>	31:11	46:5 48:6	83:4	53:12
76:9	31:22	37:19	55:20	85:21,25	54:9,15,
110:3	56:22	44:18	57:5,11,	88:25	16,18
<b>moment</b>	<b>moving</b>	45:16	15,17	89:1	69:24
42:4,10	73:5	46:5 48:6	58:2,14	92:16	80:13
43:5	<b>MP5</b>	55:20	59:18	93:23	86:20
83:17	50:17	57:5,11,	60:14	95:14,20	96:10
93:25	<b>Mr</b>	15,17	62:7	96:6,24	105:5
94:1,15	4:15	58:2,14	63:5,18	102:1,8,	106:5
<b>monitor</b>	6:10,12,	59:18	64:1,7,12	12 103:7,	108:25
54:20	14,19,23,	60:14	65:11	18 104:13	109:15
<b>month</b>	25 20:3,	62:7	66:17	106:21	111:22
41:23	12,17,22	63:5,18	67:1,6,	111:6	<b>needed</b>
<b>months</b>	25:4,19	64:1,7,12	11,19	<b>myself</b>	111:13,
14:2,19,	31:5	65:11	68:15	98:23	16,20
20	12,17,22	66:17	87:17	<b>N</b>	113:13
<b>more</b>	25:4,19	67:1,6,	96:13	<b>name</b>	<b>needs</b>
8:4,5	31:5	11,19	113:24	5:3,7	33:19
9:25	37:16	68:15	114:6,12	16:22	108:3
23:17	44:6	87:17	<b>my</b>	45:17	<b>negate</b>
31:3	45:5,8,	96:13	5:3 9:12,	108:11,23	42:5
33:15	18,25	113:24	22,23	109:12	<b>neighborh</b>
40:8,19	46:1,7	114:6,12	10:1,22	115:3	<b>ood</b>
41:10	48:3	<b>much</b>	11:25	<b>named</b>	26:25
45:3 66:1	49:18	18:3	14:24	100:11	<b>neighbori</b>
69:24	57:2,10	55:3,7	15:4,21,	<b>narcotics</b>	<b>ng</b>
72:13	59:2,11,	70:22,23	22 24:11	53:13	45:2
102:17	13 60:4	88:19	27:18	80:15	<b>neighbors</b>
106:9,18	61:22,25	109:1	28:24	108:20	26:25
109:4,14	63:2	<b>multifunc</b>	29:25	109:4	<b>Nellis</b>
110:16	68:12,13	<b>tional</b>	32:9	<b>National</b>	43:21
	70:1	15:10	33:16	16:20	
	76:17	<b>multiple</b>	35:16		
	87:13	24:2 29:9	37:14,20		
	96:11	93:16	39:2		
	109:21		40:7,10,		

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

50:12	23:12	108:24	<b>nope</b>	60:8,11,	<b>nothing</b>
52:2	24:17	110:25	42:21	21,25	8:5 94:1
71:20	26:7,20	111:7	79:2	66:7	102:8
74:19,23	27:8	113:3	<b>Nor</b>	70:3,19	<b>notice</b>
78:6	28:10,16,	114:5,9,	87:2	71:15,19,	5:20 6:1
<b>nervous</b>	19 34:24	12,13	<b>normal</b>	22 72:1	33:25
27:15	35:12	<b>no-knock</b>	41:2,5	73:6	38:20
<b>Nevada</b>	36:9,10,	21:1,2,	<b>normally</b>	74:15	62:25
82:23	21 37:12	14,17	40:24	75:19,22	69:19
<b>never</b>	38:10,18,	38:17	<b>North</b>	76:3	86:5,9
27:8	23,25	92:24	109:25	77:23	89:3 91:1
28:14	39:3,15	<b>no-knocks</b>	110:8,11	78:7,20,	<b>noticed</b>
35:8	40:4,12	46:23	<b>nodding</b>	22 79:23	5:17 6:16
40:14	41:1,2	<b>nods</b>	15:14	80:3,9,12	<b>now</b>
53:20	42:24	10:9	<b>noise</b>	81:3,13	30:25
74:24	43:11	58:19	23:19	83:7,12	31:13
75:2	44:23	<b>noises</b>	48:11,12	84:4,7,8,	32:15
88:20	46:3,16	48:12	67:2	12 85:3,	39:24
94:7	47:6	<b>nomenclat</b>	<b>noises</b>	17,18	40:19
95:6,15,	48:25	<b>ure</b>	44:12	86:10,12,	43:4
20 97:12	52:17	21:25	<b>non-</b>	14 89:12,	53:2,18
<b>new</b>	56:3 57:3	<b>friendly</b>	<b>none</b>	14,21,22	55:25
36:23	59:3,7	44:12	48:19	90:4,13,	57:18
37:8 42:9	60:2,6	<b>non-</b>	51:19	24 91:11,	63:6 74:5
96:20	61:1	<b>friendly</b>	76:23	13,25	90:24
97:15	65:14,19	44:12	104:10	93:10,22,	96:1
<b>next</b>	67:3,13	<b>nonpolice</b>	<b>nonsensic</b>	23 95:14,	109:2
41:18	69:2	61:20	<b>al</b>	19,21	113:19
56:22,23	70:15,18,	<b>noon</b>	90:6	97:20	115:2
59:5,24	24 72:11,	<b>notes</b>	57:1	99:8,11,	<b>NTOA</b>
61:8	16 73:1,	96:6	57:1,3,4	12,25	98:20
65:20	2,5,20,25	99:17	58:23	100:19	99:6,18
87:4,19	74:17	100:14	59:17	102:16	100:3
<b>night</b>	75:15	<b>numerous</b>		103:13	
35:25	76:4,6,	16:14		104:4,6,	
<b>nine</b>	22,25	22:5		10,11,21	
48:14	79:10,21	27:22		105:7,22	
62:13	80:23	28:3		109:5,9,	
<b>no</b>	85:10	44:12		16,17	
4:13,24	86:23	113:15		110:4	
9:16	88:9	113:7,13,		111:4,5	
10:13,19	93:2,21	18		112:8,15	
12:16,20,	94:1,6,23			113:7,13,	
23 13:1,	95:14			18	
10 19:7	96:10			<b>notes</b>	
21:3	97:20			96:6	
	99:17			103:19	
	100:14				
	102:6				
	106:20				

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

51:8,17 54:25 78:1	18:18 82:20 83:2 84:1 108:25	32:15,19, 20 33:18, 21,23,24 34:2,3,8, 16,17 35:5,8, 10,14,16, 17,20,25 37:12,21 38:4,14 39:4,7,12 40:7 41:15 42:3 43:13,19 44:9 45:3 46:13,14, 17 47:5, 8,18,22 48:19 49:9,14, 15,17,20 50:13,24, 25 51:2, 19 52:23 53:24 54:19 55:3,13, 24 56:4, 10 57:7, 19 58:8, 24 59:14 60:4 61:7,19 62:19,23 64:19,24 65:1 66:11 68:3,5,8, 10 69:5, 6,7,10, 12,15,16, 18,19,20 71:23,24 72:17,23 73:8,13, 16,17,18, 22 74:2, 6,8,9,10, 15 75:2,	3,7,13, 21,25 76:6,7, 10,20,23 77:2,9, 23,24 78:1 79:24 80:6,10 81:4,10, 11,21 82:12,13, 14,20 83:4,8,19 84:2,19, 20,21,25 85:4 86:3,4, 15,16,18 87:5,6,8, 23 88:7, 12,13 89:1,4, 23,24,25 91:16,18, 21 92:3, 12,21,22 93:2,7,9 94:18,21 95:2,11, 23 96:16, 18,20,22, 23 97:6, 16,18,21, 24 98:6, 16,17 99:15 100:4 101:18 102:8,13, 19,23 103:6,9, 17,18,21, 22 104:2, 10,15,20, 22 105:4, 6,8,11, 15,19 106:11, 14,17,19,	21,22 107:1,8, 9,13,24 108:5,8, 12,15,17 111:6,22 112:21, 23,24 113:14,18 114:15,18	15 26:5 27:4 29:1 45:21 46:2,9 47:22 72:8 76:2 82:19 83:17 86:25 87:19 90:2 93:25 94:15 98:21 111:9 112:12,17 113:19
<b>O</b>	<b>occupied</b>				
<b>O'DANIEL</b>	<b>occurred</b>				
4:7,17,22 5:3,8,11, 24 75:9 114:15	32:13 49:18				
<b>oath</b>	<b>October</b>			<b>off</b>	
4:12 8:16,18	107:20			27:19 29:25 30:4 35:15 38:7 48:8,13, 14 55:15 62:16,23, 24 65:1 103:15 112:12 114:10,16	93:25 94:15 98:21 111:9 112:12,17 113:19
<b>objection</b>	<b>of</b>				<b>officers'</b>
10:7 25:4,19 31:5 44:6 45:8,25 48:3 59:13 61:25 63:2 68:13 87:13 113:1	4:6,8,14, 15,21,22 5:21 6:1 7:11,12, 14,15 8:4,17, 19,21,24 9:4,6,21, 23 10:22 11:5,25 12:4,13, 14,18,25 13:13,18, 22 15:11, 23 16:3, 18 17:5, 13,25 18:3,7,8, 10,13,23, 24 19:16, 21,23 21:9,21, 24 22:3,4 23:9,10, 14,16,17, 25 24:6, 12,15,18 25:18 26:16 27:20 28:4 29:11,16, 23,25 30:10,19, 24,25 31:1,8,14				83:16
<b>objection</b>					<b>official</b>
10:4					97:17 98:3
<b>oblivion</b>				<b>offer</b>	<b>OIS</b>
86:18				30:1	27:8 110:15
<b>oblivious</b>				<b>offering</b>	<b>okays</b>
86:11				39:21	42:21
<b>observe</b>				<b>officer</b>	<b>on</b>
17:18				29:2 45:5,15, 19 63:7 69:20 86:23 93:11 103:11 112:10	4:5,14,15 6:24 8:5, 23 10:22 11:24 13:6 14:8 16:14,17 18:4,6,19 21:6,9,13 22:13,24 24:22 25:7 27:22 28:6 29:21,22 33:24 34:3,8,9, 13,25 35:1,2, 12,21,24
<b>observing</b>				<b>officer-</b> <b>involved</b>	
34:11				35:12 109:23,24 110:9	
<b>obviously</b>				<b>officers</b>	
24:24 46:7 52:3 69:13 77:6 105:13				12:19 16:20 18:16 22:20 25:9,10,	
<b>occupants</b>					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

36:3,6,8, 11,21 37:2 38:2,19 39:4,11, 13 40:6, 7,8,13, 14,16 41:2,3 42:2,6, 13,18,20 43:12 44:9,22 45:21 47:8,17 48:24 50:5,7,8 51:3,5, 23,24 52:15,17, 18 53:5 54:7 55:4,9,18 56:8,9 57:21 59:6,14, 16,17 60:2,9 61:5 63:8 64:20,25 65:3 66:13 68:2,7,19 69:1,6,25 70:16,20 73:15 74:6 75:11,12 76:5 77:9,11 78:20,21 79:10 80:22 81:14 82:4 83:7,11, 13,15 85:3,6,7, 11,14,15, 25 87:4	88:12 89:1,3, 13,22,25 90:11,16, 21,25 91:1,2,5, 14,15,18 92:4,6 94:2,12 95:8 97:5 99:16,24 101:2,9, 14,20 102:6,9, 11,21 103:1,24 104:3,16 105:6,19, 21 106:25 107:12 109:3,8, 22 110:3 111:11, 15,23 112:20 113:10, 13,20 114:3  <b>on-scene</b> 96:23  <b>once</b> 31:21 32:4 39:17 41:6 42:15 88:22 106:7 110:7  <b>one</b> 6:12,21, 22,25 7:2,4,8 21:8 37:21 40:7 41:22 42:24	47:22 54:19 57:12 59:21 65:3 66:1 67:23 69:3,18 70:12 72:13 73:8,16, 17 74:9 75:13 76:17,20 79:8,15 81:4,19 82:24 84:12,23 92:21,22 96:16 97:16 98:16,17 101:7 102:19 103:17, 21,22 104:5 106:11 108:5 111:10 114:15  <b>one's</b> 18:19  <b>one-</b> <b>bedroom</b> 22:12  <b>one-on-</b> <b>one</b> 41:9,11, 13  <b>ones</b> 28:3 35:16 47:2 69:23  <b>only</b> 6:12 41:6,21	43:15,18 44:5 46:23 56:15 59:24 90:16 92:23 96:21 110:5  <b>open</b> 20:11 23:3 24:8 61:20 67:9,24 87:5  <b>operation</b> <b>s</b> 96:2  <b>operator</b> 95:21  <b>operators</b> 77:21 88:3 89:14 103:4  <b>opinion</b> 20:1 41:12 44:4 59:17 83:7,13 95:7  <b>opportuni</b> <b>ty</b> 5:19 61:23 63:1 68:17 82:21 84:3 114:22  <b>opposed</b> 71:1 84:13  <b>optimal</b> 43:15	44:15 113:14  <b>order</b> 33:23 39:23  <b>ordered</b> 80:10 104:23 105:3,9 106:8,25  <b>original</b> 85:21  <b>other</b> 6:8,17 7:4 8:22 9:17 12:13,14, 18 13:5 17:5 21:8 33:24 34:6 35:16 36:3 37:7 39:4 42:7 50:8 52:21 54:1 66:13 69:23 70:10 71:12 80:21 81:6 89:3 91:1,5 102:19 103:10 106:20 112:18  <b>others</b> 19:16  <b>ought</b> 112:24  <b>our</b> 8:6 21:10 55:25 75:24	78:24 85:14 99:24 105:17 107:4 113:6  <b>out</b> 24:9 27:20 34:13 35:2,21, 24 36:6,8 38:13,18, 20 40:13 41:3 45:2,3 49:22 50:3 68:18 69:7 70:25 71:3,4, 15,19 73:15 74:15 77:2 84:16 91:20 93:10 99:5 101:14 102:10 103:3 108:4 110:5,8 111:19  <b>outline</b> 9:2  <b>outs</b> 95:23  <b>outside</b> 80:23 87:6 88:4 97:5  <b>outsiders</b> 106:9
---	--	---	--	--	--

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>outskirts</b> 94:12	114:16 115:11	<b>participa ting</b> 17:12	<b>pedophile</b> 53:7,8	39:4 41:3 50:24	<b>plaintiff</b> 4:14 5:4
<b>outstandi ng</b> 52:24 55:1	<b>package</b> 45:3	<b>particula r</b> 71:9 108:21	<b>Pedophili a</b> 109:5	<b>personal</b> 10:15	<b>plaintiff 's</b> 6:3
<b>over</b> 12:8 13:3 16:19 18:8 21:8 24:4,5 26:24 30:23 31:12,18 38:1 41:21 50:20 56:16,22 64:14 69:3,5,23 74:5,8 82:11 85:15 96:6 106:5 112:4	<b>Page</b> 74:22 84:25 106:13	<b>parties</b> 12:14	<b>peephole</b> 7:22	<b>persons</b> 33:24 75:4	<b>plaintiff s'</b> 99:15 100:18
<b>overall</b> 36:25 95:18 97:5	<b>pages</b> 12:3 78:10	<b>partner</b> 27:18	<b>pen</b> 58:23	<b>perspecti ve</b> 95:18 113:20	<b>plan</b> 25:18 31:18,20 37:6,22, 24 42:3, 4,7,20
<b>overlappi ng</b> 23:9	<b>paper</b> 58:24	<b>passage</b> 72:6	<b>penalty</b> 8:21	<b>pets</b> 75:3	<b>plausible</b> 25:23
<b>overrule</b> 79:1	<b>papers</b> 10:22	<b>past</b> 21:11 75:20 108:19	<b>people</b> 23:5 27:25 33:9 38:15 44:12 45:1 51:17 70:25 71:3,13, 15,18,24 79:20 80:14 88:10	<b>phone</b> 38:19 42:6,13 50:3,8	<b>play</b> 57:6,8,22 93:13
<b>overwhelm</b> 84:1,6 85:13	<b>paragraph</b> 96:19 108:8	<b>patrol</b> 38:13	<b>per</b> 51:10	<b>photo</b> 50:12,18, 21 51:5	<b>played</b> 99:1
<b>own</b> 102:8 112:13	<b>parameter</b> 40:16	<b>pause</b> 56:25 57:24 73:1,2	<b>percent</b> 17:18 24:6,12 28:4 35:5,10 54:9,10, 11 73:11 84:19 102:2,16	<b>physical</b> 18:7	<b>played/ video</b> 57:16 58:1,13 60:13 63:17,24 64:6,11 65:10 66:16,25 67:5,10, 18
<b>P</b>	<b>parameter s</b> 17:25 41:5 44:9 103:10	<b>paused</b> 58:1,13 60:13 63:17,24 64:6,11 65:10 66:16,25 67:5,10, 18	<b>perfect</b> 60:21	<b>physicall y</b> 8:6 74:24	<b>please</b> 5:6 7:6 9:21,25 10:6 11:18 22:2 36:19 69:8
<b>p.m.</b>	<b>parse</b> 80:3	<b>pauses</b> 56:11	<b>perjury</b> 8:21,24	<b>picture</b> 97:5	<b>point</b> 68:16
	<b>part</b> 26:16 38:4 42:3 71:24 72:15 73:13 80:10 83:25 84:20 87:23 88:7 92:12 94:18,21 95:11 96:18 97:24 106:21,22 113:14	<b>PC</b> 52:5	<b>person</b> 19:18 28:13 29:13 33:24	<b>piece</b> 58:24	<b>points</b>
		<b>peaceably</b> 82:21		<b>pinged</b> 54:22	
		<b>peaceful</b> 24:9		<b>place</b> 4:21 19:18 31:10 33:13 55:6 75:6 103:10 108:21 113:23	
		<b>peacefull y</b> 35:11		<b>plain</b> 19:15	



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

57:19 <b>police</b> 4:9,23 18:16,25 19:1 21:4 22:23 23:10,23 24:3 25:10,15, 24 26:5 27:2 38:18,21 39:1,5, 12,17 45:5 46:8 52:20 60:25 61:23 62:23,25 66:4,9,14 68:17 74:17 86:17,25 87:10,23 88:7,17, 22,23 89:3,5,17 90:2 91:1,4,5, 25 92:6, 9,10,12, 17 95:20 110:8 112:12 <b>policies</b> 81:21 106:23 112:23,24 113:12,23 <b>policy</b> 8:1,7 15:12,17 40:20 43:23 44:16 46:14,18 75:24 77:12	78:6,8, 15,24 79:4,8, 10,15,18 80:12 81:20,24 82:2 84:4 90:18 97:12 103:23 105:14,15 106:20 107:25 108:5,9 <b>pop</b> 101:24 <b>portion</b> 32:21 <b>position</b> 13:21,24 14:7,12, 15,18,22 15:13 20:1 24:13 25:14 29:15 43:14 44:20 47:17 48:24 60:2 68:22 69:8 75:11 90:12 91:2,14 92:11,16 95:7,11 <b>positions</b> 14:4 16:9,11 29:6,21 48:17,19 <b>positive</b> 100:18	<b>possibili ty</b> 75:3 <b>possibly</b> 71:17 80:7 <b>post</b> 93:24 94:8,25 104:7 <b>potential</b> 73:21 84:15 <b>prefer</b> 5:10 <b>premise</b> 23:25 <b>preparati on</b> 11:7 102:1 <b>prepare</b> 10:25 11:18 <b>prepped</b> 40:2 <b>presence</b> 18:16 23:25 52:20 60:25 62:23 <b>present</b> 23:11 28:17,18 49:7 63:1 75:4 78:4 83:1 93:19 <b>president</b> 15:8,9 <b>pretty</b> 16:8 22:12	29:19 55:22 99:15 <b>prevent</b> 10:11,17 24:20 <b>previous</b> 79:22 <b>primarily</b> 18:6 <b>primary</b> 13:25 14:7,16, 24 16:16, 19 <b>principle s</b> 83:20 <b>prior</b> 9:2 14:22 50:21 83:4 <b>private</b> 91:10,11 92:13 <b>privilege</b> 11:6 <b>probable</b> 50:23 51:15,23 54:15,18 76:8,11 77:14 <b>probably</b> 58:18 112:14 <b>problem</b> 42:24 69:2 110:5 <b>problems</b> 37:13	<b>procedure s</b> 78:25 <b>proceed</b> 32:5 <b>process</b> 17:23 30:11 <b>productio n</b> 12:7 <b>projectil e</b> 16:5 <b>promoted</b> 43:13 <b>properly</b> 40:2 <b>property</b> 52:9,12, 13,16 53:3,4,15 54:3 77:22 80:16,25 106:2 <b>protected</b> 33:10 <b>protectio n</b> 15:8 <b>protest</b> 16:1,2 <b>protests</b> 15:2,7 <b>prove</b> 76:16 97:12 <b>proved</b> 78:23 <b>provide</b> 33:24	82:20 83:7 84:2 97:11 101:18 <b>provided</b> 33:20 <b>prudent</b> 75:9 <b>psycholog ical</b> 45:21 <b>PTSD</b> 111:10 <b>public</b> 53:23 54:2 111:19 <b>pull</b> 23:16 56:4 60:16,24 61:7 68:6 87:10,11, 23 88:15, 16 89:2, 9,24,25 90:24,25 91:7,17, 18,24 92:11,12 <b>pulled</b> 29:9 31:2 102:6 104:13 <b>purpose</b> 18:23,24, 25 24:18 49:15 62:19 69:20 71:24 84:21 86:4 <b>pursuit</b> 70:23,24
--	---	---	--	--	--



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

71:3,5	<b>quick</b>	114:21,23	<b>recognize</b>	<b>refine</b>	<b>remember</b>
<b>put</b>	22:12	<b>reader</b>	75:9	39:2	9:10,11
18:4	55:14	56:9	<b>recognize</b>	44:20	15:16,17,
42:16	<b>quickly</b>	<b>reading</b>	<b>d</b>	<b>reflect</b>	20 16:22
63:16	22:15	15:16	50:9	4:20	29:1,2,5,
99:8	25:18	46:19	<b>recollect</b>	<b>refresh</b>	6,16,18
100:3,17	<b>quiet</b>	69:13	<b>ion</b>	15:22	33:2,3,5
103:1	21:5	80:24	15:22	<b>refuse</b>	99:11,13
109:14	<b>quite</b>	115:5	<b>recommend</b>	70:1	104:14
<b>Q</b>	13:4	<b>real</b>	<b>ations</b>	<b>refused</b>	105:20
	100:16	16:25	46:18,22	27:17	108:12
<b>qualify</b>	<b>quoting</b>	<b>really</b>	47:5	69:20	110:20
89:21	74:21	51:5,6	75:15	<b>regarding</b>	<b>remote</b>
<b>question</b>	<b>R</b>	56:15	92:23	98:10	113:17
9:5,12,		69:6 72:2	96:17	111:3	<b>reorganiz</b>
22,23	<b>raise</b>	98:25	97:17	<b>reiterate</b>	<b>ed</b>
10:1,8	10:4	<b>rear</b>	106:14	24:2	69:3
24:11	<b>rake</b>	34:25	107:4,13	31:19	<b>replay</b>
30:12	103:3	35:1	<b>recommend</b>	<b>related</b>	65:13,17
33:16	<b>re-watch</b>	<b>reason</b>	<b>ed</b>	76:24	<b>report</b>
37:14,20	65:21	9:13,20,	81:20	81:5	12:2 49:7
39:2	93:5	24 19:9	<b>recon</b>	106:20	51:2
44:19,20	112:5	<b>reasonabl</b>	55:4,6	<b>relative</b>	74:21
61:11	<b>reaching</b>	<b>e</b>	74:11	59:1 69:9	77:19
65:21	27:17	54:16,17	<b>record</b>	<b>reliabili</b>	<b>reporter</b>
66:2	<b>reaction</b>	75:23,25	4:20 5:7	<b>ty</b>	4:4,12
82:16	113:4	82:20	10:7	54:10	5:24 8:18
85:21	<b>read</b>	89:3	13:6,7	<b>reliable</b>	9:19
88:25	7:25	<b>reasons</b>	49:10	54:7,11	37:17
89:1	15:23	103:22	55:15,18	<b>rely</b>	114:18,21
90:6,23	42:19	<b>recall</b>	63:20	10:22	<b>represent</b>
95:4	53:3	38:6	79:25	<b>relying</b>	5:4 13:17
110:16	60:19	39:19	80:1	99:16	64:23
111:6	62:8	110:22	107:6	<b>remainder</b>	69:18
112:18	67:20	<b>receive</b>	114:11,17	22:4	73:10,17
113:25	72:5	32:18,22	<b>redo</b>	<b>remained</b>	96:15
<b>questioni</b>	77:18	40:17	56:11	72:10	97:24
<b>ng</b>	82:12	47:18	<b>refer</b>	<b>Rembert</b>	<b>represent</b>
81:11	84:20	<b>received</b>	98:18	49:24	<b>ed</b>
<b>questions</b>	86:1	11:24	<b>reference</b>	51:25	44:2
8:20	96:17,18,	17:7 33:8	<b>d</b>	53:19	92:21
10:25	19 98:14	48:25	72:4		<b>requests</b>
11:6 12:6	104:12	<b>recess</b>			12:7
13:3 56:5	106:6,12	55:17			
109:19					
114:7,9					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>requirement</b> 66:8,11 75:19 82:19	<b>retire</b> 13:11,21 77:9	106:23,25	<b>rights</b> 19:11 20:4,13, 18,22	<b>roughly</b> 14:21	<b>S</b>
<b>requires</b> 8:20	<b>retired</b> 7:14 8:10	<b>rewrote</b> 79:15	24:15 59:12 60:5 68:12	<b>round</b> 16:6 112:11	<b>SACO</b> 43:25 44:1,2,3, 8,21,23 75:10,16 113:13
<b>rescue</b> 36:14 39:20	13:10 15:18 32:15	<b>rifle</b> 51:3,12	<b>risk</b> 71:17 76:3 113:7	<b>rounds</b> 16:2 25:25 26:1,4,8, 23 27:3, 5,19,23 50:16 88:24 111:19 112:3,15, 16	<b>safe</b> 23:6 45:6 71:15
<b>rescues</b> 38:12 39:11	43:3,11, 13 49:2,4 57:4 107:21,24 109:22	<b>right</b> 18:17 19:5,12 21:21,25 25:24 30:25 31:13 35:15 37:12 38:17 46:12 48:7	<b>River</b> 15:5	<b>rule</b> 18:23 23:8	<b>safely</b> 71:18
<b>research</b> 101:25	<b>return</b> 26:7 29:11	49:2,13 55:21 56:22 58:10 59:5 60:12 61:3,22 62:25 63:6 64:13 66:1,15, 19 70:11, 16 73:7 79:9 82:5 83:5 85:12 86:22 87:4,19 88:3 91:23 92:15,19 93:17 95:10 96:5,14 100:9 103:16 108:7 109:20 110:23 114:6,24	<b>road</b> 70:24 71:20	<b>ruled</b> 74:15 77:2	<b>safer</b> 44:8 45:11,22 70:22,23 71:1,12
<b>residence</b> 7:19 18:21 23:6 51:16 54:5 71:19 84:1 99:23	<b>returned</b> 26:23 88:24 112:14	<b>revealed</b> 28:23 49:23	<b>robberies</b> 110:2	<b>rules</b> 9:18 18:9	<b>Safier</b> 4:4
<b>residents</b> 45:2 54:1	<b>review</b> 5:19 12:5 18:10 29:8 37:4 47:13,16 48:18 49:6 78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4	<b>reviewed</b> 11:3,20 12:13,17, 22 13:4 29:16 35:17 69:22	<b>robot</b> 94:13	<b>run</b> 8:12,14 15:5 64:3 85:18	<b>said</b> 7:3 9:9 10:21 15:20 30:23 33:9 34:7 41:7 42:15 43:11 47:14,22 48:16 50:2,4,7, 9,11 51:8 63:20 72:20 73:9,11 81:19 83:18 85:1 93:11 97:13 98:12 99:19 100:6 101:12 102:12,17
<b>resort</b> 46:25	47:13,16 48:18 49:6 78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4	<b>reviewing</b> 41:18 42:3	<b>rock</b> 16:5	<b>running</b> 36:8 41:4 86:15 96:25 97:1,2,4	
<b>resources</b> 15:6	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4	<b>rewrite</b> 79:17	<b>rocks</b> 92:6	<b>runs</b> 56:17	
<b>response</b> 72:8	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4		<b>role</b> 15:10 95:14 96:22 106:22 108:2 111:4	<b>rush</b> 71:2,3	
<b>responsibility</b> 76:15	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4		<b>room</b> 70:17 102:18	<b>Russ</b> 42:6 96:25 97:6 113:14	
<b>rest</b> 9:4	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4		<b>Rothenburg</b> 47:24 57:11,14, 15 59:20 88:6	<b>RV</b> 94:8	
<b>restrictive</b> 102:17	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4		<b>rough</b> 30:7		
<b>reticent</b> 72:10	78:18 79:6 105:6 107:4				

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

103:7,21, 23 106:5, 6 107:14 108:24 110:1 115:3,4	43:1 50:6 58:5,16 60:1,15 64:13,16 65:21 66:12,14 72:13 74:2 77:10,12, 16 79:2 80:4 82:22 90:14 91:19,20 95:6 96:25 97:1 99:14 101:18 103:13 106:3 107:5 113:3,17	76:7 96:20 <b>scared</b> 25:24 26:1 <b>scares</b> 23:19 <b>scene</b> 35:2 42:18 60:9 <b>school</b> 14:6 16:14,23, 25 17:10, 15,17,21 18:5,6 32:22 40:11,15, 16 41:4,6 97:14 <b>Scooby-</b> <b>doo</b> 109:12,13 <b>Scooby-</b> <b>doo's</b> 109:12 <b>scroll</b> 64:8 <b>search</b> 8:5 19:2, 13,15 20:2 21:4 22:21,23 23:25 24:3 26:5 27:3,4,24 31:9,14 32:1 33:11,12 39:1 42:19 49:16 51:14,16 61:17 66:5,9	74:11 76:15 78:6 82:21 83:3 88:2,14, 23 89:5, 16 91:6, 25 92:9, 10,17,24 97:18 99:7,8 100:2,4, 17 101:15,17 108:21 109:3 111:12 <b>searched</b> 19:19 33:13 <b>searches</b> 19:13 33:10 100:10 <b>searching</b> 101:20 <b>second</b> 30:6 48:13 64:21 69:3 70:12 84:23 101:7 106:13 107:18 <b>seconds</b> 26:2 30:2,8,13 34:7,9,15 57:22 58:21 59:9 60:3 61:13,19 62:14 65:1	67:24 68:5,6,8 70:6,9,12 72:7,11, 16,19,22 82:17,18 83:1 87:5,8,16 <b>section</b> 55:9 77:20 78:9 <b>Security</b> 14:24 <b>see</b> 27:3 28:22 29:10 63:19 82:22 86:13,16 93:16 99:4 101:4,5, 22 102:15 103:5 105:17 108:2 112:13 <b>seeing</b> 34:10 <b>seem</b> 29:19 <b>seems</b> 67:14,23 101:23 <b>seen</b> 21:21 51:1 59:16 74:24 <b>seized</b> 19:19 33:14 <b>send</b>	15:4 97:6 <b>sense</b> 36:23 90:18 <b>senses</b> 85:2 <b>sent</b> 42:16 <b>sentence</b> 106:12 <b>September</b> 80:6 <b>sergeant</b> 5:11,12 36:7 37:21 38:3 39:17,22 40:14 61:2 62:3 96:21,23 113:15 <b>serve</b> 36:16 43:8,18 44:17 45:6,22 53:1 54:6 75:10 76:15 77:7,13 78:5 80:14,18 95:13 97:23 99:7 106:1 108:20 109:7,17 <b>served</b> 7:13,20 10:21 35:3,4 43:16 44:3,5 52:18
<b>sake</b> 79:24 <b>same</b> 6:19 8:18 50:16,22 61:10,11 68:22 78:17 92:17 114:1,2 <b>sand</b> 94:1 <b>Sarah</b> 4:4 <b>satisfied</b> 82:19 <b>Saturatio</b> <b>n</b> 14:25 <b>save</b> 39:18 <b>saved</b> 47:23 102:4 <b>saw</b> 25:10 26:3 51:12,17 60:7 62:5 <b>say</b> 9:20,25 10:1 13:15 15:2,7 19:7 30:13 31:3 32:1 37:2 40:1 42:10,21	<b>saying</b> 21:16,20 22:3 24:12 26:4,12, 16 27:2,4 30:17 43:24 46:24 61:4 70:3 78:14 79:4 80:17 83:11 84:14 85:25 87:19 89:16 91:2,4,8, 9,23 95:5 97:7 99:1 104:14,20 110:4 <b>says</b> 72:7 75:23				

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

70:21	7:17	<b>show</b>	29:20	63:8,12	16:4 28:6
71:7,9	<b>shadow</b>	51:4	30:25	66:18	34:6
74:12	16:16	100:7,23	31:13	67:8	38:14
85:16	<b>shadowed</b>	109:10	32:8,14	<b>sofa</b>	82:10
89:23	16:18	<b>showed</b>	43:22	59:5	91:17
111:13	41:16	29:1,7	44:3,21	<b>solid</b>	104:4
113:13	<b>share</b>	50:12	46:12	90:21	111:8
<b>serves</b>	<b>share</b>	<b>showing</b>	48:22	<b>solo</b>	<b>someone's</b>
94:22	36:20	53:25	59:1,8,23	54:3	25:23
<b>service</b>	<b>sheriff</b>	<b>shown</b>	61:12,18	<b>some</b>	<b>something</b>
31:8,14	15:6	50:21	68:2 74:7	8:12,14	15:24,25
97:18,19,	<b>sheriffs</b>	<b>shows</b>	81:9,12,	10:4,24	29:18
23 100:4	107:2	42:18	18 86:24	12:6 13:3	37:7,10
103:16	<b>shoot</b>	51:6	88:11	15:11	48:16
<b>serving</b>	25:9	101:10	90:23	16:5,8	53:21
7:17 37:9	85:18	<b>sic</b>	104:18	17:5 18:2	56:8 70:4
43:20	86:23	9:22	105:10	19:14,16	75:22
45:13	112:9	<b>sick</b>	111:1	28:22	86:20
46:25	<b>shooting</b>	36:6	<b>sitting</b>	35:19	93:24
73:22	5:21	82:13	70:11	37:7 38:7	99:23
74:3,16	15:24	103:1	<b>situation</b>	39:7	100:12
91:16	16:2 28:7	<b>side</b>	18:19,20	40:23	101:23
109:2	32:12	33:24	22:24	46:22	103:8,12
113:8	47:24	34:25	28:15	55:9	105:14,
<b>set</b>	50:15	35:1 39:4	32:7	56:4,5	20,22
31:24	51:15	89:3	39:3,25	74:5,6	108:3
76:6 83:8	54:1,14	91:2,5	<b>situation</b>	80:5,20	113:5
<b>settled</b>	57:9	<b>similar</b>	<b>s</b>	81:21	115:3,4
6:22 7:9	76:10	43:9 64:2	39:20	82:12	<b>sometimes</b>
<b>settlemen</b>	86:16	<b>since</b>	<b>six</b>	93:11	9:4 34:25
<b>t</b>	109:23,24	14:23	26:23	98:25	39:12
7:23	110:9	106:15	58:21	100:17	94:13
<b>seven</b>	111:19	107:15	59:9 60:3	103:15	113:4
58:21	112:1	109:21,	68:5	105:6	<b>somewhere</b>
59:9 60:3	<b>shootings</b>	22,23,24	82:16	107:2	71:11
68:5	35:12	<b>single</b>	83:1	110:2,3	104:14
<b>several</b>	<b>Shortly</b>	28:23	87:5,15	<b>somebody</b>	<b>SOP</b>
7:18	50:14	61:11	88:23	23:22	75:24
17:10	<b>shot</b>	<b>sit</b>	112:2	24:14	81:25
36:18	45:6,15	19:25	<b>skips</b>	28:7	105:23
71:13	49:19	20:15	56:11	86:5,9	<b>SOPS</b>
91:19	<b>shouting</b>	21:19	<b>sleeping</b>	90:1	8:6
92:8	34:24	24:13,24	24:21	<b>somebody'</b>	105:17
101:12	87:25	28:25	71:1	<b>s</b>	<b>sorry</b>
<b>sexual</b>	88:23		<b>slow</b>	34:23	5:14
			37:16	<b>someone</b>	14:17

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

16:24	<b>specializ</b>	<b>standards</b>	<b>station</b>	76:25	100:17,
17:3,14	<b>ed</b>	84:5	44:11	91:21	18,23
20:1	16:9,10	100:19	<b>stay</b>	102:11	101:11
21:19	<b>specific</b>	<b>start</b>	77:9	104:19	113:18
28:12	83:8,13	28:7	<b>staying</b>	109:7,16,	<b>stun</b>
32:16	93:4	30:19	54:13	17 110:18	47:15,21
46:21	<b>specifica</b>	<b>started</b>	78:2	111:24	48:7
48:15	<b>lly</b>	27:17	<b>stealth</b>	<b>stop</b>	59:21
49:5,11,	10:6 11:8	29:23	21:2	9:25 26:6	82:13,17
12 51:22	34:20	30:14,15	<b>step</b>	37:8 42:5	99:25
55:13	110:22	47:24	41:18	43:24	103:1,6
57:13	<b>specifics</b>	<b>starting</b>	<b>stepmom</b>	49:19	111:25
59:19	19:23	61:14	49:22	57:18	<b>subject</b>
60:18	100:5	<b>startled</b>	51:10	64:3	8:24 12:1
63:19	<b>speculati</b>	23:22	53:20	86:19	27:15
64:9	<b>on</b>	25:24	<b>stepped</b>	103:7	53:18
66:18	39:23	<b>startles</b>	94:25	<b>stopped</b>	76:12
67:7,9	46:9	23:19	95:17	25:12	77:12,23
78:22	<b>spell</b>	<b>starts</b>	<b>steps</b>	55:12	103:9
79:13	5:6 115:3	59:10	36:24	57:16	113:9
80:4	<b>spent</b>	62:14	<b>stepson</b>	73:3	<b>subjects</b>
84:23	42:13	64:16	49:24	<b>Stoppers</b>	21:7
96:5	<b>spoke</b>	65:7	<b>stick</b>	49:22	23:15
100:2,21	42:6	66:19	47:15,21	<b>stopping</b>	49:19
103:17	<b>spoken</b>	<b>state</b>	59:22	60:22	51:8
105:1,2	52:17	4:11 5:6	82:17	<b>stops</b>	52:19
107:19	<b>spot</b>	10:7	99:25	49:2	54:25
110:16	57:8	79:13	103:6	<b>story</b>	92:9
<b>sound</b>	<b>spotlight</b>	82:23	111:25	27:17	<b>submit</b>
48:10	<b>ing</b>	<b>stated</b>	<b>sticks</b>	<b>street</b>	68:17
<b>sounded</b>	85:4	41:19	48:7	95:3	82:21
48:2	<b>square</b>	45:10	<b>still</b>	<b>strictly</b>	83:3
<b>South</b>	22:14	88:19	14:5,6	53:4	<b>success</b>
43:21	<b>stack</b>	<b>statement</b>	26:4 27:4	<b>strike</b>	35:6
50:11	113:15	26:22	42:3	32:16	88:19
52:2	<b>staff</b>	46:11	43:12	<b>struggle</b>	<b>successfu</b>
74:19,23	107:1	85:11	44:16	27:18	l
78:5	<b>stand</b>	<b>statement</b>	48:23	<b>stuff</b>	30:20
<b>speak</b>	56:23	<b>s</b>	52:24	18:10	<b>such</b>
19:7	<b>standardi</b>	12:18	53:12,23	36:3	11:1
35:13	<b>zed</b>	26:11	54:24	37:22	<b>sufficien</b>
49:23	78:7	<b>states</b>	55:1	74:5,6	t
97:21		19:6	62:15,23	98:2	39:22
<b>speaks</b>		82:22	70:19	99:15	83:6
98:14					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>suggestin g</b> 28:12	43:9,24 45:11	14:2,6 16:13,16, 21,22,25 17:5,7,13 32:1,19, 21 36:8 38:4 40:11,15, 16 41:4, 6,21 46:24,25 77:6,20, 21 78:9 79:21 80:17,23 88:13 95:21 97:13,18 98:9 106:10 113:8	96:3 98:20,21	<b>talked</b> 11:8 96:14 98:16 100:15 103:20 106:11 108:6,9	63:11 66:21 73:14,20 83:5 89:12 93:5 108:7 110:14
<b>summary</b> 7:3	<b>surrounde d</b> 36:17		<b>tactics</b> 43:4 69:12,14 75:9 78:8 79:21 99:20 103:1,25 104:3,5 106:10 113:22	<b>talking</b> 15:15 21:17 30:14 38:19 40:4 42:7 50:4 78:16 106:22 108:13,17 110:4	<b>telling</b> 23:24
<b>superviso r</b> 31:25	<b>surroundi ng</b> 19:25 34:12 38:22		<b>take</b> 16:19 23:5,15, 20 24:8, 22 27:20 37:9 38:15 44:16,25 45:2 52:11 53:11 55:14,25 84:7,8,16 86:19 91:2,14 96:9 105:6 109:9 113:4	<b>task</b> 97:14	<b>tendencie s</b> 22:8 52:22 53:19
<b>superviso ry</b> 96:22	<b>surrounds</b> 38:8	<b>SWAT's</b> 78:5	<b>sworn</b> 4:18	<b>taught</b> 14:5	<b>term</b> 89:24 98:7 100:3
<b>supposed</b> 104:5	<b>surveilla nce</b> 31:15 51:17 55:9,12 75:18,19, 21 76:2,8 103:9		<b>T</b>	<b>team</b> 14:25 15:4 36:8 37:23 43:12 55:9	<b>terms</b> 23:9 29:23 32:20 33:21 69:7 82:13
<b>sure</b> 28:3 31:20 38:5 53:2 55:22 56:17 57:1 59:24 68:21 72:14,16 80:1 85:22 94:18 99:16 114:23	<b>suspect</b> 22:7 41:25 50:23 85:9	<b>tactic</b> 21:22 42:25 43:6 79:1 82:9 111:14	<b>taken</b> 12:15 26:18 35:11 36:24 55:17,22 108:3	<b>technical</b> 18:1,5	<b>testified</b> 4:19
<b>surprise</b> 59:4 62:20 83:25 84:6 85:12	<b>suspects</b> 22:8 51:20 52:21 74:23 75:6 76:21 77:3 84:15 92:3	<b>tactical</b> 13:25 16:16,20 29:8 47:13,16 48:18 49:6 78:18 79:6 80:11 93:20 94:2 95:6,17	<b>taking</b> 9:19 24:9,20 58:20	<b>technique s</b> 17:13	<b>testimony</b> 10:12,18, 23 46:7 55:24 62:18 83:5 93:11 107:12
<b>surrender</b> 23:3,18 24:7,23 35:11 53:23 70:4 84:7,9, 13,18 85:16,19	<b>sustained</b> 47:17 48:20 78:21,22 81:3 105:19,21		<b>talk</b> 27:13,16 59:25 89:19	<b>tell</b> 7:6 9:11 10:14 11:7,12, 13,18 17:21 18:12 22:2,18 27:10 33:1 35:14 42:10	<b>Texas</b> 50:6
<b>surround</b> 42:12	<b>SWAT</b> 13:22,25				<b>text</b> 31:17 42:16 98:1
					<b>than</b> 40:24 99:18

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>thank</b>	108:2	49:4,7,8	64:24	15:14,20	10:14
68:25	111:5	50:10	70:21	19:4	12:15,19
114:12,13	113:14	56:18	71:7	21:24	13:14,18
<b>Thanks</b>	<b>them</b>	57:9,23,	75:14	25:2,7	18:25
63:8	11:25	24 58:15,	80:22	26:8,17	19:20
<b>that's</b>	18:25	17 61:6	88:14	31:2	20:2
7:8 8:18	19:3,4,5	62:12,14,	89:14	33:17	24:25
11:12	21:11,12	15 64:3	90:8	34:19	25:3
14:21	23:19,20,	67:7 68:7	91:16	35:1 40:6	26:13,16,
21:21,22	24 24:8,	78:9	92:2	45:6	18 27:14
24:24	20 28:1	79:14	95:13	46:19,25	28:18
28:13	35:20	82:11,17	107:10	55:10	29:5
29:14	38:19	85:14,23	<b>they'd</b>	56:1	30:5,12
30:11,15,	40:3	95:4 96:2	38:25	57:13	31:1 32:7
17 32:9	43:13	97:6	<b>they'll</b>	59:8,10	33:22
33:3 37:1	45:3	101:5,18	23:18	61:21	35:6,7,
39:23	51:19	105:25	31:22,24	62:22	17,19
41:2,12	65:1 66:8	114:1	53:20	63:20	36:3,7,18
43:25	69:23	<b>there's</b>	84:18	64:16,25	38:3
44:16	78:23	15:3 18:2	<b>they've</b>	68:16	39:14,23
49:13	81:2	19:14,16	28:1 35:7	70:5	40:1,2,24
50:9	84:11,16	21:2 22:5	38:19,21	72:19,21	43:15
52:25	85:12,13	34:4	<b>thing</b>	73:21	44:3
58:8,21,	86:8,11,	56:15	8:16	80:5 81:7	45:6,7,24
24 60:18	19 87:25	70:24	79:11	82:23	46:15,19
61:12,13	89:5 91:4	71:21	82:14	83:6,11	47:9
64:19	92:3,17	76:6	90:16	85:8	50:19
65:6,15	93:6,16	78:19	99:8	97:24	51:10
67:24	94:16	80:25	101:3	99:3	52:17
68:22	100:7,8,	84:14,22	104:5	102:4	55:4,13,
71:15	23 107:9	91:21	111:8	105:20	23 56:22
78:25	<b>then</b>	94:1	112:21	107:18	57:8,10,
82:23	9:22 10:7	103:10	<b>things</b>	108:6	11,12,13
85:1	11:14,17,	104:19	31:2	109:25	58:4
87:19	22 12:1	107:24	35:17	111:1	59:19
89:15	14:1,16	108:3	40:23	<b>thinking</b>	61:5
90:4,18	15:17,19	112:9	42:4 43:4	25:22	63:11,15
91:8,9,25	16:18	113:9	91:16	26:13,17	64:2,20
92:16	17:4 23:4	115:1	92:5	28:13	65:3 66:2
93:15	26:3,21	<b>therefore</b>	98:16	60:7 62:5	68:7 69:9
95:4,6,14	29:11	94:14	99:12,18	80:14,15	71:5,6,9,
98:9,11	30:18	<b>thereupon</b>	102:19	86:19	12 73:22
99:8	31:21,22	115:10	103:17,21	88:21	74:3,11,
100:19	32:2,4,12	<b>these</b>	106:11	89:20	16 75:10
102:7	33:11,16	16:17	113:12	<b>thinks</b>	76:7,8
104:6	35:23	35:16	<b>think</b>	50:2	77:18
105:16	36:7 38:1	39:21	6:21	<b>this</b>	79:10
106:4	41:16	48:7 56:8		4:20 5:5	81:10
107:14	47:11				82:14
					83:7,13



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

84:22,24	56:6	30:10,24	18 37:12	112:2	21:12,13,
85:11	69:22,25	31:4	39:11,13	<b>timestamp</b>	14,15
87:9	70:4	32:7,22	42:13,25	57:25	22:6,10,
89:24	78:21	33:4	43:1,6	58:5,16	15,18,21,
93:3 94:2	83:16	35:19	46:24	60:19	22,24,25
95:24	87:16	39:5	47:20,21	62:9	23:3,6,
98:18	90:3	47:16	49:1	64:13,25	15,23
99:1,2,3	92:14	48:2	50:22	66:22	24:18,20,
101:7	94:15	49:14	53:25	67:20	22,23
102:13	101:6	56:18	54:23	<b>timing</b>	25:9,11,
104:9,13,	102:18	57:6,7,8,	55:5,19	82:11,13	15,19,25
16,22	103:3	18,22	59:16,24	<b>tip</b>	26:10,17
105:11,23	106:9	64:3	61:12	39:14	27:5,16,
106:1,2,	107:3	69:11	64:2,19	49:22	17,19
13,21	113:19,21	73:5	65:15	<b>tipped</b>	28:17,23
107:12,	<b>though</b>	86:25	66:1	103:14	29:5,15,
17,18	26:10	88:18	71:16	<b>to</b>	17,19
109:15,	37:15	97:13,15	72:13	5:17,19,	30:7,9,
21,22	65:3	98:14	73:2	21,25	10,13,16,
110:9	85:21	105:11	75:25	6:1,16	23 31:3,
111:4	112:2	108:15	76:6,22	7:19 8:4,	5,12,21,
112:25	<b>thought</b>	109:16	79:5	12,14,20,	22 32:6,
114:14,23	30:11	<b>throughou</b>	82:20	22,24	7,15
<b>Thomas</b>	104:20	<b>t</b>	83:2,6	9:2,3,4,	33:4,13,
49:18	<b>threat</b>	61:17	84:19	6,12,14,	15,19,20,
50:25	15:3 22:6	66:12	90:16	23,25	22,23,24
111:22	52:18	<b>throw</b>	92:17	10:6,24,	35:13
<b>Thomas '</b>	53:14,17,	16:7	95:2	25 11:1,	36:21
76:17	23 54:1	86:13	98:12	4,7,11,	37:3,5
<b>thoroughl</b>	72:2	99:19,22,	99:19,21	13,17,18,	38:19
<b>y</b>	76:14	24 102:18	100:5	22 12:6	39:10,23
53:2	77:22	<b>throwing</b>	103:9	13:2,6,	40:11,15,
<b>those</b>	79:11,18	100:1	105:25	15,17	16,19
12:8 14:4	80:19	<b>thus</b>	107:24	14:22	41:2,3,4,
16:11	81:1	84:4	111:12,	15:4,18,	17,23
17:9	111:17	<b>till</b>	14,23	24 16:4,	42:6,7,8,
22:16	<b>three</b>	26:3	113:11,23	6,9,10,	11,16,18,
24:4	8:10 14:1	<b>time</b>	114:16	13,14,15,	19,25
26:1,4,	<b>through</b>	4:3,21	<b>times</b>	20,24	43:6,8
14,25	7:11,22	7:16	23:14,17	17:5,6,23	44:2,6,8,
27:5 28:4	8:12,14	14:23	24:2 27:9	18:2,4,7,	15,17,20,
35:5,10,	9:14 14:6	16:17	29:19,21,	17,20,22,	23 45:6,
13 38:8,	16:8,12,	18:19	22 64:24	25 19:3,	8,12,20,
17 39:11,	13 17:9	24:6,13	66:10,11	4,5,6,7,	22,25
19 40:4	20:24	30:3,5	81:10	8,10,12,	46:1,3,4,
41:5,15	27:11	34:16	90:24	15,17,18,	6,7,11,18
46:8 47:2	29:21	36:7,17,	93:17	19 20:15	47:13
53:21			101:12		48:3,10,
			106:23		15,16
					49:8,10,



Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

16,22	24,25	100:6,8,	90:23	<b>totality</b>	97:8
50:4,5,24	77:1,6,	12,16	99:4,20	34:3,8	102:25
51:24	10,16,18,	101:23	100:12	59:14	107:9
52:1,12	22 78:2,	102:5,15	104:18	68:3,10	113:22
53:12,14,	5,10,12,	103:2,4,	105:10	<b>totally</b>	<b>trainings</b>
16,22,23	18,19,23	11,13	109:24	109:2	16:15
54:2,6,9	79:6,22,	104:5,23	111:1	<b>touch</b>	<b>transcrib</b>
55:23,25	25 80:3,	105:3,5,	112:20	43:12	<b>ed</b>
56:1,4,5,	4,9,11,	9,14	<b>today's</b>	<b>touched</b>	114:23
10,14,16,	13,20	106:5,8,	10:25	28:4	<b>transcrip</b>
17,21,22,	81:2,5,	17,19,20,	11:19	<b>town</b>	<b>t</b>
23,25	11,15,22,	25 107:3,	<b>together</b>	15:9	114:19
57:6,7,	23 82:4,	9,25	29:9 98:7	<b>traffic</b>	115:6,8
12,18,20,	7,12,20,	108:8,18,	<b>toilet</b>	50:20	<b>transcrip</b>
22,24	21 83:1,	25 109:4,	73:24	53:24	<b>tions</b>
58:3,4,	2,3,7,13,	14,15,20	<b>told</b>	70:24	11:25
20,21,24	16,25	110:11,	11:14	<b>tragedy</b>	<b>transcrip</b>
59:1,4,5,	84:2,3,8,	21,23	39:13	111:7,25	<b>ts</b>
13,24,25	9,10,12,	111:9,13,	43:22	<b>tragic</b>	12:14
60:1,3,6,	13,15,16,	16,17,20	53:20	45:14,15	40:10,18
8 61:8,	20 85:1,	112:15,	54:12,24	111:16	41:10
10,13,15,	8,9,12,	16,21,24	92:21	<b>tragicall</b>	<b>transmitt</b>
20,22,23,	13,17,18,	113:1,13	<b>toll</b>	<b>y</b>	<b>ed</b>
25 62:19,	19,20,22,	114:23	45:20,21	7:21	110:21
25 63:1,	23 86:1,	<b>to-do</b>	<b>too</b>	82:10	<b>transpire</b>
2,6,11,	4,10,12,	97:14	11:23	<b>trained</b>	11:22
15,20	18,19,20,	<b>today</b>	47:23	35:7 42:1	<b>transpire</b>
64:2,8,9,	23 87:4,	4:2,7	71:4,12	<b>training</b>	<b>d</b>
16,23,24	9,13,19	5:17,21	73:15	16:10	32:10
65:13,17,	88:16,17	8:21 9:15	101:2	17:7	<b>trauma</b>
20,21	89:15,18,	10:12,18	102:11	32:18,23,	111:10
66:3,6,8,	19,21	12:22	103:5	25 33:1,	<b>TRB</b>
14 67:14,	90:1,5,6,	13:7 14:9	<b>took</b>	7,20	49:3
23 68:5,	13,14,17,	20:1,15	26:23	39:22	<b>trial</b>
13,17,21,	18 91:4,	21:19	41:21	40:3,17,	59:25
22 69:3,	11,14	24:13,24	51:25	18,19	60:1
6,8,9,15,	92:2,21	28:25	55:21	41:9,14	68:22
18 70:1,	93:5,13	29:17,20	82:8	42:10	114:25
23 71:1,	94:2,11,	32:8,14	95:12	48:25	<b>tried</b>
15,17,21,	16,18,20	43:9,23	<b>tool</b>	78:7,8,15	63:11
22,23,24	95:7,12	44:3,14,	113:5	80:23	75:18,20
72:2,4,5,	96:7,9,	21 46:13	<b>top</b>	81:20	76:1
7,11,16	15,17,18,	48:22	7:14	84:4	98:23
73:3,10,	19 97:6,	59:1,8,23	29:25	85:14	
17 74:1,	11,14,15,	61:18	77:9	95:11	
2,5,18	21,24	68:2 74:7			
75:6,8,9,	98:9,18,	81:12,19			
16,18	23 99:4,	86:24			
76:1,13,	6,9,11,	88:11			
14,15,19,	13,14				

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

<b>trip</b> 36:21 80:9	65:23 67:24 78:20	<b>under</b> 8:21 10:10	111:6	<b>United</b> 82:22	63:15 66:22
<b>truthful</b> 9:12	81:20 84:23 88:3	11:5 23:24 27:7,9,12	<b>understan ding</b> 15:23 18:13,23 30:15,25	<b>unknown</b> 75:8 78:1 108:11, 23,24	67:20 70:4,12 79:21 80:9 84:7 85:17
<b>truthfull y</b> 8:21	89:14 90:3 95:2 113:15	33:25 41:22 70:6,8 82:25 96:22	31:4 32:9 35:16 43:7 46:13,17 47:4,7,11 49:15	<b>unknowns</b> 77:24	86:16,21 94:12,15 98:9 99:12,14 100:17
<b>try</b> 55:7 56:4,10 99:10	<b>two- bedroom</b> 22:13	<b>underlyin g</b> 19:24 33:16 39:25	55:3 58:3,25 79:19 83:4 86:1 93:9 96:24 102:12,23 104:2,22 106:21	<b>Unless</b> 10:5	101:24 102:6 103:2,4,7 104:4
<b>trying</b> 36:21 49:10 58:3 61:23 80:3,9 88:17 108:8 112:15	<b>type</b> 18:3	<b>understan d</b> 5:16,20 7:25 8:25 11:10,15 13:4 14:11 19:20 23:23 26:14 30:11 43:17 59:24 60:23 61:3 73:7 74:7,9, 14,25 75:1 81:18 83:21 85:21,22 86:17 88:12 92:20 93:4,6 94:17,19 96:1 97:7,16 98:5 102:21 106:6,10 108:8,16	<b>understan ds</b> 31:18	<b>unprovoke d</b> 76:9	<b>upper</b> 105:7
<b>turn</b> 56:18	<b>U</b>			<b>unreasona ble</b> 19:13 33:10	<b>UPS</b> 70:10
<b>turned</b> 44:24	<b>U.S.</b> 34:2,5,6 72:4 73:8,16		<b>understan d</b> 15:11 42:9,15 48:18 80:18 81:3 98:2	<b>unstructu red</b> 97:25	<b>upstairs</b> 70:19
<b>tutoring</b> 41:9	<b>Ubbens</b> 7:4,7,8, 12		<b>understoo d</b> 15:11 42:9,15 48:18 80:18 81:3 98:2	<b>until</b> 21:5 25:8,11 26:6 30:19 38:22 46:3 109:24	<b>us</b> 19:7 31:17 50:5,7 70:22 71:1 79:20 86:21 92:5 104:10 109:1,10 112:2,3
<b>tweaking</b> 107:25	<b>UFC</b> 15:3		<b>unfair</b> 112:21	<b>up</b> 21:5,13 23:15,18, 20 24:9, 20,22 30:22 31:25 36:21 42:18 49:19 51:3,13 56:4,10, 18 57:9, 20,22 58:5,16 60:10,19 62:9	<b>use</b> 8:4 17:24,25 42:25 43:6 44:15 47:5 86:14,20 99:25
<b>twice</b> 11:21	<b>Uh-huh</b> 60:17		<b>unfolded</b> 31:1		<b>used</b> 21:8 23:9 46:24 79:1
<b>two</b> 6:17 8:10 10:21 11:25 14:4 15:19 31:16 36:14 38:12 39:20 40:8 43:3 49:19 50:18 57:12	<b>ultimatel y</b> 112:2		<b>unfortunat e</b> 112:1		
	<b>unable</b> 76:1		<b>unfriendl y</b> 52:20		
	<b>unaware</b> 81:12		<b>unit</b> 60:2 61:24 67:15		
	<b>unbeknown st</b> 82:4				
	<b>uncomfort able</b> 55:10 57:1				

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

82:7,9	<b>verbiage</b>	13,15	34:11	<b>want</b>	22:21,23
<b>using</b>	77:21	109:25	52:22	9:2 11:7,	24:1,3
34:22	80:5	112:4	53:19	13 13:15	26:5
51:9	<b>versions</b>	114:11	113:9	19:4	27:3,4
101:17	12:4	<b>videograp</b>	<b>vision</b>	23:15	31:9,14
<b>usually</b>	<b>versus</b>	<b>her</b>	112:22	26:17	32:1
70:25	4:9,23	4:2,5	<b>vulnerabl</b>	30:9,10	33:12,18
<b>utilized</b>	7:2,4,7,	55:15,18	<b>e</b>	33:4	35:25
92:23	8,12 34:6	114:10,14	75:3 78:4	53:14	37:9 39:1
<hr/>	<b>very</b>	<b>videos</b>	<hr/>	56:1,14,	42:19
<b>v</b>	9:21	30:23	<b>w</b>	25 58:23	43:9,19
<hr/>	18:1,5	56:5		59:23	44:17
<b>vacation</b>	19:23	69:22,25		63:20	45:7,13,
14:8	68:8	<b>videotape</b>	<b>wait</b>	65:13,17,	23 49:15,
35:24	71:13	<b>d</b>	25:7,15	21 66:8	16 51:14,
36:4,6	95:4	115:10	33:22	68:21	16 52:4,
37:2	<b>vest</b>	<b>view</b>	80:24	69:6,23	8,9,10,
40:14	95:19	19:15	82:19	71:2	14,15,18
41:3 42:2	<b>via</b>	<b>viewing</b>	<b>waited</b>	79:25	53:1
<b>vacuum</b>	44:5	17:12	25:8 26:2	81:11	54:6,23
83:11	<b>vice</b>	61:5	73:4	82:3	61:17
<b>various</b>	15:9	<b>violate</b>	<b>waiting</b>	85:22,23	66:5,9
17:13	<b>victim</b>	24:14	82:16,18	93:5	69:13
48:17	27:14	77:11	<b>waive</b>	94:18,20	71:9
<b>vary</b>	113:9	<b>violated</b>	115:9	95:7	73:23
34:16	<b>victims</b>	105:17,23	<b>walk</b>	96:9,18	74:3,11,
<b>vast</b>	50:18	<b>violates</b>	7:11	106:19	16,23
88:15	<b>video</b>	60:4	16:12	108:20	75:10,22
<b>Vegas</b>	56:14	<b>violation</b>	20:24	111:8	76:16
4:9,23	57:16	77:17	27:11	114:11,18	77:7 78:6
110:1,8,	58:1,13	78:15	29:20	<b>wanted</b>	80:18
11	60:13	79:5	30:24	21:15	88:2,23
<b>vehicle</b>	63:17,24	97:12	31:4 32:7	42:8 53:8	89:5,16
70:23	64:6,11	105:15	33:4	56:5	91:6
71:2,5	65:10	<b>violator</b>	35:19	98:17	92:1,10,
<b>vehicles</b>	66:16,25	36:16	49:14	99:4,9	18,24
71:4	67:5,10,	<b>violators</b>	50:9 57:7	106:17	97:19,23
<b>verbal</b>	18 68:7	41:23	69:11	<b>wanting</b>	99:7
8:4	93:3,13	<b>violence</b>	108:15	102:15	100:4
<b>verbatim</b>	98:19	21:9	<b>walked</b>	<b>warning</b>	108:21
33:2,4	99:1,5,13	27:14	16:8	34:23	109:3,7
99:13	100:11,15	<b>violent</b>	<b>walking</b>	<b>warrant</b>	111:13
	101:6,14,	22:8	53:10	7:13,17,	113:8,21
	19,21		71:19	20 18:15,	<b>warrants</b>
	102:3,11,		92:5	17 19:2,	8:5 21:17
				14,18	27:24
				20:2	35:4,5,6
				21:1,2,5	36:17,19
					41:24

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

43:20	80:4 82:9	15 48:22	98:3	61:5	53:22
70:21	88:11,14,	49:1	99:16,18,	63:6,21	65:20
71:7	15 97:9,	51:12	23,24,25	64:8	69:24
74:19	25 106:20	52:25	100:13	66:4,13	70:16
85:16	<b>ways</b>	53:7,11,	101:1	86:16	73:20
88:14	63:12	12,13,14,	102:18	99:3,25	84:10
89:24	<b>we</b>	22 54:9,	103:1,14,	102:16,17	90:5
91:19		12,15,18,	20 104:18	109:4,14	92:22
95:13	4:5,7	24 55:5,	105:10,	113:8	106:1,3
<b>watch</b>	7:13,16,	14,21	14,17,19	<b>we've</b>	108:6
17:17	19,20	57:23	106:2,8	31:1	109:23
28:20	9:14,20	58:15,25	107:2	34:19	<b>went</b>
56:14,19	11:22	59:8,23	108:4,19,	35:3,4,6,	7:22
66:1	15:2,6,7,	61:3,12,	20,24	11 68:3,	16:20
69:22	9 18:17,	18 62:12,	109:5,6,	4,5 69:5	30:4,6,20
91:20	21 19:1,	14 64:19	8,9,12,	75:14,20	32:12
93:3	4,5,6,13,	65:3	13,14,17,	88:19,20	40:6
102:13	17,23,25	66:4,7,8,	18 110:1,	91:19	47:13,16
<b>watched</b>	20:15	9,18	8 111:1,	<b>weapon</b>	49:19
64:23	21:4,10,	68:2,7,21	8,9,10,	95:20	54:6
93:13	11,13,14,	69:7,22	11,15,16,	111:18	97:25
<b>watching</b>	19 22:10,	71:10	20,23	112:16	103:7
69:25	11,13,14	72:20	112:4,5	<b>weapons</b>	107:3
<b>water</b>	23:4,5,	74:7	113:10,	27:24	112:4,11
96:8	14,20	75:19,24	12,16,17,	34:11	<b>what</b>
<b>Wattsel</b>	24:2,3,7,	76:1,3,5,	23	51:11	6:23 7:6,
49:24	13,24	8,9,11,	114:10,16	<b>wearing</b>	23 9:3,6,
50:4,9,	25:21,22	13,14,25	115:9	95:19	11 10:25
23,24	26:3,4,23	77:10,11,	<b>we'll</b>	<b>week</b>	11:3,4,8,
51:7,15,	27:2,13,	14 78:19,	15:4 22:3	17:2,7	12,18
19 55:1	16 28:25	23 79:20,	29:17	<b>weeks</b>	13:21
71:10	29:20	22 80:14,	39:16	10:21	14:3,22
76:20	30:23,24,	16,20,22,	56:11	<b>well</b>	15:1,15,
<b>Wattsel's</b>	25 31:9,	25 81:9,	64:3 74:8	6:21 7:7	17,20,21
50:17	12 32:8,	12,18	<b>we're</b>	8:2 13:7	16:12
<b>way</b>	14,22	82:7,11	13:6	14:5 17:8	17:14,21
9:12	35:3,7,16	85:16	18:16	24:2 27:8	18:12,22,
20:2,6,	36:11,18	86:13,14,	19:5 30:9	30:1	23 19:25
10,16,20	37:1,9,10	20 87:3,	31:12,17	35:14	21:20
35:18	38:12,13,	12,14,18,	34:2 35:7	37:1	22:2,4,
43:15,18	15,22	21,22	37:8	38:12,17	16,19
44:8,17	39:14	88:11,22,	39:12,13,	39:10	24:7,25
45:6	40:3 41:8	24 89:19	17 41:18	40:3	25:21
63:12	42:11,13	90:8,20,	42:10,22	41:25	26:12,13,
69:16	43:22	22,23	49:8	43:2 46:6	17 28:12,
70:20	44:2,21,	91:10,20	52:25	52:2	23 29:6,
	23,24,25	92:7	53:16		21 30:6,
	45:12	93:3,13	55:18		11,25
	46:10,12	96:9,14	57:21,24		31:3,4,13
	47:13,14,	97:6,22			

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

32:7,9,25	109:8	71:3	22:11	23:13	20 88:5
33:4,17,	111:5	73:22	29:1,2,12	25:2,6	89:4
19,21	113:16	77:22	35:1	26:21	91:24
34:10	114:3	78:14	38:12	30:11	102:20
35:19	<b>what's</b>	84:21	41:9,24	37:1	<b>with</b>
39:19,24	20:24	86:13,14	50:5,15	38:11	5:25
41:8 42:9	30:14	92:5,23	73:3	42:10,11	10:21
43:3	31:21	95:5 98:4	99:5,22,	43:1 50:2	11:2,20
47:11	58:16	100:11	23 100:12	55:14	12:10,22,
48:1,10	75:25	101:15	104:20	59:15	25 13:19
49:5,9,14	81:14	106:4,6,	<b>while</b>	70:21	15:24
52:8,25	83:15	21 107:24	8:13	71:7	17:5
53:4 55:3	85:3,6	110:13	15:12	78:19,25	19:10
58:4	92:4 93:9	112:14	22:1	80:13	20:3,7,
59:16	109:12	<b>where</b>	32:18	83:17	11,12,17,
60:6,7	<b>whatever</b>	7:19	50:3,7	93:22	21 21:10
61:5	53:13	10:20	62:23	99:3	25:7,22
62:4,5	<b>when</b>	17:23	70:1	104:2,8,	27:1,13,
64:13	7:22 9:8	26:7	73:12	15,22	18,24,25
65:15	11:6 12:1	27:12,22	75:4	106:2,4	31:22
66:2,21	13:11	41:16,25	77:20	<b>willfully</b>	32:19
67:2,12	14:7	48:1	91:20	53:22	33:15
69:8,9,	17:25	59:1,2	<b>who</b>	<b>Williams</b>	36:12,14
10,25	18:1	70:24	16:4	5:21	37:12,13
72:20	21:16	73:3	23:15	45:5,17,	42:20,24
74:14	23:8 24:5	75:22	53:10	18 46:1,7	43:12
75:15,16,	26:4	83:10	54:4,7	59:2 70:1	47:3,19
17 77:5,	27:2,10	84:6	78:2	109:21	49:23
7,11	28:11,12	85:3,5	79:15,20	110:10,24	50:1,3,
78:22	29:23	86:20,21	80:23	<b>Williams'</b>	15,17,18
80:22	30:5,13,	104:15	88:10	20:3,12,	51:2,3,12
81:6	14,15,16,	<b>whereupon</b>	106:9	17,22	54:1
83:21	17 37:5	55:17	108:22	59:11	56:7,9
85:25	39:21	<b>whether</b>	<b>who's</b>	60:4	58:7
86:13	41:13,21	11:1 22:6	97:22	61:22	59:11
87:3,8,	42:18	44:5 46:8	108:25	68:12	60:9
19,21	46:25	52:15	111:10	<b>willing</b>	61:15,21
88:20,21	47:13	53:15	<b>whole</b>	83:7	62:24
89:20,21,	49:6	60:2	56:14	<b>window</b>	63:8
22 90:4,9	51:25	62:12	96:19	20:10	68:11,25
91:14,15	52:3 54:6	82:16	<b>whoopsie</b>	47:25	73:8,9
98:19	57:23	89:12	113:17,18	48:1	76:10,17
99:13,14,	58:8 60:1	90:24	<b>Whose</b>	59:1,5	77:25
20 100:2,	62:13	111:21	57:10	61:6,8,20	84:16
13,19	65:6,23	114:24	<b>why</b>	68:4	85:24
102:11,23	66:13	<b>which</b>	19:3 21:8	70:18	86:6 87:3
104:2,20,	67:8,24	6:8 12:2		87:4,18,	91:22
21 105:4,	68:21	18:3			93:6
11 107:6,					94:12
14 108:17					

Melanie O'Daniel

Latia Alexander, et al. v. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al.

95:12	<b>witnessin</b>		9:10	83:23
97:1 98:6	<b>g</b>	<b>y</b>	14:1,21	85:14
99:3,9	17:12		15:19	86:7 88:6
102:3,14,	<b>won't</b>	<b>yeah</b>	27:14	93:8,18
16 104:8,	9:13	7:5 13:15	29:18	96:4 98:8
10 105:7	<b>wondering</b>	19:7 28:9	43:3	102:22
110:9	98:24	30:3	79:10	106:2
111:18	100:25	35:25	88:12	108:14
112:22	<b>words</b>	39:7	89:1,23,	110:12,19
113:7,8,	8:22 80:3	48:1,21	25 91:16,	111:25
16,22	89:19	51:21	18 101:8	114:20
115:6,7	<b>work</b>	57:15	<b>yelled</b>	<b>yesterday</b>
<b>withdrawn</b>	47:8 49:3	58:9,10,	88:4	11:23
93:20	86:22	11 60:23	<b>yep</b>	12:11
<b>within</b>	<b>working</b>	61:16	42:21	<b>Yet</b>
13:13	13:9	63:14	<b>yes</b>	84:1
62:14	110:18	64:21	5:18,22	<b>Youtube</b>
64:21	<b>worry</b>	67:17	6:7,13	98:19
68:4,6	96:11	70:19	7:10 9:1	100:15,16
78:7,24	<b>wounds</b>	72:21,22	10:3 12:9	101:2,10,
81:19,24	49:20	74:20	13:20	11,12,14,
84:4	<b>wrap</b>	75:1,13	16:1,11	19,20
87:5,8	93:7,12	80:7,17,	18:11	
90:18	<b>write</b>	25 81:17	19:12,22	<b>z</b>
107:18	17:6	82:3,24	20:5,9,	<b>zip</b>
<b>without</b>	<b>written</b>	83:15	14,19,23	56:8,9
72:7	8:3 12:6	87:21	21:18,23	
84:14	13:5	91:19	24:20	
<b>witness</b>	<b>wrong</b>	93:1	25:16	
4:7 6:11,	7:16	98:3,4,	26:15	
13 10:9	21:20	12,21,23	27:8 29:8	
12:1,17	35:15	100:14,	35:22	
25:6,21	56:9	20,24	36:5 41:6	
31:8	75:13	101:7,16,	45:10,14	
37:18	82:7,8,9	22,25	46:10	
44:8	83:5 92:1	102:9,16	48:9 50:9	
45:10	104:6,9	103:13	54:21	
46:1 48:4	108:7	107:22	56:24	
57:3,14	115:2,3	108:2	58:22	
58:19	<b>wrote</b>	109:4	61:9	
59:14	79:8,17	111:7,15	62:17,21	
62:1 63:4		113:12	63:4	
68:14		<b>year</b>	65:5,8	
87:14		13:14	66:20	
113:3		41:7	68:1,14,	
114:8,25		<b>years</b>	24 72:25	
115:7		7:14	74:13,14	
		8:10,11	81:22	